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ABSTRACT

A program was written to solve calculus word problems. The program, CARPS (CAlculus Rate Problem Solver), is restricted to rate problems. The overall plan of the program is similar to Bobrow's STUDENT, the primary difference being the introduction of "structures" as the internal model in CARPS. Structures are stored internally as trees. Each structure is designed to hold the information gathered about one object.

A description of CARPS is given by working through two problems, one in great detail. Also included is a critical analysis of STUDENT.

Thesis Supervisor: Joel Moses
Title: Assistant Professor of Electrical Engineering

## I. INTRODUCTION

The problems connected with computer understanding of general natural language input are at present beyond our abilities. Yet by limiting the context of the English input to a specific topic the problem is simplified in many ways. In a limited context a given word will have fewer possible meanings, so that there is less difficulty in deciding between meanings of a word. We also have the advantage of needing only a limited subset of English vocabulary and grammar. Finally, within a limited context certain words can be used to give clues to the meaning of a sentence as well as to the manner in which a sentence should be broken up into smaller sentences.

Bobrow's STUDENT (2), a program which assumed that the input was an algebra word problem, was able to solve a large variety of problems with a remarkably small program. We have to a large extent taken our ideas from Bobrow's work. An understanding of STUDENT is sufficiently important to our work that we shall spend the second chapter analyzing Bobrow's program.

The research described in this paper had as its goal the creation of a program which solves freshman calculus word problems. The program, CARPS (Calculus Rate Problem Solver), is restricted to rate problems. CARPS is written in two languages. The bulk of the coding is in LISP. There are, however, large sections which require a great deal of pattern matching, something for which LISP is not particularly powerful. These sections were written in CONVERT $(3,4)$, a language especially designed for pattern matching. Because CONVERT is imbedded in LISP it was an especially
convenient choice since we could easily switch back and forth between the two languages. Both of these languages were available on the Project MAC PDP-6 time sharing system which was used in this research. This system, which has a quarter million words of core storage, gave us a decided advantage over Bobrow, whose program had to fit into a 32 K 7094 LISP system, whereas ours wallows in the comparative luxury of 45K of memory.

Though CARPS is a fairly complex program, its basic organization is relatively straightforward. To demonstrate the underlying principles let us show how it would solve the following particularly simple problem. The parentheses and slashes are required by the PDP-6 LISP system.
(A SHIP IS 30.0 MILES SOUTH OF POINT O AND TRAVELING WEST AT 25.0 MILES PER HOUR /. HOW FAST IS THE DISTANCE FROM THE SHIP TO 0 INCREASING?)


Fig. 1

The diagram of this problem is for the benefit of the reader. CARPS does not use diagrams.

The program is divided into five sections. The primary goal of the first section is to tag words with their part of speech. At the same time the program accomplishes several other tasks such as checking for words indicating the type of problem it has been handed. Just before it turns the transformed problem over to the next section it will print out

```
(THE PROBLEM WITH TAGS ON IS)
(((A SHIP (IS VERB) 30.0 (MILE UNIT) (SOUTH PNOUN)POINT O AND
(TRAVELING VERB) (WEST PNOUN) (AT PREP) 25.0 (MILE UNIT) PER
(HOUR UNIT)) (1.))
(((HOW QWORD) (FAST RWORD) (IS VERB) THE DISTANCE (FROM PREP)
THE SHIP TO O (INCREASING VERB)) (2.)))
(THE PROBLEM TYPE IS)
DISTANCE
```

At the moment the program is familiar with two types of problems, DISTANCE and VOLUME. The second section of the program takes the output of the first section and breaks the sentences into simple sentences. After it has done so it will print;
(THE SIMPLIFIED SENTENCES ARE)
(( (A SHIP (IS VERB) 30.0 (MILE UNIT) (SOUTH PNOUN) POINT O) (1.))
((A SHIP (TRAVELING VERB) (WEST PNOUN)) (1.))
((A SHIP (TRAVELING VERB) (AT PREP) 25.0 (MILE UNIT) PER (HOUR UNIT)) (1.))
( ( HOW QWORD) (FAST RWORD) (IS VERB) THE DISTANCE (FROM PREP) THE SHIP TO 0 (INCREASING VERB)) (2.)))

Note that the first sentence has been broken into three, while the second has been left unchanged.

The thira section is responsible for taking these simple sentences and transforming them into the model of the problem which the computer must have to solve it. The model used in the program is composed of equations and "structures". A "structure" is basically a tree which has as its head the name of some object, and at various levels beneath the head all the information the program was able to abstract from the problem. (The second level corresponds to the property list of the head atom. The third level corresponds to the property lists of the atoms on the second level.) In our problem there is only one structure. It is most easily visualized in the following form.


Fig. 2
so -J would be west as it should be.)
The left hand node can be intrepreted in the same way, except that WRTO stands for "With Respect To". This means that the ship's position was measured with respect to POINT 0 (GOOO8).

The fourth section will generate the equations which the final section will solve. In this problem it must change the expression (DISTANCE (SHIP) (G0008)) to an actual distance equation. After it has done so the program prints out

```
(THE EQUATION SET IS)
((EQUAL (GOOl2) (EXPT (PLUS (TIMES 899.99998 (EXPT MILE 2.))
(TIMES 624.99998 (EXPT TIM 2.) (EXPT MILE 2.)
(EXPT HOUR -2.))) 0.5)))
```

Noting that $T 1 M$ is the symbol for time we see that this is just the equation written in more usual notation is

$$
x=\sqrt{900 \text { MILES }}{ }^{2}+625 \mathrm{~T}^{2} \text { MILES }{ }^{2} / \text { HOUR }^{2}
$$

The final section of the program will differentiate, simplify, and finally print out
(THE ANSWER IS)
0.

This, of course, with either a little thought, or a little algebra can be shown to be the correct answer. CARPS took 41 seconds of machine time to solve this problem.

As we mentioned previously the major influence to our work has been Bobrow's STUDENT. There is however other work which deserves mention. One may look at CARPS as a problem in utilizing a limited context to enable the program to accept natural language. In this respect Weizenbaum's work on ELIZA (9) is relevant. ELIZA is a program which accepts scripts which contain directions for analyzing the input sentences and producing a response. One ELIZA script was designed to act as a Rogerian psychiatrist. A user of the program with this script would sit down and type statements to the machine. The program would first analyze these statements for key words. With each key word are associated transformations, and the context of the key word which would make this transformation applicable. For example, if you typed YOU ARE VERY HELPFUL it would notice the key word YOU and eventually transform the sentence to the response WHAT MAKES YOU

THINK THAT I AM VERY HELPFUL. If there are no key words in the sentence the program generates a content free remark, or goes back to a key word found previously and produces some output based on it.

As Weizenbaum has pointed out ELIZA accomplishes its goal by hiding its lack of knowledge of the "real world". A second ELIZA
script (10) was written to do exactly the opposite. A typical
conversation with it is

The area of a circle is $p i$ times the radius of the circle squared. The area of a sphere is pi times the diameter of the sphere squared.
The diameter of an object is twice the radius of the object. The radius of a ball is 10 . What is the area of the ball. THE ASSUMPTION THAT BALL IS CIRCLE IS CONSISTENT-SHALL I GO ON? no
THE ASSUMPTION THAT BALL IS SPHERE AND OBJECT IS CONSISTENTSHALL I GO ON? yes IT'S 1256.6359

Once again the analysis is essentially a transformation based upon key words.

The second ELIZA might also be considered a natural language question answering system. In this respect it is somewhat like SIR, (Semantic

Information Retrieval) (7), a program written by B. Raphael. A conversation
with SIR looks remarkably similar to the above with ELIZA.

```
    A nose is part of a person
    I UNDERSTAND
    A nostril is part of a nose
    I UNDERSTAND
    A professor is a teacher
    I UNDERSTAND
    A teacher is a person
    I UNDERSTAND
    Is a nostril part of a professor Q
    YES
```

Contrary to ELIZA the input formats in SIR are strictly defined. Assuch SIR is really a study in storage of semantic information ratherthan work which concentrates on the recognition of such information.SIR also stores its information on the property lists of LISP atoms.The information from the first sentence would be stored by placingNOSE as a value of the property SUBPART of the atom PERSON, and theopposite relation (i.e. SUPERPART) on the atom NOSE. As the aboveconversation indicates $S I R$ can go through many levels of property liststo discover the answer to a question.Finally CARPS can be viewed as an extension of a symbolic
manipulation program. A program such as CARPS would be much more
difficult to write if it did not have this work to draw upon. Inparticular SCHVUOS, a simplification routine, and DIFF a differentiationroutine, both written by $J$. Moses were used in this project. SCHVUOSand DIFF come from the routines SIN (Symbolic INtegrator), and SOLDIER(SOLution of Ordinary DIfferential Equations Routine) described in (6).
II. STUDENT

As we mentioned earlier Bobrow's program, STUDENT, solves algebra word problems. It does this in the following manner:

1) Performance of mandatory transformations on the English sentences of the input. Labeling of word and symbols in text (such as verbs, delimiters).
2) Kernelization of sentences.
3) Transformation of kernelized sentences into equations.
4) Attempt at solution of equations. If successful then stop, else continue on.
5) Addition to equation set of possible pertinent equations from memory. Realization that two variables which were considered independent are actually the same variable.
6) Replacement of expressions by a possible alternate meaning.
7) Requesting more information from the user.

In the case of the last three stages an attempt is made to solve the equations after each stage, except for the last stage if the user has no more information to give.
8) For the sake of completeness, we must mention the fact that new information can be inserted into the store of global information available to the program. Such insertion is independent of the problem solving procedure outlined above.

However, in order to really understand how STUDENT works we should go through a problem and see how the program solves it. A typical problem
which STUDENT could solve is:
(THE GAS CONSUMPTION OF MY CAR IS 15 MILES PER GALLON. IF THE DISTANCE BETWEEN BOSTON AND NEW YORK IS 250 miles, what is the number of gallons of gas used on a TRIP BETWEEN NEW YORK AND BOSTON Q.)

In this problem we do not have any mandatory transformations, however many of the words will be tagged. (A typical mandatory transformation would be "twice" changed to " 2 times".) After the words are tagged the problem would then look like:
(THE GAS CONSUMPTION (OF/OP) (MY/PRON)CAR (IS/VERB) 15 MILES PER GALLON (PERIOD/DLM) IF THE DISTANCE BETWEEN BOSTON AND NEW YORK (IS/VERB) 250 MILES , (WHAT/QWORD) (IS/VERB) THE NUMBER (OF/OP) GALLONS (OF/OP) GAS USED ON A. TRIP BETWEEN NEW YORK AND BOSTON (Q/DLM))

The next section of the program breaks the sentences into what Bobrow calls kernel sentences. In this problem the first sentence will not be changed, however, the second will. It will become (for the sake of convenience we will drop the tags)
(THE DISTANCE BETWEEN BOSTON AND NEW YORK IS 250 MILES. WHAT IS THE NUMBER OF GALLONS OF GAS USED ON A TRIP BETWEEN NEW YORK AND BOSTON Q.)

```
To understand exactly how this is accomplished we must know a little
about METEOR, the language in which STUDENT was written. A METEOR
program consists of a series of rules which are executed in sequence,
subject to control statements in the rules. The left half of any rule is
a pattern to be compared against the contents of the "workspace".
(In our case the workspace would contain the sentence "If the distance...")
If the pattern matches, the workspace is then changed to correspond to
```

that once we have translated our sentences into equations, all algebra word problems are alike, that is, they are all sets of linear equations with as many equations as unknowns. Hence, once the problems has been reduced to equation form, STUDENT does not need any heuristics to solve the equations.

The second property is in my estimation even more serious. With one exception, each kernel sentence is translated into exactly one complete equation. As we shall show later (and it should not be too hard to convince oneself) this occurrence is not typical. The one exception in STUDENT to this rule occurs when we have a construction like: "A number is added to 18. This sum is 67." The first of the two sentences does not give a complete equation, but only, expressed in LISP notation, (PLUS (NUMBER) 18). However the "This" which starts the second sentence is the key to replace the part of the second sentence coming before the "is" by the equation fragment generated by the first sentence. So we get (EQUAL (PLUS (NUMBER) 18) 67), a complete equation.

Since STUDENT can count on its input always forming equations, there is no provision for any other form of information storage. However, in a typical Calculus word problem we might have a sentence like "A ship is traveling east.", or "Water is flowing into a conical funnel." In each case there is information which should be stored, but clearly the equation form would not be the appropriate storage medium.

Both of these criticisms stem directly from the type of problem Bobrow sets out to solve. There are other difficulties with STUDENT which were probably left unsolved because they were not critical to the operation of the program and the size of the program had already
"a" and "the".
The program then tries to solve this set of equations and finds that it can not. It then prints out
(USING THE FOLLOWING KNOWN RELATIONSHIPS)
((EQUAL (DISTANCE) (TIMES (SPEED) (TIME)))
(EQUAL (DISTANCE ((TIMES (GAS CONSUMPTION) (NUMBER OF GALLONS OF GAS USED)) )
(ASSUMING THAT)
((DISTANCE)IS EQUAL TO (DISTANCE BETWEEN BOSTON AND NEW YORK))
(ASSUMING THAT)
((NUMBER OF GALLONS OF GAS USED) IS EQUAL TO (NUMBER OF GALLONS OF GAS USED ON TRIP BETWEEN NEW YORK AND BOSTON))
(ASSUMING THAT)
((GAS CONSUMPTION IS EQUAL TO (GAS CONSUMPTION OF MY CAR))

The equations are stored in a glossary under a key word. The first
word of each variable (unless the variable starts with "number of", in which case "number of" is ignored) is looked up in the glossary and the corresponding equations pulled out. STUDENT then matches up the variables in the new equations with the variables already in the problem. To match up any two variables P1 and P2 we have the rule that if P1 appears later in the problem than P2 then P1 must be completely contained in P2 in the sense that P1 is a contiguous substring within P2. After having made these observations STUDENT again tries to solve the equations, succeeds, and prints out the
(THE NUMBER OF GALLONS OF GAS USED ON A TRIP BETHEEN NEW YORK AND BOSTON IS 16.66 GALLONS)

A close examination of STUDENT shows that it has some properties which make it less general than one would like. The first of these is
what is in the right half of the rule. The pattern which would match our sentence is (IF $\$$, (l/QWORD) \$). What this means is that the workspace will match the pattern if the first word is "if" followed by any number of arbitrary words (that's what the \$ means) followed by a comma, followed by any word which is labeled "QWORD" followed by any number of words. Clearly our sentence will match this pattern. The righthand side of this rule would look something like ( 2 (PERIOD/DIM $45)$ where the 2 refers to whatever was matched with the second object on the lefthand side. In this case it was the first $\$$ (which matched with "the distance between Boston and New York"). The same goes for the 4 and 5 .

STUDENT next transforms the simple sentences into equations. The general rule used here is that the word "is" is chfanged to an equal sign, and words like "times", "divide" are changed to their algebraic equivalents. STUDENT accepts seven different formats for questions. The specific manner in which a question sentence is changed into an equation will depend on the format. Roughly speaking the equation formed is an equality between a newly created atom and the quantity to which the question refers. Our problem will create the following equations
(EQUAL XOOOO1 (NUMBER OF GALLONS OF GAS USED ON TRIP BETWEEN NEW YORK AND BOSTON))
(EQUAL (DISTANCE BETWEEN BOSTON AND NEW YORK) (TIMES 250 (MILES)))
(EQUAL (GAS CONSUMPTION OF MY CAR) (QUOTIENT (TIMES 15 (MILES)) (TIMES 1 (GALLON))))

With the exception of $X 00001$ (the newly created variable) our variables come directly from the words of the problem, ignoring any occurances of
reached the size of the available memory. These are: 1) A very limited subset of English grammar. STUDENT cannot handle something as basic to English as a dependent clause. In general one must be very careful in one's choice of sentences when addressing STUDENT. 2) A lack of sophisticated heuristics to determine the equality of variables previously considered independent. 3) A small knowledge of the "real world"and a rather inflexible manner of using that knowledge.

Looking at this second criticism we see that Bobrow takes long English phrases from the problem as his variables and makes no attempt to analyze their structure. For example in the problem we just looked at "THE NUMBER OF GALLONS OF GAS USED ON A TRIP BETWEEN NEW YORK AND BOSTON" was a single variable. To determine that two different phrases are equivalent Bobrow has two rules. 1) If two phrases are identical except that one has a group of words where the other has a pronoun they are considered equivalent. For example "the number of guns the Russians have" and "the number of guns they have" will be considered equal. 2) If the second phrase forms a contiguous block of the first, they are also considered equivalent. (We have already seen this rule in action.) There are many forms of paraphrasing that these rules will not cover ("the volume of the pile" and "the pile's volume"). Moreover, in many cases these rules will equate objects which we would not want equated ("street light" and "street"). A dramatic example of this situation is obtained by replacing the question in the problem above by "What is the number of gallons of gas used on a trip between Paris and Peking". STUDENT would have still answered - 16.66 gallons.
III. AN OVERVIEW OF CARPS

In the first chapter we presented a problem which CARPS was able to solve. In this section we wish to give an overview of the techniques CARPS uses in solving a word problem. This will enable those who do not wish to wade through the fine details presented later to get a basic idea of the program's operation.

Let us use the following problem as an example.
(A LADDER 20.0 FEET LONG LEANS AGAINST A HOUSE/. FIND THE RATE AT WHICH the top of the Ladder is moving If its foot IS 12.0 FEET FROM THE HOUSE AND MOVING AWAY FROM THE HOUSE AT THE RATE 2.0 feet PER SECOND/.)

The first section, as we mentioned previously, will perform several kinds of operations upon the input string. The words in the problem are examined one by one. If the word $F O O$ is to be tagged it is just replaced by (FOO PART-OF-SPEECH). Some common phrases are changed to an arbitrary standard form. In our problem the phrase AT THE RATE was changed to AT RATE. Also the program will change any occurance of IS followed by a verb to the verb by itself. CARPS also noticed that the word LADDER was a key word indicating equations which might be needed
later. The output of the first section is

```
(THE PROBLEM WITH TAGS ON IS)
    (((A (LADDER NOUN) 20.0 (FT UNIT) LONG (LEANS
    VERB) AGAINST A HOUSE) (l.)) (((FIND QWORD) (RATE RWORD)
    ATWHICH THE TOP OF THE (LADDER NOUN) (MOVING VERB) IF (ITS PRON)
    FOOT (IS VERB) 12.0 (FT UNIT) (FROM PREP) THE HOUSE AND (MOVING
    VERB) (FROM PREP) THE HOUSE (AT PREP) (RATE RWORD) 2.0 (FT UNIT)
    PER (SEC UNIT)) (2.)))
    (THE PROBLEM TYPE IS)
    DISTANCE
```

The next section will break up the sentences into simple sentences. This section is written in CONVERT. A CONVERT program, like a METEOR program, basically consists of a series of rules, each specifying a pattern which the input must match if the rule is to be used, and instructions as to what the program should do if the pattern is matched. For example our second sentence matches the CONVERT pattern which essentially looks for a sentence of the form:


SENTENCE: HOUSE AT RATE 2.0 FT PER SECOND.

The rule then states that the sentence should be broken up into two sentences and the program restarted on each sentence. So we get the two sentences FIND RATE ATWHICH THE TOP OF THE LADDER MOVING. and ITS FOOT IS 12.0 FT FROM THE HOUSE AND MOVING FROM THE HOUSE AT RATE 2.0 FT PER SEC.

The first of these sentences cannot be broken up any further. The second however will match the pattern


SENTENCE: AWAY FROM THE HOUSE AT RATE 2.0 FT PER SEC.

PATTERN: ANYTHING
The rule here is to break the sentence in two, each having a noun phrase (matched by the first ANYTHING) as its subject, but a different predicate. Our sentence then becomes ITS FOOT IS 12.0 FT FROM THE HOUSE. and ITS FOOT MOVING AWAY FROM THE HOUSE AT RATE 2.0 FT PER SEC. The first of these is completely simplified. The second can once more be broken up, but we have already seen enough to get the basic idea of the process. After simplification the program prints.
(THE SIMPLIFIED SENTENCES ARE)
(((A (LADDER NOUN) (LEANS VERB) AGAINST A HOUSE) (1.))
((A (LADDER NOUN) (IS VERB) 20.0 (FT UNIT) LONG) (1.))
(((FIND QWORD) (RATE RWORD) ATWHICH THE TOP OF THE
(LADDER NOUN) (MOVING VERB)) (2.)))
(((ITS PRON) FOOT (IS VERB) 12.0 (FT UNIT) (FROM PREP)
THE HOUSE) (2.))
(((ITS PRON) FOOT (MOVING VERB) (FROM PREP) THE HOUSE) (2.))
(((ITS PRON) FOOT (MOVING VERB) (AT PREP) (RATE RWORD) 2.0
(FT UNIT) PER (SEC UNIT)) (2.)))
Once the sentences are simplified the program goes to the third and probably most important stage. It is here that we translate our simple sentences into the internal representation of the problem (i.e., into structures and equations). This takes place is two phases. Let us call them A and B. The first phase identifies the basic form of a sentence. The second phase further analyzes the sentence components recognized by phase $A$, and relates the sentences to the entire problem of which it is a part. Phase $B$ concludes by filling out the structures. The entire procedure is applied to each sentence.
The first sentence does not match any of the basic patterns used in building structures. The second sentence matches the pattern

| A LADDER | IS | 20 | FT | LONG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NVP | VERB | NUMBER | UNIT | SINGLE | ATOM

NVP stands for No Verb Phrase. This means that it will match any group of

The LENGTR node is included just to remind us that it is still there. The equation generated is:

> (EQUAL (G0108) (DERIV (G0107 G0106 IAADDER)))

G0108 is just a newly created variable. The expression (G0107 G0106 LADDER) is the variable which represents the position of the top of the ladder. Note that G0106 is just the value of TOP, as G0107 is the value of POSITION.

Since this section is so important, let us go through one more example before going on the section 4. The next sentence matches the pattern

| ITS FOOT | IS | 2.0 | FT | FROM | THE HOUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NVP | VERB | NUMBER | UNIT | POSITIONAL | NVP |

A "positional" is a specially created class of adverbs and prepositions which indicate a positional relationship between two nouns. So this type of basic sentence is concerned with the position of one object with respect to another. The first noun phrase becomes


FOOT:G0109

The possesive pronoun is assumed to refer to the top level of the previous structure of the correct type. That is, ITS will refer to the previous nonhuman structure mentioned while HIS would refer to the previous human structure mentioned.

To this is added the fact that the foot of the ladder is 2.0 ft from the house.


This is just

$$
\text { LENGTH }{ }^{2}=\text { HEIGHT }^{2}+\text { DISTANCE TO VERTICAL SURFACE }{ }^{2}
$$



Pig. 3

Also the variable $O B J$ is set to $L A D D E R$. (Note that the problem could have had the word "pole" or "rail" for that matter. The same equation would have been used, but $O B J$ would have been set to the respective word.)

Now the program goes through the equation and replaces the variables with the information from the structures. the expression (POSITION TOP LADDER) is replaced by (G0107 GO106 LADDER). We have replaced the names of the markers by their VALU in the structure. The next variable is replaced by (TIMES 20 FT ). The variable (DISTANCE (FOOT LADDER) (VERTICALSURFACE)) is replaced by an expression for the distance. The final replaced equations are:

```
(THE EQUATION SET IS)
    l((EQUAL (GO1O8) (DERIV (G0107 G0106 LADDER)))
    2(EQUAL (EXPT (GO107 G0106 LADDER) 2.) (PLUS
    (TIMES 400.0 (EXPT FT 2.)) (TIMES -1. (EXPT (
    PLUS (TIMES 12.0 FT) (TIMES 2.0 TIM FT (EXPT
    SEC -1.)!) 2.)|)))
```

We now move to section five, which manipulates the final equations. Before the program can solve for (GOlO8) in equation one, it must first find an expression which relates (G0107 G0106 LADDER) to time, and contains no other variables. Equation 2 satisfies this criterion, so it is solved for (G0107...) and differentiated with respect to time. In this respect this problem is an easy one for CARPS. Other problems would require more equation manipulation before a suitable expression relating one of the variables to time could be found. It then notes that no boundary conditions were mentioned, so it assumed time $=0$,
substitutes this into the equations, simplifies, and prints
(THE ANSWER IS)
(TIMES -1.5 (EXPT SEC -1.) FT)

Which in more standard notation is -1.5 FT / SEC.

Before we move on to a detailed exposition of CARPS, we would like to make explicit a few points which did not come out in the previous discussion.

We have chosen to break sentences up into smaller sentences. There is some theoretical justification for doing so, that is the work of the transformationalists in linguistics. However the primary reason was that a sentence is the most self-contained small unit of information which is currently available. While it is true that the individual sentence is not always self-contained, (e.g., a sentence containing a pronoun or understood object) it is frequently so; the exceptions can be treated individually.

To a limited extent CARPS can use knowledge of the real world to parse a sentence. Its knowledge of cones (see the example of chapters four, five and six) enables it to recognize in a problem which deals with cones that THE RADIUS refers to THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE CONE.

We decided on the use of structures for several reasons. Given the property lists and the functions for manipulating them in LISP, structures are a natural form of information storage.

Together with deeper grammatical analysis of noun phrases, structures also help us go a long way toward solving the paraphrase problem which Bobrow encountered. Suppose the phrases CONICAL PILE
and PILE OF SAND were encountered in a single problem. STUDENT would not realize that they might be the same object. CARPS however would analyze the first as

and the second as

which would give us


Finally structures allow us to store interrelated information according to their relationships. Though this has not been shown clearly so far, the problem we will analyze in the next section will show exactly how useful structures are in this respect.
IV. WORD TAGGING AND SENTENCE DECOMPOSITION

If we are to really understand the workings of CARPS we should go through a problem in great detail. Consider the following problem:
(WATER IS FLOWING INTO A CONICAL FILTER AT THE RATE OF 15.0 CUBIC INCHES PER SECOND/. IF THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE FILTER IS 5.0 INCHES AND THE ALTITUDE IS 10.0 INCHES/, FIND THE RATE AT WHICH THE WATER LEVEL IS RISING WHEN THE VOLUME IS 100.0 CUBIC INCHES/.)


Fig. 4
In the first section of the program each word is checked to see if it has a property on its property list under the indicator GRAMMAR. If it does the value of this property will be a function, which will then be evaluated. The net result of the evaluation will be one of the following.

1) The current word (i.e., the word whose property list we just checked) will be tagged with its part of speech.
2) If the word under consideration is a question word (such as FIND or HOW) the ensuing words will also be checked to see if they give any clue as to the type of problem we are dealing with. That is, the property lists of the words will be checked for the identifiers

PTYPE and GRAMMAR and any value noted. The logic behind this action is that the most reliable place to look for clues to the problem type is where the information is actually requested, i.e., in the question. This action will be terminated when the sentence ends or when a word is encountered which indicates that the rest of the sentence is not part of the question proper. In our problem FIND is the question word, and the word LEVEL, which in this context usually means altitude, is the clue that the problem is one which deals with volumes. The search for such clues was ended in this problem upon the enconnter of the word WHEN.
3) The current word is noted as a key word (meaning it has equations associated with it in memory), the sentence however is left unchanged unless, of course, the word is also to be tagged with a part of speech. (The only key word occuring in our problem is CONICAL.)
4) The word may be the signal word for a mandatory transformation in which case the local context of the word is checked and if correct the transformation is performed. In this problem we have several of these transformations. The phrases AT THE RATE OF and AT THE RATE are changed to AT RATE. (The mignal word here is RATE.) Cubic inches is changed to IN3, AT WHICH is changed to ATWHICH. There are many others as a glance at the output will show.
5) At the end of a sentence (as indicated by a "?", ".", or ";") the end punctuation is deleted, and the sentence given a tag with a number indicating its order in the problem.

If a word has no GRAMMAR on its property list it will be checked for two conditions. If it ends in ING it will be labeled VERB. If it ends in LY it will be labeled ADV. Though there are many exceptions to these rules the limited vocabulary encountered in these types of problems does not include any that we have been able to find.

After this section is through, our problem will look like this:
(( (WATER (FLOWING VERB) (INTO PREP) A (CONICAL ADJ) FILTER (AT PREP) (RATE RWORD) 15.0 (IN3 UNIT) PER (SEC UNIT)) (I)) ((IF THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE FILTER (IS VERB) 5.0 (IN UNIT) AND THE ALTITUDE (IS VERB) 10.0 (IN UNIT) /, (FIND QWORD) (RATE RWORD) ATWHICH THE WATER LEVEL (RISING VERB) WHEN THE VOLUME (IS VERB) 100.0 (IN3 UNIT)) (2)))

At this point the program looks over the list of words which it has accumulated to indicate the problem type. If the majority indicate one type of problem, the indicator PROBTYPE is set to that name. Otherwise the program will print an error message and halt.

The next section of the program breaks the sentences into simple sentences. Since we treat this as a problem of pattern matching, it is written in CONVERT. At the present moment we have sixteen rules in this part of the program. They cover a large portion of compound and complex sentences. These rules are listed in Table 1. Though we will not use actual CONVERT notation it would be useful if one had a slight idea of how the language operated.

CONVERT is used like any other LISP function, that is (LAMBDA (ARG1 ARG2....) (CONVERT ME I R))

It has four arguments, as indicated by the $M E I R$. The first two are

TABLE 1: SECTION 2

## EATTEE

```
lए - ANyTIINC - , - NESTIM, NOMD -
myytimes
MOENION NOFG - ANYTVIING - IF -
NHYTHING
```



```
N%YTHDS
```



```
uymat%%
```



```
We% - AvYmHIM
```



```
MYTHING - VE&H - AxYqHTNO
NYTEING - WHOSE - AMyTEINC - vabre -
Amyt:ine - verb - amyreter
NYTVING - VRBB/RREP - Ri' - WIOS: -
```



```
NyT:INO - Vmba/sRie - Re -
WICH/PMAH - vaH - Nar
```



```
A:YT:IMG
VP - WMRB - NVF - , - TVFF - , - NMP
NF - VERE - ADVFRI - AMYTHINO
NF - veri - phry - El - pRep/aDVEFH -
NYT:ING
```


## EWMTIT

If - the radius is, 3 ft - - what is the altithat

What - is the altiture - ir - the radius is 3 ft .

Ghat - is the altithae - when - the rasius is? ft
anen-the ranias $j=3 \quad$ thenat-is the altiturn

Thle radius - is - 3 ft - ant
inneronsimy - at 3 ft peer sooc

The racius - is - 3 ft - and - the
altitude - is 5 in
The cone - whose - ralius - is - 3 ft has - an dltituce of 5 ft

A man walle - towara - a lidnt whose - height - is 20 ft

A man walks toward - a liakt - which is - 20 ft hish
n man - , - startins at 5 FM - , -
walks toward a light
A 100 x - is - 10 f ithon - , - 3 ft wide - , - ani u't high

A man - walks - directly - toward a liah.t
f man - walks - toward - a liqit
at - 2 milos per hour

## USP:

To break up sentences which cntain a subordinate clause introduced sy is

Gamb, excert that clause occures at the end of the sentonco

Separate of from tie sontence a subordinate clause, introcuen by mben

Sane, excext that clause occurs at the heginning of the sentence

To break ut: a sentence which contains two predicates, connected by AMD or Mille

To separate two comblete sentencos joined by AND or minter

To separate a subordinate clause introduces by mose

Same, except that clause occurs at the and of the sertence

To separate a sumordinate clause introduced by milc: or TiAT

To separate an avpositive set off hy commas
ro separato a sentence with 3 objects or :redicate adjectives

To create a new sentence with the adverb an: verb as its prosicate

To "roa: $1 \geq 2$ predicate phrases, the first starting with a mrev, the socond with a prep or adveri
used to define the variables of the program. The third is the list which is the input to the program. If the LAMBDA has more than one argument they must be made into a list by the argument $I$ (i.e., I would be (LIST A B) , as the final argument $R$, the list of rules, will only accept one list as its input.
$R$ is composed of one or more sections, each of which has a name and consists of one or more rules. In a given section the input is matched against the left half of the rule. If it matches, the value of the function is just the value of the righthand side of the rule. Should none of the patterns in the section match, the value of the function is just the unmatched expression. If a rule does apply, however, the righthand side may give control information, like "the value of this expression is just the value of the entire program started over, on the expression '(A X Q D)'". This is just a recursive call. If none of the patterns in a given section match the expression the value of the program in just the input expression.

Let us start with the second sentence, since it is somewhat easier to explain than the first. The pattern which will match this is IF - ANYTHING - , - QUESTION WORD - ANYTHING

IF THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE FILTER IS 5.0 IN AND THE ALTITUDE

IF

IS 10.0 IN FIND RATE ATWHICH THE WATER LEVEL RISING WHEN

ANYTHING

THE VOLUME IS 100.0 IN3


THE VOLUME IS 100.0 IN3

ANYTHING

```
This rule is fairly suraight forward in the wrose thot : N . % %:
the dependent clause introduced ky wher dod mases is a sectrat:
sentence. However, in the process the wHFN is re:ov-: frum, +!
sentence. This action is more important thar it siot five wou
The word wHEN in calculus problems is dimosy aiway= isec - ;ud!car:
the boundary conditlor. That is, it rrecedes a valu wh s. shor|
only be substituted into the equatiuns after the fifteremtaty is
accomplished. A good illustration of this would be the certen*:
"At what rate is the radius increasing when the radins is 3 teme."
Clearly if we substituted in the value first we woulit arse gere ne
matter what the rest of the prohlem said. In order to save than;
information the word wHEN is added to the taq of the stam zentem
created by this rule. The two sentences formed wy this rume :% :..
RATE ATWHICH WATER LEVEL RISING and THE VOLUME 1S jO.0 TMS Ganror
be broken up any further.
    Let us now return to the first sentence. The rule that wal: mat...
here is the following:
            NONN PHEASE - VERS - PREP - PHRASE - PREP / NDG DBAADE
        WATER FLONING INTO A CONICALEILTEF ATY RAMY :
            NVP VEFR PREP RF PRE
IN3 PER SEC
ANYTHING
RP stands for Restricted Phrase, which is a norimull strime o: mar
which contains no verbs, prepositions, or adverfs, This wule :reaxe
```

our sentence into two again, each having one of the two original phrases which began with prepositions. So we get WATER FLOWING INTO A CONICAL FILTER and WATER FLOWING AT RATE 15.0 IN3 PER SEC.

With this transformation our sentences are completely broken up as far as the program in concerned. Our problem now looks like (with the word tagged just to remind us that the tags are still there)
(((WATER (FLOWING VERB) (INTO PREP) A (CONICAL ADJ) FILTER) (1)) ((WATER (FLOWING VERB) (AT PREP) (RATE RWORD) 15.0 (IN3 UNIT) PER (SEC UNIT)) (1)) ((THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE FILTER (IS VERB) 5.0 (IN UNIT)) (2)) ((THE ALTITUDE (IS VERB) 10.0 (IN UNIT)) (2)) (((FIND QWORD) (RATE RWORD) ATWHICH THE WATER LEVEL (RISING VERB)) (2)) ((THE VOLUME (IS VERB) 100.0 (IN3 UNIT)) (2 WHEN)))
V. TRANSFORMATION OF SENTENCES INTO THE INTERNAL MODEL

We now come to the main section of the program. It is in section
3 that we try to abstract the information in the sentences, and place this information conveniently in our model.

Before we go into the workings of this section, let us take another look at the representation of structures.


We see that we have put the tag VELOCITY on the atom SHIP with the value G0001. Then on the property list of this atom we put the property VALU with the value (@UOTIENT (TIMES 15 FT) SEC).

The value of the velocity is not placed on the property list of SHIP directly for two reasons. One is based on detailed programming considerations. The second is the fact that we may wish to put more information concerning the velocity into the structure, such as the direction of the velocity. It seemed to us most logical to have this information also hanging from the VELOCITY node. This requires that the value of velocity be an atom from which we can hang more information. This atom must be a gensym and not an arbitrary label. If it were the latter, and we put the value of another velocity into our model we would erase the first value unless we took some rather complex precautions.

Upon entering phase $A$ with the first sentence we check for two conditions. If the word WHEN is in the tag, then a flag is set. We then check to see if the sentence is a question. In this case the sentence is routed to a special section which deals only with questions. If not, it will go to one of two possible sections depending on the problem type (since certain types of problems tend to have preferred types of sentences). However if no match is found in the first section, the other will be tried. A list of the various classifications of sentences in phase $A$ is found in Table 2.

The first sentence will not match any pattern and will be placed on the special list for unmatched sentences. The second sentence will match the pattern

| WATER | FLOWING | AT | RATE | 15.0 | IN3 | PER | SEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NVP | VERB | PREP | ANYTHING | NUMBER | UNIT | PER | TIMEUNIT |

This format indicates that the object mentioned by the noun phrase is changing at a given rate. The rate is restricted to time simply because all our problems have only time rates.

We now enter phase B. It notices that IN3 is a unit of volume, so we get the structure:



TlM is the internal symbol for time. Notice that the rate has been automatically integrated to a volume.

If we had "Water flowing at 15.0 MILES per hour." we would have created instead:


The program now realizes that this sentence indicates volume change. It will then go and look at the previous unused sentences to see if it can find one of the form, (WATER FLOWING-prepositionnounphrase) This would indicate that water is the contents of whatever corresponds to the noun phrase. This indeed matches our first sentence with noun phrase $=(\Lambda$ CONICAI FILTER). Phase E then calls the proaram, PVA, which analyzes noun phrases. In Table 3 we have a list of the operations PVA can perform. PVA, after applying a few tests which fail, strips the phrase of all occurences of $\Lambda$ and $T H E$. It then notes that conical is an adjective and that SHAPE is the value of the property ADJTYPE on its property list. Then this information, as well as the contents of the filter, is put into structure form and we get:


| pattern | TABLE <br> EX | 3: PVA | COMMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anything - of - <br> a/the/pronoun - anything | the radius - of - the - base |  |  |
| anything - of - no number | a pile - of - sand |  | Only used in volume problems |
| anything - distance - from - | the train's - distance - |  |  |
| anything - distance FROM/BETWEEN - ANYTHING - <br> to/and - anything | The - distance - between the train - and - the ship | as above |  |
| possesive pronoun - anything | its - altitude |  | Filter was the name of the previous non human structure mentioned in the problem |
| SINGULAR PRONOUN | he, she , it |  | Return the name of the last human or nonhuman structure mentioned |
| plural pronoun | they |  | Return names of last two different structures mentioned |
| adjective - anything | red balloon | COLOR:RED |  |

Note that PVA is applied to all noun phrases. In the second sentence it was applied to WATER, but naturally it was not able to break it up further. The fact that atoms are unique in LISP comes in very handy here. When we put WATER on the property list of FILTER we are guaranteed that all the properties associated with WATER (VOLUME in this case) will tag along.

The third sentence (THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE FILTER IS 5.0
IN) will match

THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE FILTER

NOUN PHRASE IS NUMBER UNIT

PVA is applied to THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE FILTER. It first notices that this is of the form
(THE RADIUS) OF (THE BASE OF THE FILTER)

However the right hand side of the above, (THE BASE OF THE FILTER) is also in this form, so we first analyze it, which gives us


We then analyze the entire phrase giving


Finally PVA returns this structure and on the property list of G0017 is put VALU: (TIMES 5.0 IN).

The fourth sentence (THE ALTITUDE IS 10.0 IN) matches the same form. Since the phrase THE ALTITUDE cannot be broken up any further, PVA analyzes it as a single level structure made up of the single atom ALTITUDE .

To understand how the fourth sentence is handled we must backtrack somewhat. When we encountered the phrase CONICAL FILTER we put conical on the property list of filter. The program knows that conical objects have many properties in common. For example they all have altitudes and bases, and the latter has a radius. So these facts are put on the structure of filter. Hence much of the structure we have shown being created had already been placed on the structure as a common property of cones. Nevertheless at each step the system actually did create the substructure finding, however, that the information was already on the property lists.

There is one other operation we have so far neglected. Every time a property $X$ is put on the atom $Y$, a property MARKERON is placed on the atom $X$, and this has the value $Y$. This gives us backwards pointers so that given a property we can find out which atoms have that property. Furthermore if the value of $Y$ is $Z$, and $Z$ is an atom, on the property list of $Z$ will appear VALUON: (X Y). .If $Z$ is the value of more than one $x, y$ pair all such pairs will be listed. Since we had already created the substructure

on the property list of altitude was a pointer to FILTER. Hence when PVA returned the single level structure ALTITUDE, the program checked to see if ALTITUDE was on the property list of any other atom. Finding that it was a marker on FILTER the program assumes that ALTITUDE refers to the altitude of the filter, and creates the substructure


The next sentence is the question (FIND RATE ATWHICI THE WATER LEVEL RISING), Since we have illustrated this question format already in chapter one we will not do so again. PVA again analyzes the noun phrase WATER LEVEL and gives


It does this because the program knows that LEVEL in this context " (noun phrase) LEVEL" means ALTITUDE. (This information is stored on the property list of level.)

Once again WATER is only on the property list of FILTER, so we can trace back and find that what we are interested in is (G0019 WATER FILTER). This is the equation variable format. This variable represents,
in English, the altitude of the contents of the filter. So we create the equation

## (EQUAL GOO20 (DERIV (GOO19 WATER FILTER)))

The interpretation of the last simple sentence (THE VOLUME IS 100.0 IN3) depends on the same sort of analysis. The word volume only appears as a marker on water, so the program assumes the sentence is refering to this volume. However since the tag for this sentence has the word WHEN, the structure created is


The WHEN on the property list of WATER indicates that what follows beneath it is a boundary condition.

At this point all the necessary information has been extracted from the sentences, and this stage of the solution is over. The final structure is illustrated on the next page.

VI. THE FORMATION OF THE EQUATIONS AND THEIR SOLUTION

The fourth section isconcerned with establishing the equations which the fifth section will manipulate to solve the problem. The program first checks the problem type. Finding that it is voLume it then checks the keyword list, which has the single element CONICAL. The property list of CONICAL is now checked. By this time CONICAL has the backwards pointer VALUON(FILTER SHAPE) which tells us that filter is our only conical object. We then pull out the equations connected with the word conical. We also set the variable OBJ to FILTER so we will know exactly what is conical. Note that rather than talk about a conical filter we could have mentioned a "cone". This would cause no problem since the word cone is defined in our dictionary by

(Actually it is defined by a LISP function which says that when cone is encountered in the right context, one should give it the properties of a conical object. Otherwise we would always have a backwards pointer from CONICAL to CONE even in problems which did not mention cones.)

In the case of distance problems, a routine is always called which can set up a distance equation between two objects, given their names. It does this with the information stored in the structures of the two objects. While finding the velocity and position of each object it notes their direction. In the case of velocity it also notes at what time the motion began (if no time is specified it assumes $t=0$ ). The program checks
to see if all positions are measured with respect to the same co-ordinate system, returning an error message if not. It then collects the position and velocity vectors in the same direction into a single term. It will return the square root of the sum of the squares of the terms. The program is able to handle some cases of the equivalence between the distance from an object to the ground (or street) and the altitude of that object.

There will be two equations (other than the one already created by the question sentence). One relates the volume of the contents of a cone to the altitude and radius, and the other relates the height and radius of our cone to the height and radius of the contents of the cone. Since the equations are stored in memory all parameters are represented by lists which specify what piece of information is acceptable as the value of this parameter. For example, if the parameter represented the altitude of some object, its representation would be (ALTITUDE OBJ). Before these equations can be used, these lists must be replaced by their values. Consider this equation used in this problem:
(EQUAL (TIMES (RADIUS BASE CONTENTS OBJ)
(ALTITUDE OBJ))
(TIMES (ALTITUDE CONTENTS OBJ)
(RADIUS BASE OBJ)))

This is the relationship,
$R / C R=A / C A$ as seen in the diagram


Fig. 6
(For a list of equations which CARPS has available as well as examples of other types of information CARPS knows about certain words see Table 4.)

Before the parameters are replaced an extra copy is set aside to be used later when we solve for the boundary condition. The program will then go through the list structure noting that EQUAL and TIMES are algebraic symbols. It will then come to (RADIUS... ) and note that this is not an algebraic symbol, implying that the list of which RADIUS is the first element is a variable name. Processing the variable in reverse order, it first finds that the value of OBJ is FILTER. It then looks for the contents of FILTER, which is WATER, and then for the BASE of the WATER, which it does not find. Hence it leaves this variable with only the last two elements replaced (e.g., (RADIUS BASE WATER FILTER)). It will find the altitude of the filter however, and note that it has the property VALU whose value will then replace this entire expression in the equation. In this same manner the rest of the equation set will be filled, and the computer prints out:

```
(THE EOUATION SET IS)
1 ((EQUAL (G0005) (DERIV (GO004 WATER FILTER)))
2 (EQUAL (QUOTIENT (TIMES 17.0 (TIMES (EXPT IN 3) T1M)) SEC)
    (TIMES (GOOO4 WATER FILTER) 0.33333300 PI
    (EXPT (RADIUS BASE WATER FILTER) 2)))
3 (EQUAL (TIMES (RADIUS BASE WATER FILTER) (TIMES 12.0 IN))
    (TIMES (G0004 WATER FILTER) (TIMES 5.0 IN))))
        G000 \(=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\) ALTITUDE
\(\left(17 \mathrm{IN}^{3} / \mathrm{SEC}\right) \mathrm{t}=\frac{1}{3} \pi\) RADIUS \(^{2}\) ALIITUDE
12 IN * Radius = 5 IN * ALTITUDE
```

TABLE 4: KNOWLEDGE ABOUT WORDS


TABJE 4: CONTINUED

## KEY WORDS FOR DETERMINING PROBLEM TYPE

## DISTANCE KEYS

approaching, distance, separating; ladder, pole, rail; shadow
VOLUME KEYS
altitude, area, diameter, radius, surface, volume

ADJECTIVE TYPES

```
conical - shape cylindrical - shape green - color
rectangular - shape red - color spherical - shape
```


## DIRECTION WORDS

| above +K | east +I | horizontally +I | level +I | north $-J$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| over $+K$ | south $+J$ | vertically +K | west -I |  |

## OTHER KNOWLEDGE

| altitude | implied by the words deep, depth, height, high, rising, and tall sometimes by surface and level |
| :---: | :---: |
| AM | implies the number preceding it in hours |
| balloon | spherical, it and its contents have the same volume, radius, etc. |
| heap | it and its contents have the same volume, etc. |
| Joe | is a person |
| length | is implied by the words long and lengthening |
| level | in a noun phrase implies altitude |
| Mary | is a person |
| midnight | zero hours |
| moving | implies position |
| noon | 12 hours |
| pile | it and its contents have the same volume, etc. |
| PM | adds 12 hours to the number preceding it |
| surface | if followed by AREA implies area, if followed by a verb which indicates altitude (such as rising) implies altitude, else implies area |
| vertical | face is implied by fence, house, or wall |

This was easy to fing since both of thesf facts were huma from the same node on the structure. Whis eduation is solved for the value of time, which in turn is substituted into the differentiatr: ouation. The lattor is tren simplifiad, and the prograr prints out

```
(THE MNSNEF TS)
(TIMO .53132943 IN (EXPY SEC -1.O) (FYNT PT -0.33333332))
```

In conventional notation this would he . $53(\pi)^{-1 / 3}$ IS : SEC.
VII. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER WORK


#### Abstract

In Chapter 2 we noted several aspects of STUDENT which we felt needed further work. Let us compare CARPS and STUDENT in these areas. 1) By storing information in terms of structures, CARPS is better able to recognize that two phrases describe the same object. 2) Again because of the use of structures CARPS can gather information about an object in piecemeal fashion. STUDENT was essentially required to generate one equation for each sentence in the problem description. In calculus word problems it is not uncommon to have two or three sentences providing information for one equation. 3) CARPS to a limited degree is able to use its knowledge to parse its input sentences. For example we saw in Chapter 5 how ALTITUDE was interpreted as ALTITUDE OF THE FILTER because CARPS knew that since the filter was a cone and cones have altitudes, the filter had an altitude. There was no similar capability in STUDENT. 4) Whereas STUDENT has only one solution method (i.e., solution of linear equations), CARPS has several and can decide which is appropriate for a given problem. CARPS' machinery for solving its equations (e.g., differentiation, simplification) is also more complex than STUDENT's. 5) CARPS utilizes a more sophisticated grammatical analysis of the sentences than STUDENT. This is used both in breaking up sentences and in generating the internal structures. Up to now 14 problems have been solved by CARPS. These are listed in appendix $A$ along with any modifications that were made to the original textbook statement of the


problems in the case that they were taken from a text. We believe that CARPS generally requires less modification of the original problem statement in order to obtain a solution than did STUDENT. Problems 2 and 12 indicate that CARPS is able to handle significantly different wordings of the same problem. Similar variations in the statements of problems would, of course, increase the number of problems solvable by CARPS.

Many of the improvements that we claim for CARPS were necessitated by the increased complexity of the problems that we expected it to solve. However many weaknesses of STUDENT are still present in some form in our design.

1) Probably the most important weakness in the program is due to its dependence on key words to signify the type of problem (i.e, distance or volume) and the method of solution to be used. What one would like to have in a calculus problem solver is a program which would use the information presented in the problem to figure out relationships among the elements (e.g., similar triangles) and actually propose the method of solution. Such a program would probably require a "geometry problem solver", a routine which can be asked questions like "what is a relationship between the radius and the altitude of the cone A?" or "What is the area of a parallelogram with sides $a$ and $b$ and base angles $c$ and d?". The answers which could be provided by such a program are currently built into CARPS' data base for several cases, but this scheme severely limits the power of the program.
2) Another weakness of CARPS is its limited knowledge of English syntax. It would not be too difficult for CARPS to learn new syntactic rules by adding these rules to its CONVERT subroutines. Actually what would be more satisfying would be a different method of parsing the sentences into components of structures. Currently the CONVERT rules are attempted one at a time until one matches the sentence. A better approach would be an incremental left to right parse which, when finished with the sentence, would have translated it into the internal model. Such a routine most likely would switch between several levels of analysis. At one end we would have purely syntactic considerations, and on the other a semantic analysis based upon the information from its general world knowledge. The semantic information gathered in the begining of a sentence could be used to analyze later parts of the same sentence. A scheme such as this is described in Winograd (11).
3) A very powerful calculus word problem solver will require a good deal of "common sense" knowledge. Consider this problem which we gave to CARPS
(A LADDER 20.0 FT LONG LEANS AGAINST A HOUSE /. FIND THE RATE AT WHICH THE TOP OF THE LADDER IS MOVING DOWNWARD IF ITS FOOT IS 12.0 FT FROM THE HOUSE AND MOVING AWAY AT THE RATE 2.0 FT PER SEC /.)

Much to our surprise CARPS was not able to solve it. A closer look at the problem shows why. The last phrase mentions that the ladder is moving at the rate 2 ft per second. CARPS has, as an internal check, the requirement that associated with each velocity must be the direction
of the velocity. The point is that the problem never gave this direction. Most people however, would assume that it was moving directly away from the house. The reason of course, is that a familiarity with ladders or gravity tells us that this is the most likely way for it to be moving. Nor is this an isolated incident. Consider the problem

A BARGE WHOSE DECK IS 10 FT BELOW THE LEVEL OF A DOCK IS BEING DRANN IN BY MEANS OF A CABLE ATTACHED TO THE DECK AND PASSING THROUGH A RING ON THE DOCK. WHEN THE BARGE IS 24 FT FROM AND APPROACHING THE DOCK AT 3/4 FT / SEC, HOW FAST IS THE CABLE BEING PULLED IN?)

Make a sketch of this situation for yourself. Most all people will draw


Clearly when we say APPROACHING THE DOCK we mean at the level of the boat. Once again information of gravity would lead to this result. Yet there are still further difficulties in the problem as stated. The phrase " 24 FT FROM ... THE DOCK" also means at the level of the boat. Consider instead the problem
(A bOY IS FLYING A KITE AT A HEIGHT 150 FT /. IF THE KITE MOVES HORIZONTALLY AWAY FROM THE BOY AT THE RATE 20.0 FT PER SEC /, HOW FAST IS THE STRING BEING PAID OUT WHEN THE KITE IS 250.0 FT FROM HIM ?)

It is just as clear that this time the picture is


Fig. 8

So the phrase "from him" means the total distance from the kite to the boy. The difference here is that while docks extend downwards (to the level of the boat), kites do not.

Semantic difficulties such as these arise again and again in calculus problems. While we do not present any plan for the manner in which this information should be incorporated, it is clear that a great deal of "real world" knowledge is needed in solving calculus word problems, and for that matter in the understanding of natural language in general.

## APPENDIX A

1 (WATER IS FLOWING INTO A CONICAL FILTER AT THE RATE OF 15.0 CUBIC INCHES PER SECOND /. IF THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE FIITER IS 5.0 INCHES AND THE ALTITUDE IS 10.0 INCHES /. FIND THE RATE AT WHICH THE WATER LEVEL IS RISING WHEN THE VOLUME IS 100.0 CUBIC INCHES /.)

2 (A MAN 6.0 FT TALL WALKS AT THE RATE 5.0 FT PER SECOND TOWARD A STREET LIGHT WHICH IS 16.0 FT ABOVE THE GROUND /. AT WHAT RATE IS THE TIP OF HIS SHADOW MOVING?)

Taken from Thomas (8), page 100. The problem originally asked two questions, only the first is in our problem.

3 (SHIP P IS 15.0 MILES EAST OF O AND MOVING WEST AT 20.0 MILES PER HOUR; SHIP B /, 60.0 MILES SOUTH OF $0 /$, IS MOVING NORTH AT 15.0 MILES PER HOUR /. AT WHAT RATE ARE THEY APPROACHING OR SEPARATING AFTER 1.0 HOUR /.)

Problem taken from Ayres (1), page 59. Three changes were made. SHIP $P$ was originally SHIP A (the $A$ would have been removed along with all THE's), the question originally read "Are they approaching or separating after 1 hr and at what rate?", and there were two other questions.

4 (LADDER 20.0 FEET LONG LEANS AGAINST A HOUSE/. FIND THE RATE AT WHICH THE TOP OF THE LADDER IS MOVING IF ITS FOOT IS 12.0 FEET FROM THE HOUSE AND MOVING AWAY FROM THE HOUSE AT THE RATE 2.0 FEET PER SECOND/.)

Taken from Ayres page 59. The problem originally asked two questions.

5 (A TRAIN STARTING AT 11.0 AM /. TRAVELS EAST AT 45.0 MILES PER HOUR WHILE ANOTHER /, STARTING AT NOON FROM THE SAME POINT / , TRAVELS SOUTH AT 60.0 MILES PER HOUR /. HOW FAST ARE THEY SEPARATING AT 3.0 PM ?)

Taken from Ayres page 59, no changes.

6 (GAS IS ESCAPING FROM A SPHERICAL BALLOON AT THE RATE OF 2.0 CUBIC FEET PER MINUTE /. HOW FAST IS THE SURFACE AREA SHRINKING WHEN THE RADIUS IS 12.0 FEET ?)

Taken from Ayres page 57, no changes.

7 (A BALLOON IS RISING VERTICALLY OVER A POINT B AT THE RATE 15.0 FEET PER SECOND /. A POINT C IS LEVEL WITH B AND IS 30.0 FEET FROM B /. WHEN THE BALLOON IS 40.0 FEET FROM B /, AT NHAT RATE IS ITS DISTANCE FROM C CHANGING ?)

8 (A SHIP IS 30.0 MILES SOUTH OF POINT 0 AND TRAVELING EAST AT 25.0 MILES PER HOUR /. HOW FAST IS THE DISTANCE FROM THE SHIP TO 0 INCREASING ?)

9 (UPON BEING HEATED /, A METAL DISK EXPANDS /. THE RADIUS OF THE DISK LENGTHENS AT THE RATE OF . 01 IN PER SEC /. CALCULATE THE RATE AT WHICH THE AREA OF THE DISK IS INCREASING WHEN THE RADIUS IS 3.0 IN /.)

Taken from Lightstone (5) page 145. The problem originally had two questions and the first two sentences above were connected by the phrase "in such a manner that".

10 (SAND FALLS ONTO A CONICAL PILE AT THE RATE OF 10.0 FT3 PER MIN /. THE RADIUS OF THE BASE OF THE PILE IS ALWAYS EQUAL TO ONE HALF OF ITS ALTITUDE /. HOW FAST IS THE ALTITUDE OF THE PILE INCREASING WHEN IT IS 5 FT DEEP ?)

Taken from Thomas page 106, no changes.

11 (A RECTANGULAR TROUGH IS 8.0 FT LONG /, 2.0 FT WIDE /, AND 4.0 FT DEEP /. IF WATER FLOWS INTO THE TROUGH AT THE RATE 2.0 FT3 PER MIN /, HOW FAST IS THE SURFACE OF THE WATER RISING WHEN THE WATER IS 1.0 FT DEEP ?)

Taken from Ayres page 59. Rather than WIDE the problem had "across the top", instead of INTO THE TROUGH the problem had "in", and instead of SURFACE OF THE WATER the problem had just "surface".

12 (A MAN WALKS AWAY FROM A STREET LIGHT AT 4.0 FT PER SECOND /. HOW FAST IS THE POSITION OF THE TIP OF HIS SHADOW CHANGING IF HE IS 5.0 FEET TALL /, WHILE IT IS 20.0 FEET TALL /.)

<br><br>$\therefore$ LINMTENI!G ? )<br>$\because!\therefore \therefore$ aODUAGIN, AT THE HATE OE A.0 GOUARE<br>U, RXTE IS THE DTAMTETE CHANGTNG AFTER
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