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#### Abstract

The aerospace multiprocessor described is based upon a modular, building block approach. An exchange concept that is expandable with the number of processors, memory modules, and device ports, was developed whose path width is a function of the amount of serialization desired in the transmission of data and address through the exchange. The processors (called Interpreters) are microprogrammable utilizing a 2 -level microprogram memory structure and were designed for implementation with large scale integrated circuits. The modularity exhibited in the Interpreters is in the size of the microprogram memories and in the word length of the Interpreters irom 8 bits through 64 bits in 8 -bit increments.

The specific implementation of the exchange for the aerospace multiprocessor is for five processors, eight memory modules, and eight device ports with eight wires each carrying four serial bits of data through the exchange. The processors each have word lengths of 32 bits with a 512 word $\times 15$ bit firs $-l e v e l$ microprogram memory and a 256 word $\times 54$ bit second-level microprograni memory.

A simplified control program based upon concepts for a modular executive structure, and some user type programs were written for demonstration of the aerospace multiprocessor.
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## SECTIONI

## INTRODUCTION

This final report describes the results of work performed by the Advanced Development Grganization of furroughs Defense, Space and Special Systems Group for the Air Force Avionics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Wase under contract F33615-70-C-1773. The purpose of this program was to fabricate an aerospace multiprocessor utilizing large scale integrated circuits with techniques developed under contract $\mathrm{F} 33615-69-\mathrm{C}-1200$ by Burroughs for the Avionics Laboratory.

The aerospace multiprocessor is made up of five identical microprogrammable. LSI processors called interpreters connected to devices and memory modules bs an exchange called a Switch Interlock. Since the intent of the contract was to produce only those parts of a multiprocessing system (processors and exchange as shown in Figure 1) not readily available in "miniaturized" form, the systen? is completed with commercially avalable memory modules, power supplies, and devices as shown in Figure 2. In this figure, the items delivered are shown within the dotted line. The switch Interlock module comprises the "network" shown by the connected lines on the bottom half of Figure 2. The system characteristics for the aerospane multiprocessor are listed in Table I.

The remainder of this report consists of seven sections and seven appendices. Section II describes the LSI, microprogrammable processor (called an Interpreter), consisting of three types of logic parts utilizing discretionary-wired LSI arrays, two types of microprogram memories and a loader for loading these two memories. Also included is a discussion of the rationale for splitting the microprogram memory into two paris, based on work done by Mr. Ennest Trimbur.


Fizur" 1. Hasis. Aerospace Naltiprocessor


Figure 2. LSI Multi-Interpreter System Block Diagram

## Table I. Aerospace Multiprocessor, System Characteristics Summary

```
5 Interpreters
    32-bit word length
    2.5 mHz clock rate
    Discretionary Routed TTL, LSI
    512 words (expandable to }1024\mathrm{ words) by 15 bits, read/write MPM
    256 words by 54 bits, read/write Nanomemory
    Volume: }\quad5.75\textrm{in}.\times5.1 in. \times6 in. without connectors
        5."75 in. }\times5.1\textrm{in}.\times10\textrm{in}.\mathrm{ with connectors
    Typ. Power: 42 watts for LSI arrays
    4 watts for loader
        4 4 \text { watts for MPM and Nanomemory}
    3 Memory Modules
    Datacraft DC-38
    3-wire, 3D, coincident current core
    Read/write, random access
    8K words (expandable to 16K words) by 32 bits per module
    350 ns access/900 ns cycle
    Volume: }\quad19\textrm{in}.\times19\textrm{in}.\times5\textrm{l}/4\textrm{in}
    Typ. Power: 6A at 117 Vac
1 Switch Interlock
    5 Interpreter ports
    Serial data interface of }8\mathrm{ wires of 4 serial bits each
    8 serial interfaces for memory modules (32 bits wide)
    8 serial interfaces for device ports (32 bits wide)
    Volume: }\quad5.75\textrm{in}.\times5.1\textrm{in}.\times22\textrm{in}.\mathrm{ with connectors
    Typ. Power: }72\mathrm{ watts at +5 volts dc
```

Section III includes a general discussion of multiprocessor interconnection and a description of the hardware specifically needed for multiprocessing. This haidware includes the exchange for interconnecting processors to memories and devices, clock and power control, a "real-time" clock, a time-out counter, and the hardware necessary for one Interpreter to lock other Interpreters out of selected tables in memory. Also included in this section is a description of the system power distribution.

Section IV describes the packaging of the multiprocessor for its laboratory environment and briefly discusses the LSI partitioning and possible future implementations.

Section $V$ is a detailed discussion of the Interpreter operation as a single processor, centering primarily on the fetching, execution, and sequencing of microprogram instructions and the condition testing involved in the microprogram instruction's successor determination.

Section VI is a detailed discussion of the Switch Interlock operation. The conflict resolution problem in accessing memories and "locking" to devices is discussed along with the handshaking between the Interpreters and the Switch Interlock in performing memoryand device operations. Wetailed timing diagrams are given for all Switch Interlock operations.

Section VII describes the microprogramming of the Interpreter and gives the syntax and semanties and examples for all Interpreter operations.

Section VIII is divided into two parts. The first part describes the simplified control program used to conirol the multiprocessur with its associated task tables in memory and also describes the method for loading either tasks or the control program into the Interpreter's microprogram memories from " S " memory. The second part of this section describes the six programs written to be executed as user tasks in the demonstration of the multiprocessor. This section is concluded with a short discussion of the confidence routines that were used during dehugging of the Interpreters and which could be modified to ran under the operating system for on-line confidence checks of the Interpreters.

Appendix I is a historical review of microprogramming written by Dr. Earl Reigel. Appendix II is a copy of the final report from Texas Instruments, Inc. on the discretionary-wired LSI used in the Interpreters. Appendices III-VI are details for the use of TRANSLANG, an assembler for Interpreter mic roprograms. Appendix VII is a glossary.

## SECTION II

## INTERPRETER HARDWARE BUILDING BLOCKS

The Interpreter is composed of four logic package types: the Logic Unit (IV), the Control Unit (CU), the Memory Control Unit (MCU), and the Loader (LDR). The microprograms which provide the control functions are contained in two memories: the Microprogram Memory (MPM) and the Nano program Memory (Nano or NM). These units and their interconnections are shown in Figure 3 .

The unique split memory scheme for microprogram memories allows a significant reduction in the number of bits for the microinstruction storage. It should be noted, however, that a single microprogr:m memory scheme (MPM and Nano combined) could also have been used, potentially increasing the clock rate of the system. In addition, the cycle rates of the memories could be altered, to gain speed or reduce cost, without any redesign of the logic packages. In fact, a variety of memory organizations (single memory and different split memory configurations) and memory speeds have been implemented in other Interpreter based systems, thus providing a range of cost/speed trade-offs.

The $L U$ performs the required shifting, arithmetic, and logic functions as well as providing a set of scratch pad registers and data interfaces to and from the Switch Interlock (SWI). Of primary importance is the modularity of the LU, providing expansion of the word length in 8 -bits increments from 8 bits through 64 bits using the same functional unit. The word length of the Interpreters used in the aerospace multiprocessor is 32-bits.

The CU contains a condition register, logic for testing the conditions, a shift amount register for controlling shift operations in the LU, and part of the controi register used for storage of some of the control signals to be sent to the LU.


Figure 3. Interpreter Block Diagram


Figure 4. Logic Unit Block Diagram

The MCU provides addressing logic to the Switch Interlock for data accesses, controls for the selection of microinstructions, literal storage, and counter operation. This unit is also expandable when larger addressing capability is required. The Loader (LDR) enables the MPM and Nanomemory to be loaded from either switches, a card reader, or programmatically from the LU.

## LOGIC UNIT (LU)

A functional block diagram of the LU is shown in Figure 4. The design of the LU is predicated upon implementation with one LSI silicon slice per eight bits. The present 8 -bit LU is implemented with two LSI slices.

Registers A1, A2, and A3 are functionally identical. Fach temporarily stores data and serves as a primary input to the adder. Selection gates permit the contents of any A register to be used as one of the inputs to the adder. Any of the $A$ regisiers can be loaded with the output of the barrel switch.

The $B$ register is the input buffer (from the Switch Interlock). It serves as the second input to the adder and can also collect certain side effects or arithmetic operations. The B register may be loaded with any of the following (one per instruction):

1. The barrel switch output
2. The adder output
3. The data from the Switch Interlock
4. The MIR output
5. The carry complements (from the adder) of 4-or 8-bit groups with selected zeros (for use in decimal arithmetic or character processing)
6. The barrel switch output ORed with the adder output
7. The barrel switch output ORed with the data from the Switch Interlock
8. The MIR output ORed with $1,2,5$, or 6 above.

The output of the $B$ register has true/complement selection gates which are controlled in three separate sections: the most significant bit, the least significant bit, and all the remaining central bits. Each of these parts is controlled independently and may be either ail zeros, all ones, the true contents or the complement (ones complement) of the contents of the respective bits of the $B$ register. The operation of these selection gates affects only the output of the $B$ register. The contents remain unchanged.

The MIR primarily buffers information being written to main system memory or to a peripheral device. It is loaded from the bar.el switch output and its output may be sent to the Switch Interlock, to the B register, or to the data input of the MPM or Nanomemory for programmatic loading.

The adder in the LU is a modified version of a straightforward carry look-ahead adder such as that discussed by MacSorley ${ }^{1}$ and others. Therefore, the details of its operation will not be included.

Inputs to the adder are from selection gates which allow various combinations of the $A, B$, and $Z$ inputs. The $A$ input is from the $\Lambda$ register output selection gates and the B input from the F register true/complement selection gates. The $Z$ input is an external input to the $L U$ and can be:

1. The 8-bit output of the counter of the MCU into the most significant 8 bits with all other bits being zeros.
2. The 8 -bit output of the literal register of the MCU into the least significant 8 bits with all other bits being zeros.
3. The 12 -bit output of the alternate microprogram count register (AMPCR) right justified into the middle 16 bits and the (wired) Interpreter number right justified in the remaining four bits of the middle 16 bits. All other bits are zeros.
4. All zeros.

Using various combinations of inputs to the selection gates, any two of the three inputs can be added together, or can he added together with an additional "one" added to the least significant bit. Also, all binary Boolean operations between the $A$ and $B$ and between the $B$ and 7 , adder inputs and most of the binary Boolean operations between the $A$ and $Z$ adder inputs can be done.

The barrel switch is a matrix of gates that shifts a parallel input data word any number of places to the left or right, either end-off or end-around, in one clock time.

The output of the barrel switch is sent to:

1. The A registers (A1, A2, A3)
2. The B register
3. Memory Information Register (MIR)
4. Least significant 16 bits to MCU (registers BR1, BR2, MAR, AMPCR, LIT, CTR)
5. Least significant 5 bits to shift amcunt register ( $S A R$ ) in the $C U$.

## CONTROL UNIT (CU)

One $C U$ is required for each Interpreter. The design of the CU is predicated upon implementation with one LSI silicon slice, but is presently constructed with two LSI slices. Major sections of this unit (Figure 5) are: the shift amount register (SAR), the condition register, part of the control register (CR), the MPM content decoding, and part of the clock control.

The functions of the SAR and its associated logic are:

1. To load shift amounts into the SAR to be used in the shifting operations. Left end-off shifts require a shift amount equal to the "word length complement" of the number of positions to be shifted. ("Word length complement" is definer as the ainount that will restore the bits of a word to their original position after an end-around shift of N followed by an end-around of the "complement" of N. For the 32 -bit word length in the aerospace multiprocessor, this is the 2 's complement.)
2. To generate the required controls for the barrel switch shift operation indicated by the controls from the Nanomemory.
3. To generate the "word length complement" of the SAR contents and load this value back into the SAR.

The condition register section of the CU performs four major functions:

1. Stores 12 resettable condition bits in the condition registers. The 12 bist of the condition register are used as error indicators, interrupts, status indicators, and lockout indicators.
2. Selects 1 of 16 condition bits ( 12 from the register and 4 generated during the present clock time in the Logic Unit) for use in performing conditional operations.
3. Decodes bits from the Nanomemory for reseting, selting, or requesting the setting of certain bits in the condition register.
4. Resolves prinrity between Interpreters in the setting of global condition (GC) bits.


Figure 5. Interpreter Functional Units

The control register is a register that stores 38 of the 54 control signals from the Nanomemory that are used in the LU, CU, and MCU for controlling the execution phase of a microinstruction. Twelve of the 38 outputs from the Nanomemory are stored in the CU. Four of the other 38 Nanomemory outputs are controls to the Switch Interlock and are stored there. The other 22 of the 38 Nanomemory outputs are stored in a part of the control register physically located in the Nanomemory.

The MPM content decoding determines (based upon the first four bits of the MPM) whether the MPM output is to be used as a Type I instruction (Nanomemory address) or as a Type II instruction (literal). Several decoding options are available. The particular option chosen is described in the Interpreter Microprogramming section of this report.

## MEMORY CONTROL UNIT (MCU)

- One MCU is required for an Interpreter in the aerospace multiprocessor, but a second MCU could have been added to provide additional memory addressing capability. The design of the MCU is predicated upon implementation with one LSI silicon slice, but is presently constructed with two LSt slices. This unit has three major sections (Figure 5):

1. The microprogram address section contains the microprogram count register (MPCR), the alternate microprogram count register (AMPCR), the incrementer, the microprogram address control register, and associated control logic. The output of the incrementer addresses the MPM for the sequencing of the microinstructions. The AMPCR contents are also used as one of the $Z$ inputs to the adder in the JUU.
2. The memory/device address section contairs the memory address register (MAR), base registers one and two (BR1, BR2), the base register output selection gates, and the associated control logic.
3. The $Z$ register section contains registers which are two of the $Z$ inputs to the LU adder: a loadable counter (CTR), the literal register (LITT), selection gates for the input to the memory address register and the loadable counter and their as sociated control logic.

## NANOMEMORY (N MEMORY)

The Interpreter is controlled by the output of the 54 -bit wide Nanomemory which may be implemented with a read/write memory, a read-only memory, wired logic, or a combination of the three. The present implementation is a 256 -word by 54 -bit read/write semiconductor random access memory using the Fairchild 9?410, a 256-word by 1 -bit package.


Figure 6. Instruction Memory Hierarchy

Each of the 54 bits represents a unique enable line for the gates and flip-1lops within the LU, the CU, and the MCU. Each Nanomemory word represents a microinstruction that is executed by the simultaneous presentation of a specific enable pattern for the 54 outputs, represented by corresponding ones and zeros in its word. The definition of these bits is presented in the microprogramming section.

A unique feature of the Interpreter-Based System with ita separate Nanomemory and Microprogram Memory (Figure 5) is that the explicit enable lines for each microinstruction need be stored in the Nanomemory only once (regardless of the number of times that a specific microinstruction is needed in a program). To accomplish this saving in memory, the Microprogram Memory (MPM) contains the address in the Nanomemory where the explicit ones and zeros are stored that are needed to execute that instruction' type rather than ta. full microinstruction. Thus, several microprogram sequences which use the same microinstruction (e. g., transfer A to B) need only store in the Microprogram Memory the address of the Nanomemory word containing that microinstruction. Figure 6 illustrates this feature.

## MICROPROGRAM MEMORY (MPM)

Each Interpreter requires a source of microprogram instructions to define the operation of the Interpieter.

Two possible solutions for providing this source of microprogram instructions are listed below:

1. A semiconcluctor MPM. This memory can be a read-only memory ( FiOM ) if the Interpreter is to be dedicated to the function defined by the ROM. A read-write memory can be used for experimental purposes or when the function of the Interpreter might be changed, such as reconfiguration in a multiple Interpreter system. In this instance, the system could afford to wait while the MPM was reloaded from a remote microprogram store accessert via the Switch Interlock.
2. A buffer into a slower-speed, wider-word memory.

In presently deliverable large scale integration form of the Interpreter, the MPM is also implemented with Fairchild $256-\mathrm{wo}_{4}$. b b $^{\prime}$ 1-bit bipolar, nondestructive readout semiconductor memory packages. boin the MPM and the Nanomemory can be loaded from an external loader, switches or programmatically from its own MIR. The basic inPivis expandable in olni's ies 256 words, and can be expanded up to 1024 words in the present Interpre crs.


Figure 7. One Memory vs. Two Memory Implementation

## Microprogram Memory Considerations

The potential advantage of dividing what is considered to be the Microprogram memory into two parts is more graphically illustrated by comparing the total memory requirements of the two approaches shown in Figure 7.

The total number of bits (N N ) in Figure 7 (a) is given by $\mathrm{N}_{1}=A \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{M}} \times \mathrm{C}$. The total number of bits ( $N(b)$ ) in Figare 7 (b) is given by ( $A \times{ }^{\times 1}$ ) $+A_{N} \times C$. A plot of the total number of bitts vs. $B$ and $C$ and a plot of the total number of bits vs. $A M$ and $B$ for both approaches are shown in Figures 7 (c) and (d).

From these figures, it is obvious that as $A$ approaches $A$ mo memory is the proper approach. Two factors affect the relationship between $A M^{M}$ and $A$. One is that literal values (type If instructions) used for shift amounts, jump addresses and 8-bit literals, that appear in the Microprogram memory, make no reference to the Nanomemory. Second, repetitive use of the same nanoinstruction causes an increase in $A_{M}$ without adding words to the Nanomemory. Some cample program statistics are shown in Figure 8. This tigure shows, for four sample programs, the total number of microprogram and nanomemory words, the total number of bits for both the one and two memory approaches and the percentage and actual value of the number of bits saved using the two instead of the one memory approach. In addition, this table shows the comparison among the number of literals (type Il instructions), the number of Nanomemory references (type I instructions), and the number of Nano memory locations in the four sample programs.

It should be remembered that the two memory approach would require memories with approximately twice as last an access time (and hence are more expensive per bit) because both memories must be accessed sequentially within one clock time.

Memory cost per bit vs. memory cycle time is shown in Figure 9 , where the vertical bars indicate the range on these prices which were gathered during January, 1972. Although the absolute prices have decreased, the relative pricing should still be valid. Several cost factors (C.F.'s) are shown for memory speeds having a 2:1 ratio. The cost factors are simply the ratio of the price of the faster memory to that for the slower memory. The higher cost factor encountered when crossing technology boundaries should be noted.

The solid lines in Figure 10 show the actual cost savings of the two memory approach for the four sample programs taking into account the difference in memory prices for the two approaches.

Also it is important to realize that many applications require a writable Nicroprogram memory. This means that the entire memory in the one memory approach must be read-write, while with the two memory approach, the Nanumenory could ie read-ony with the Microprogram memory being read-write. (In fact the Nanomemory could even be partly read-only and partly read-write.) This is shown by the dashed lines

|  |  |  | PROGRAM | M STATISTICS |  |  | TOTAL | 日ITS |  |  | EMORY TECHN it break dow | oue <br> N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 促 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $A_{m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BIT 5 | AVINGS |  |
|  | Task | total | TYPE ( $\%$ | TYPE II (\%) | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | nov. | N(0) | N(b) | NM | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{(0)} \mathrm{N}_{(0)}$ | $\triangle N_{\text {I }}$ | $\Delta N_{\text {II }}$ |
|  | D-825 Emul.ation | 3337 | 2224 (67\%) | 1113 (33\%) | 964 | 2.31 | 187K | 408K | 54k | 54K | 79K (42\%) | 35K | 44K |
|  | B-300 EMULATION | 3265 | 1996 (61\%) | 1269 (39\%) | 624 | 3.20 | 183K | 67k | 52k | 35k | 96K (52\%) | 45k | 51k |
|  | DISK CONTROLLER | 1288 | 910 (71\%) | 37\% (29\%) | 244 | 3.73 | 72k | 34 K | 21k | 14k | 38K (52\%) | 23k | 15k |
|  | Langlage design system | 659 | 394 (60\%) | 265 (40\%) | 244 | 1.61 | 37K | 25k | 11* | 14k | 12K (32\%) | 2k | 10k |
| $\infty$ | Rov. = average repetitive command factor = TYPE I/An <br> $\triangle N_{i}=$ BIT SAVING DUE TO NON-REPETITIVE STORAGE $=1 \times C-\left[A_{*} \times C+I \times B\right]$ <br> $\Delta N_{\text {I }}=$ Bit SAVING dUE TO SHORTENED TYPE II WORD LENGTH $=\mathrm{II} \times[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{B}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 8. Sample Program Statistics


Figure 9. Memory Cost vs. Memory Speed


Figure 10. Two Memory Cost Savings vs. Cost Factor
in Figure 10 for the four sample programs using a "read only factor (ROF)" of 6. This ROF is an estimate of the ratio of the price of read-write memory to that for read-only memory.

In both cases, the values for a cost factor of 1.0 are the cost savings if memory cost were constant with respect to memory speed. The abscissa gives the cost factors required for the two approaches to be equal in cost.

LOADER (LDR)
One LDR is required for each Interpreter. The LDR provides clock controls for the Interpreter and the means for loading the Interpreter's MPM and Nanomemory from one of three sources:

1. Switches on the MPM/Nanomemory light panels.
2. A card reader assigned to loading.
3. The least significant 16 bits of the MIR of the same Interpreter.

It is possible to load several Interpreters concurrently from their panel switches or from their MIR's. Concurrent loading intc more than one Interpreter from the card reader assigned to loading is not permitted.

Figure 11 is a diagram of the loading functions in the LSI multiprocessor.
Loading from the MIR is under microprogram control and provides the capability for programmatic overlay of the MPM and Nanomemory from any $S$ memory module or any device attached to the Switch Interlock. A more detailed description of programmatic overlay from S memory is given in Sections VII and VIII.


Figure 11. Implementation of Loading Functions Block Diagram

## SECTION III

## MULTIPROCESSING HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

## MULTIPROCESSOR INTFRCONNECTION

A major goal in multiprocessor system design is to increase efficiency by the sharing of available resources in some optimal manner. The primary resource, main memory, may be more effectively shared when split into several memory "modules". A technique for reducing delays in accessing data in main memory is allowing concurrent access to different memory modules. With this concurrent access capability present, an attempt is made to assign tasks and data to memory modules so as to reduce conflicts between processors attempting to access the same memory module. Nevertheless, since some conflicts are unavoidable, a second technique (reauction of conflict resolution time) is required. These two techniques are largely a function of the multiprocessor interconnection scheme which has been discussed by Curtin ${ }^{2}$ and others. ${ }^{3,4}$

Figure 12 shows three basic functional interconnection schernes. These are described in more detaillby Curtin. ${ }^{2}$

The disadvantages of the single bus approach (Figure 12) for many processors are:

1. the obvious bottleneck in information transfer between processors and memory modules due to both bus contention and memory contention
2. the catastrowic failure mode due to a single component failure in the bus.

A solution to the first problem has been to increase the frequency of operation of the bus. ${ }^{2,5}$

(a) Single Bus Interconnection

(b) Multiple Bus Interconnection

(c) Dedicated Bus Interconnection

Figure 12. Functional Multiprocessor Interconnection Scheme

The multiple bus approach is merely an extension of the single bus approach where all processors contend for use of any available (non-busy) bus. The advantages are redundancy and allowing an appropriate number of buses (less than the number of processors) to handle the trafific between processors and memory modules.

The third approach utilizes a dedicated bus structure (one per processor). Although this approach required more buses, it requires neither the logic nor, more importantly, the time for resolving priority between processors requesting the use of a bus. Proponents of this approach contend that the time penalty for resolving conflicts for access to a memory module is enough of a price to pay without having to wait for the availability of a bus.

In a Hughes report, ${ }^{4}$ the authors distinguish the physical differences between two multiprocessor interconnection schemes. The two approaches (one called multiport and the other called matrix switch) are shown in Figure 13.

The fiughes report characterizes the two connection approaches as follows:
"In the multiport approach, the access control logic for each module is contained within that module, and intercabling is required between each processor and memory pair. Thus, the total number of interconnecting cables is the product of the number of processors and the number of memories. Each module must be designed to accommodate the maximum computer configuration.
"In the matrix switch approach, the same interconnection capability is achieved by placing the access control logic for each module in a separate module. The addition of this module to the system is compensated (for) by redusing the intercahles required to the sum of the processors and memories rather than the product and by not penalizing the other modules with maximum switching logic.
"There generaily is no speed differential between multiport and matrix arrangements. The major difference iies in the ability to grow in wiring complexity. Multiprocessors with multiport arrangements are generally wired, at production time, to the maximum purchased configuration. Future subsystem expansion generally requires depot level rewiring. This problem generally does not exist with the matrix arrangement. The maximum capacity is wired in but the switching logic complement reflects the purchased system. Subsystem expansion entails purchase of added processor/memory modules (and necessary cabinetry if required) plus the required switch matrix logic cards. "

Apparent from the arguments in this report is the desire to reduce the number of wires interconnecting the processors and memory modules. A way to reduce the wiring (in addition to the use of the matrix switch) is by using serial transmission of partial words at a frequency several times that of the processors. This technique has been used by Meng ${ }^{3}$ and Curtin. ${ }^{2}$ The tradeoff here is between the cost

(a) Multiport

(b) Matrix Switch

Figure 13. Physical Multiprocessor Interconnection Scheme
of the transmitting and receiving shift registers and the extra logic necessary for timing and control of the serial transmission versus the cost of wiring and logic for the extra interconnection nodes for a fully parallel transmission path.

Another factor adversely affecting efficiency in a multiprocessing system is a variation in the amount of computation versus I/O processing that must be dnne. In previous multiprocessing systems I/O functions and data processing functions have been performed in physically different hardware modules with devices being attached only to the I/O controllers (Figure 14). (This technique is typical of Burroughs D825, B 5500, or B6700). In a multi-interpreter system, however, processing and I/O contrcl functions are all performed by identical Interpreters whose writable microprogram memory can be reloaded to change their function. This technique allows a configuration (Figure 15) in which the devices are attached to the same exchange as the memories and processors.

## THE SWITCH INTERLOCK

The Multi-Interpreter interconnection scheme for forming a multiprocessor is called a "Switch Interlock": a dedicated bus, matrix switch with an optional amount of serial transmission.

The Switch Interlock is a set of hardware building blocks that connects Inter preters to devices and memory modules. Connection between Interpreters and devices is by reservation with the Interpreter having exclusive use of the (locked) device until specifically released. Connection with a memory module is for the duration of a single data word exchange, but is maintained until some other module is requested or some other Interpreter requests that module.

Consistent with the building block philosophy of Interpreter-based systems, the Switch Interlock is partitioned to permit modular expansion for incremental numbers of Interpreters, memory modules or device ports and modular selection of the amount of parallelism in the transfer of address and data through the Switch Interlock from fully parallel to fully serial. Functionally, the Switch Interlock consists of: parallel-serial conversion registers for each Interpreter, input and output selection gates, parallel-serial conversion registers for each memory module and each device, and associated control logic. Figure 16 outlines the implementation of the Switch Interlock and shows the functional logic units that are repeated for each Interpreter, memory module, and device. The bit expandability of the Switch Interlock is shown by dashed lines between the input/output switches and the shift registers associated with the memory module, devices, and Interpreters.

The Switch Interlock in the LSI Multiprocessor handles five Interpreters, eight memories and eight device ports (more than one device could be attached to each port). The transmission paths through the Switch Interlock break the 32 -bit data word into 8 wires carrying 4 serial bits each, transmitted with a "high speed" clock having a frequency five times that of an Interpreter clock.


Figure 14. Centralized Multiprocessor System


Figure 15. Distributed Multiprocessing Interpreter System


Figure 16. Implementation of the Switch Interlock


Figure 17. Memory/Device Controls (MDC) Block Diagram

The six basic modules for the Switch Interlock of the LSI Multiprocessor are described below.

## Memory/Device Controls (MDC)

The MDC controls the high-speed clock used for the serial transmission of data (Figure 17) and is an interface between the Interpreter and the controls described below (MC and DC). There is one MDC per Interpreter. Physically, the MDC's for two Interpreters are contained in one finned 5 -inch by 5 -inch by 1/2-inch plate.

## Device Controls (DC)

The DC resolves conflicts between Interpreters trying to lock to a device and checks the iock status of any Interpreter attempting a device operation (Figure 18). Physically, the DC is contained on two identical finned plates, each plate capable of handling up to three Interpreters and up to eight devices. System expansion using this module could be in number of Interpreters or in number of devices.

## Memory Controls (MC)

The MC resolves conflicts between Interpreters requesting the use of the same memory module (Figures 19 and 20). Physically, the MC is contained on two finned plates. One plate contains the MC for three Interpreters and eight memory modules and the other plate contains the MC for the other two Interpreters and eight memory modules, plus the "memory-busy" flif-flops. The global condition bit priority resolutionand the interrupt Interpreter logic is also physically located on this second plate although it is functionally independent. System expansion using the MC could be in number of Interpreters or in number of memory modules.

## Output Switch Network (OSN)

The OSN sends data, address, clock, and control from Interpreters to addressed dovices or memory modules (i. e., the OSN is a "demultiplexer"). Physically, the OSN is made of two different types of finned plates handling either three or four wires for up to five interpreters and eight devices or memory modules. One type of plate handles four data-type paths for five Interpreters and eight devices or memories. The other type of plate handles two data-type paths and one clock-type path for five Interpreters and eight devices or memories. Logic diagrams of these types of OSN's are shown in Figures 21 and 22. Each column of logic is for one Interpreter with the inputs trom the interpreter coming in the top. Each row represents one serial transmission path and the outputs to eight devices or memories coming from the side and bottom of the drawing. System expansion using these modules could be in number of


Figure 18. Device Controls (DC) Block Diagram


Figure 19. Memory Control No. 0, Block Diagram


Figure 20. Memory Control No. 1, Block Diagram

Interpreters or in number of devices or memories. The number of replications of this plate would also change if the amount of serialization of the data path were changed.

## Input Switch Network (ISN)

The ISN returns data from addressed devices or memory modules to the Interpreters (i.e., the ISN is a "multiplexer"). One finned plate handles five wires for five Interpreters and up to eight devices or memory modules. A logic diagram for the ISN is shown in Figure 23. As with the OSN, each column of logic is for one Interpreter with the outputs to the Interpreter coming from the top. Each row also represents one serial transmission path with the inputs from eight devices or memories coming in the side of the drawing. System expansion using this module could be in number of Interpreters or in number of devices or memories. The number of replications of this plate would also change if the amount of serialization of the data path were changed.

## Shift Register (SR)

These units are parallel-to-serial shift registers or serial-to-parallel shift registers that use a high frequency clock for serial transmission of groups of four data and address bits through the ISN's and OSN's. They are physically located with the Interpreters, device interfaces, and memory module interfaces.

## POWER DISTRIBUTION

Figure 24 shows the details of the power distribution system in the aerospace multiprocessor. Even though all a-c connections are shown schematically attached to one line, a load center is mounted inside the cabinet and two phases of a three phase four wire $120 / 208$ volt 60 Hz input are each connected through the load center to four strips of electrical outlets mounted inside the cabinet.

As shown, each Interpreter has its own power supply with a connection to the Switch Interlock for supplying +5 volts to the MDC for that Interpreter. All +5 volt distribution is by heavy gauge wire twisted with its return. All sensing and connections of return to chassis are done at the point of load. The system power supply provides power to the device and memory interfaces, the real time clock, power control and clock distribution, the light panel, and the Switch Interlock. The sensing for the system power supply is on the Switch Interlock.

As can be seen, the multiplicity of reference-to-reference connections via the cold side of the twisted pairs made proper "treeing ${ }^{611}$ of the references before connection to earth impractical. Therefore freely tying reference to chassis was allowed.

In retrospect, the only changes suggested would be providing a better reference-to-reference connection between each Interpreter and the Switch Interlock, and removing the reference to chassis connections on the +12 volt, -12 volt, and +20 volt supplies after insuring a suitable reference to chassis connection at the loads.

The only grounding problem encountered was on the loader board in the Interpreters. This problem was eliminated by installing a wire ground grid on the board and by providing extra ground pins from the board to the backplane. Of interest is that no decoupling capacitors exist in the system. Space for decoupling capacitors has been provided and should be added if noise problems are encountered; however no such problems have arisen during the fairly extensive testing before and after delivery.

## CLOCK AND POWER CONTROL

From the description of the Switch Interlock, it is clear that two clocks having different frequencies are needed in the aerospace multiprocessor. During the design of the aerospace multiprocessor the relationship between the maximum shift rate through the Switch Interlock and the maximum speed of the Interpreters was determined to be at least 4:1. Since four bits are transmitted serially on each path through the Switch Interlock and shifting is to be finished within one Interpreter clock time, a ratio of $5: 1$ was selected. However, from the implementation as shown in Figure 25, this ratio could be easily changed by changing the value preset into the countor. The logic appearing in this figure is all controlled by a central system power supply, which in a failsafe system must be made redundant.

As shown in the figure, the width of the high-speed clock to the MDC's in the Switch Interlock is controlled by the width of the master clock coming in from the pulse generator, and the width of the Interpreters' clock is controllable by varying the resistor value on the single shot. The flip-flop control has been added to the clock for each Interpreter to insure against performing any spurious memory or device operations while power is either being applied or being shut off



Figure 21. Output Switch Network No. 0, Logic Diagram



Figure 22. Output Switch Network No. 1, Logic Diagram
$1$



Figure 23. Input Switch Network No. 1, Logic Diagram


Figure 24. Yower Distribution System


Figure 25. Implementation of Multiprocessor Clocks


Figure 26. Conflict Resolution Logic for Global Condition Bit GC1
to an Interpreter. This is done by a front panel switch setting the flip-flop (which will shut clocks off) and turning the solid state relay on, which will then short across the resistor on the remote programming terminals of the Interpreter's power supply, turning the power supply off. When the front panel switch is set to turn power back on, the solid state relay will turn off, opening up the output of the relay and turning power back on to the Interpreter. However, if clocks were applied at this time, they would start during the powering up of the Interpreter and would continue even though no valid information existed in the Interpreter's Microprogram and Nano memories.

To avoid this problem, slocks are not restarted until the Clear pushbutton is pressed on the front panel, which is done in conjunction with pressing the Load pushbutton for loading the Microprogram and Nano memories from the loader card reader. Since during loading, a pseudo Type II instruction is forced by the loader, ry clocks will be present to initiate any memory or device operations until loading is completed and the microprogram just loaded begins execution.

## GLOBAL AND INTERRUPT CONDITION BITS

The two global condition bits in each Interpreter are used by programmatic convention for locking out other Interpreters during a read-modify-write to system tables resident in S memory. This is done independently for each of the two condition bits by not allowing an Interpreter to set its condition bit if any Interpreter's condition bit is already set or if a higher wired priority Interpreter is requesting to set its condition bit at the same time. This was initiaily to be done by chaining the priority through the Interpreters so that no external logic would be required. However, if an Interpreter's power were turned off, the chain would be broken and the same global condition bit in two Interpreters could have been set. To avoid this problem the global condition bit and the requests to set the global condition bits are brought from each Interpreter to a centralized location. (The Switch Interlock was chosen, although this logic is totally independent of the Switch Interlock operation.) In this centralized location, the power-on signa?s show a previously in this section are used to allow only signals from poweredon Inter eters to participate in the conflict resolution. This conflict resolution logic is, vered by the system power supply and in turn sends enables bacis to the Interpretecs for setting the global condition bits. This conflict resolution logic is shown for one of the global condition bits (GCI) in Figure 26. The same logic is repeated for the other global condition bit (GC2).

The Interrupt Interpreters condition hit, although having no priority logic associated with it, has the similar problem of heving a signal from an Interpreter that is either powered down or whose power is undergoing a transition, setting the Interrupt condition bit in other Interpreters in an uncontrolled manner. To avoid this. the Interrupt signal and its control coming from each Interpreter are gated against the power-on signal for that Interpreter. These signals ase then all ORed together and sent back to all Interpreters. This logic (shown in Figure 27) is also located in the Switch Interlock and is powered by the system power supply.


Figure 27. Implementation of Interrupt Conrrols

## REAL TIME CLOCK AND THE HORNS

One device (device number zero) has been permanently assigned to a device called a "real time" clock, which is used programmatically to determine the failure of a task running on an Interpreter. This use is explained more fully in the Multiprocessing Control Program and Demonstration Programs section of this report. This device is merely a 32 -bit counter that is counted up at a rate of once each 256 Interpreter clocks. It is powered by the system power supply and runs continuously. This device is read just as any other device attached to the Switch Interlock and must be locked to in order to de read. Since programmatically this counter is used as an interval timer, a potential problem exists if the interval to be timed were started just prior to this device overflowing (once every 240 Interpreter clocks). This can be avoided by forcing the programs to test the value of the counter to insure it will not be reset during the interval of interest.

Also physically located with the real time clock are five, 4 -bit counters, one associated with each Interpreter. These counters, called horns, if not reset, will overflow after every $2^{20}$ Interpreter clocks (approximately every 1 second for a 1 MHz Interpreter clock rate). These counters detect an Interpreter waiting for a response from a memory or device that has failed. An overflow from one of these counters will force a one clock time STEP and will set a condition bit in its associated Interpreter which then can be tested by the Interpreter. To avoid continual setting of this bit, each counter is reset every time its associated Interpreter does any memory or device operation. These operations should occur often in any program except perhaps during internal Interpreter diagnostics. These diagnostics should not require 220 Interpreter clocks to run but if they did the horn for the Interpreter may be manually turned off.

## INTERPRETER NUMBER

Each Interpreter is logically identical to all other Interpreters. A multiprocessing control program, however, must have a means of distinguishing between Interpreters. This is accomplished by wiring the most significant four bits of the next to the most significant 8-bit byte of the Z -input to the adder, to the connector to which the loader cable is attached. Ground and +5 volts are also wired to this connector. Within the other side of the connector, which is part of the loader cable, ground and +5 volts are jumpered to the 4 bits of $Z$ input to appropriately indicate the Interpreter number, right justified within the 4 -bit field.

## AEROSPACE MULTIPROCESSOR PACKAGING DESCRIPTION

## MECHANICAL DESIGN

The aerospace multiprocessor is housed in a cabinet consisting of two bays 21 inches wide by $251 / 2$ inches deep by 68 inches high (Figure 28). The Interpreters, and Switch Interlock modules are built up of mechanically similar submodular sections. The $S$ memory module and power supplies are commerically available rack mounted units.

Each of the modules is made up of several finned aluminum castings (Figure 29) with massive heat sinks for mounting of the printed wiring boards and direct heat sinking of the LSI packages. Modification of the finned aluminum casting allows direct heat sinking of conventional dual in-line packages for the MPM and Nanomemories. The 5 -inch by 5 -inch by $1 / 2$-inch thick submodule houses two LSI chips, as many as 98,16 -lead flat packs or as many as 45, 16-pin dual-in-line packages, depending on its function in the system.

Each of the Interpreter modules (Figure 30 ) and the Switch Interlock module is packaged complete with its own backplane and I/O connectors to simulate remote physical distribution of the modules.

To maintain a close physical arrangement with simulated module distribution, all of the Interpreters are mounted on a common mechanical structure which allows the multiprocessor to be mounted as a single unit on a shelf extending at right angles to the front of the two electronics cabinets. as shown in Figure 1. The multiprocessor is mounted on a swivel to allow direct access to the wire wrapped backplane during debugging and testing procedures.
(1) SYSTEM MEMORY
(2) Indicator and LIGHT CARDS
(3) Light PANEL
(4) sWitches
(5) FANS
(6) POWER SUPPLIES


Figure 28. Aerospace Multiprocessor Configuration


Figure 29. Submodule Housing


Figure 30. Interpreter Module Packaging

Figure 31 is a photograph of the system as installed at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.

Figure 32 is a plan view of the Interpreters, Switch Interlock and connectors for interconnection among the modules.

## CIRCUIT CONFIGURATIONS

The LSI multiprocessor system is implemented with the three types of submodules. The Microprogram and Nano memories in the Interpreter both use Fairchild 93410 ceramic dual-in-line packages, each containing 256 words $\times 1$ bit of memory, interconnected with a four-layer printed circuit board mounted on the opposite side from the packages as shown in Figure 33. Since the selection of this package, Fairchild has introduced the 93415 , a 1024 word $\times 1$ bit memory package with approximately the same power dissipation as the 93410 . This more dense memory package is recommended for future Interpreter systems.

The Loader submodule in the Interpreters and all submodules in the Switch Interlock use standard 54/7400 series flat packs which are mounted on either two or four layer printed circuit boards which are then mounted on the two sides of the aluminum plate submodule as shown in Figure 34. The packing density of the flat packs is typically between 25-30 per board, since most of these submodules are pin limited and would have required six to eight layer boards to achieve the maximum packing density of 49 flat packs per board.

The remainder of the Interpreter logic is implemented with Texas Instruments discretionary wired, transistor-transistor logic (TTL) using their " N " and " S " arrays as follows:

```
8-bit Logic Unit (two Type " N " slices)
Memory Control Unit (two Type " N " slices)
Control Unit (two Type "S" slices)
```

This type of submodule is shown extended above the Interpreter in Figure 30.
A summary of the general characteristics of the individual arrays is given in Table II. Appendix II is the final report from Texas Instruments Incorporated on the LSI arrays.

Texas Instruments informed Burroughs in December 1971 that they were discontinuing fabrication of LSI Discretionary Routed Arrays (DRA) after the conclusion of their present commitments. However, several alternative packaging approaches exist which could package the Interpretcr logic as densely as in the LSI/DRA approach of Texas Instruments.


Figure 31. Aerospace Multiprocessor Installation at Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base


Figure 32. Multiprocessor Interconnection Scheme


Figure 33. Microprogram Memory, Nanomemory Submodule Packaging


Figure 34. Loader, Switch Interlock Submodule Packaging

Table II. Multiprocessor LSI Array Details

|  | LSI/DRA Number | Function | Typical Power | $\begin{gathered} \text { Input } \\ \text { Pins } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Output } \\ & \text { pint } \end{aligned}$Pins | Number of Colls |  |  |  |  | Equivalent Gates* | Number of Test Patterne Require |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Exclusive } \\ O R \end{array}$ | 3inp. NAND | 7 inp. NAND | ANI | FF |  |  |
| LU\#1 | 3013 | B Reg., Adder, 8SW1 Controls | 3.14 | 67 | 26 | 18 | 93 | 95 | 30 | 8 | 420 | 338 |
| LU \#2 | 3014 | BSW1, BSW2, A.Reg., MIR | 4.10 | 47 | 34 | 16 | 113 | 17 | 26 | 32 | 652 | 207 |
| cu \#1 | 3015 | SAR, Clock Controls, Adder Decode | 2.82 | 36 | 35 | 9 | 83 | 0 | 21 | 22 | 389 | 452 |
| cu \#2 | 3018 | Condision Reg., MPM Content Decode | 2.71 | 40 | 15 | 10 | 68 | 17 | 25 | 14 | 374 | 786 |
| MCU \#1 | 3017 | BR's, MAR, CTR, MPAD Controls | 3.52 | 42 | 35 | 11 | 71 | 4 | 23 | 38 | 487 | 349 |
| MCU \#2 | 3018 | MPCR, AMPCR, LIT, INCR. | 3.97 | 55 | 34 | 9 | 86 | 16 | 31 | 36 | 662 | 531 |
| Nasice |  | Total Available <br> (Recommended Uteage)** |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 232 \\ & 1701 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \text { (17) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} B 2 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & (30) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| S-slicat |  | Total Available (Recommended Useage) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ \text { (10) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \\ (60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \text { (19) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ (26) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |

-Exclusiwi OR $=\mathbf{3}$ gates; 3 -inp NAND $=1$ gate; 7 -inp. NAND $=1$ gete; And-Nor-Invert $=7$ gates; Filip-Flop $=8$ gates
**Recommended Design with up to $30 \%$ of each single circuit type. This due to limitation on routing
capsbility, not to circuit yiold.

Three of the approaches are as follows:

1. A flat pack version of the multiprocessor can be produced with the same volume, weight and power requirements as the LSI version.

The logic provided by two LSI chips can be duplicated with a maximum of 98, 16-pin flat packs as shown in Figure 35. With the use of multilayer boards, the 98 flat packs can be interconnected on the same 5 -inch by 5 -inch $1 / 2$-inch thick heat sink as used for two LSI chips.
2. By utilizing 60 -pin hybrid flat packs as produced by TI, it is possible to package two 8-bit Logic Units on a single heat sink as shown in Figure 36. The Control Unit and Memory Control Unit can be packaged together on a single heat sink to provide a reduction of $1 / 2$ the original volume. This technique would use Shottky low-power TTL.
3. A third approach which would give the same volumetric density as the present LSI model would be to utilize Hughes LSI which is produced by a proprietary pad-relocation process. The Hughes chips could be produced as one for one replacement of the LSI arrays used in the present processor or as a replacement for the logic on two LSI arrays that are presently mounted on one of the submodular housings.


Figure 35. Alteinative Packaging Approach Utilizing 16-pin
Flat Packs


Figure 36. Alterrative Packaging Approach Utilizing 60-pin Flat Packs

## SECTICN V

## IN TERPRETER OPERATION

During each clock period, a microinstruction is read from the MPM. The first four bits of this microinstruction indicate which of two types of instruction it is. If it is a Type $\mathbb{I}$ instruction, the remaining bits of the MPM word specify a Nanomemory address to be accessed. The Nanomemory is then initiated and its output, a set of 54 bits, provides the control functions as indicated in the listing below.

## Nano-Bits

| $1-4$ | Select a condition. |
| ---: | :--- |
| 5 | Selects true or complement of condition. |
| 6 | Specifies conditional or unconditional LU operation. |
| 7 | Specifies conditional or unconditional external |
| operation (me mory or device) |  |
| 8-10 | Specifies set/reset of condition. |
| $11-16$ | Successcr controls (wait, skip, step, etc.). |
| $17-26$ | Seiects A, B, and Z adder inputs |
| 27 | Byte carry control. |
| $28-31$ | Selects Boolean and basic arithmetic operations. |
| $32-33$ | Selects shift operation. |
| $34-36$ | Enables input to A registers. |
| $37-40$ | Selects input(s) to B register. |
| 41 | Enables input to MIR, |
| 42 | Enables input to AMPCR. |
| $43-48$ | Enables and selects input to address registers and |
|  | counter (MAR, BR1, BR2, CTR). |
| $49-50$ | Selects input toSAR. |
| $51-54$ | Selects external operations (read, write, lock, etc.), |
|  | MPM load, or Nanomemory load. |



M = MPA ACCESS TIME
$N=$ NANO ACCESS TIME
COND TEST AND SUCC DET. - CONDITION TEST AND SUCCESSOR DETERNINATION
BSW = BARREL SWITCH
DEST = BARREL SWITCH OUTPUT DESTINATIONS;I.E., REGISTERS IB, CTR, ETC.) AMD TMEAR INPUT LOGIC
C.R. = CONTROL REGISTER AND ASSOCIATED LOGIC

AIS = ADDER INPUT SELECTION FROM COMNAND REGISTER
Figure 37. Timing Analysis, Type I Instructions

If the microinstruction is Type II, the remaining bits of the MPM word are stored into one or two registers: namely, the SAR, LIT, SAR and LIT, or the AMPCR. The determination of which registers are to be loaded is specified by the first bits of the MPM word. The Nanomemory is not accessed during a Type II operation.

Each Type I microinstruction has two parts (or phases). The first fetches the instruction from the MPM and Nanomemory and the second executes the fetched instruction. Figure 37 illustrates these two basic phases of each Type I microinstruction.

The fetch phase involves: MPM accessing, Nanomemory accessing, condition testing, selection of cortrols for the next instruction (successor) address computation, and, in parallel, loading the control register for the execution of the microinstruction. A fetch phase occurs for every Type I microinstruction and requires one clock time. Since it always overlaps the execution phase of a prior Type I microinstruction (Figure 37), the performance of each microinstruction raquires effectively one clock interval.

The execution phase also requires one clock time and always overlaps the fecch phase of the next Type I instruction. The control signals for the execution phase are from the output of the control register and have two parts: signals specifying the logic unit operation (adder input selection, adder function, barrel switch shifting, etc.) and signals specifying the destination register(s) loading (i. e. clock enable 3 ). Both sets of these controls apply continuously from the start to the end of the phase; however, the destination registers are not changed until the occurrence of the clock pulse which signals the end of the execution phase and which simultaneously reloads the control register for the execution of a new logic unit operation. The completion of the execution phase (i.e. the destination register(s) loading), may be delayed or suspended for one or more clock times.

Suspended execution phase is the name given to an execution phase clock time whose logic unit operation has been and continues to be performed but whose destination register loading is postponed for one or more clock periods. This is accomplished by inhibiting clocks to both the control register and the destination registers. The register loading part of an execution phase depends on the subsequent microinstructions which follow the Type I instruction.

This suspended execution phase can occur for three primary reasons. The first and most frequent occurrence is when the next instruction from the MPM is a Type II instruction. This Type II instruction is executed during the same clock time it is fetched and the execution of the Type I instruction in progress is held in this suspended execution phase until the next clock interval. This allows the fetch phase of the next microinstruction (if it is a Type I) to bave an exccution phase to overlap. This provides condition bits (generated dynamically during the exscution phise of a microinstruction) that can be tested during the fetch phase of the next Type I microinstruction.
A. Type I followed by Type I for which a logic operation is required:

1. Type I
F
E
2. Type I
F
B. Type I followed by Type II, followed by Type I for which a logic operation is required.

| 1. Type I | F | SE | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Type II |  | II |  |
| 3. Type I |  |  | F |

C. Type I followed by Type I for which no logic operation is required, followed by Type I for which a logic operation is required.

1. Type I
F
SE
E
2. Type I
F
3. Type I
F
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { F } & \text { Fetch } \\ \text { E } & \text { Execution } \\ \text { SE } & \text { Suspended Execution }\end{array}\right\}$ Type I
II Type II

Figure 38. Instruction T'iming

This instruction overlap is more graphically illustrated in Figure 38 where the horizontal scale is "time". Example A of Figure 38 shows the case of sequential Type I instructions. Example B of Figure 38 shows the case of a Type I microinstruction followed by a Type II, which causes the execution phase of the preceding microinstruction (a Type I) to be suspended so that the execution will overlap the fetch phase of the third instruction (also a Type 1). In case the third instruction had also been a Type II, the execution phase of the first microinstruction (the Type I) would have again been suspended. It is important to realize that since the execution phase of a Type I microinstruction is delayed by a Type II, the SAR, LIT, or AMPCR registers could be loaded with a value that would change the result of the operation during the completion of the execution of the Type I microinstruction.

The second reason for the occurrence of a suspended execution phase is due to the existence of conditional logic unit operations. A Type I microinstruction which does not contain a conditional logic operation always has a fetch phase and an execution phase. However, a Type I microinstruction which does contain a conditional logic operation falls into either of two categories: if the condition is met, both the fetch phase and execution phase will be performed; if the condition is not met, only the fetch phase will be done. However, even when the execution phase of a conditional Type I microinstruction is ignored, the fetch phase of the next Type I microinstruction must have an execution phase to overlap in order to have values for dynamic conditions. This is accomplished by forcing the prior Type I instruction into a suspended execution phase, which inhibits clocks from the destination registers and control register, which causes the execution phase of the current microinstruction to be disregarded. This is shown in example C of Figure 38. Example C shows a suspended execution phase occurring when the condition tested in the second microinstruction is not met, resulting in discarding the execution phase of that second instruction. More detailed examples explaining the above concepts appear in Figure 39, where CR refers to the command register, the vertical lines indicate the occurrence of a clock, and an X appears over clocks which are inhibited from occurring.

The other reason for a suspended execution phase is for use during the loading of the MPM and Nanomemory.

Since microprogram timing is important in the execution of microprograms on the Interpreter, the following summary of timing concepts must be kept in mind by the programmer in the creation of microprograms:

1. A fetch phase of a microinstruction is always executed in parallel with an execution (or suspended execution) phase of another microinstruction.
2. All Type I unconditional instructions
a. $\mathrm{Al}+\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}$
b. $A 2+B \rightarrow A 2$
c. $A 3+B \rightarrow A 3$
d. AlC $\rightarrow$ Al;
3. All Type I instructions where both $A O V$ and $A B T$ test true
a. $\mathrm{Al}+\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}$
b. If $A O Y$ then $A 2+B \rightarrow A 2$
c. If $A B T$ then $A 3+B \rightarrow A 3$
d. $\mathrm{AlC} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}$;
4. All Type I instructions where AOV tests false; $A B T$ tests true
a. $\mathrm{Al}+\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}$
b. If $A O V$ then $A 2+B \rightarrow A 2$
c. If $A B T$ then $A 3+B \rightarrow A 3$
d. Al $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}$;
5. Type I and Type II instructions Resulting A2 contains least 4 bits left justified
a. $2 \rightarrow$ SAR; $\quad 3 \rightarrow$ LIT
b. A2 and LIT $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ 2
c. $4 \rightarrow$ SAR; $15 \rightarrow$ LIT
d. $\mathrm{AlC} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Al}$ :


Figure 39. Timing Example
2. A suspended execution phase occurs primarily due to a successor that is either a Type II or a Type 1 microinstruction which contains a conditional logic unit operation that has not been satisfied.
3. A suspended execution phase of a Type I microinstruction which consists of both a fetch phase and an execution phase) does not become completed until the oceurrence of another Type I microinstruction which also consists of both phases.
4. Any microinstruction which either causes a suspended execution phase to be initiated or prolongs an existing suspended execution phase is actually executed in time between the fetch phase and the execution phase of the affected Type I microinstruction although ii may programmatically follow it.

The sequencing of microinstructions is also important in understanding the Interpreter operation.

The secuencing of Type I microprogram instructions is controlled by the following procedure: The MPM addresses the nanomemory which provides information to the condition testing logic indicating which condition is to be tested. The condition testing logic provides a True/False signal to the successor selection logic which selects between the three True and three False successor bits (also from the Nanomemory). The three selected bits (True/False) provide eight possible successor command combinations listed below and also shown in Figure 40 . A Type II microinstruction (which does not access the Nanomemory) has an implicit STEP successor.

| Wait | Repeat the current instruction |
| :--- | :--- |
| Step | Step to the next instruction |
| Skip | Skip the next instruction |
| Jump | Jump to another area of MPM (as specified by AMPCR) |
| Retn | Return from a Micro subroutine |
| Call | Call a Micro subroutine, saving the return address |
| Save | Save the address of the head of a loop |
| Exec | Execute one instruction out of sequence |

The particular chosen successor command then provides controls used in the selection (MPCR/AMPCR) and incrementing logic which generates the next MPM address. Except for the EXEC command, the MPCR is loaded with this MPM address.


Figure 40. Microprogram Instruction Sequencing

## SECTION VI

## SWITCH INTERLOCK OPERATION

## OVERALL SWITCH INTERLOCK CONTROL AND TIMING

Figure 41 is a block diagram of the Switch Interlock (SWI), connecting five Interpreters to eight devices and eight memory modules. The transmission paths through the SWI break the 32 -bit data word into 8 wires carrying 4 serial bits each.

Only Interpreters can issue control signals to access memories or devices. A memory module or device cannot initiate a path through the Switch Interlock, but it may, however, provide a signal to the interpreter to an unused condition bit via a display register*, a device connected to the SWI. Thus, transfer between devices and memories must be via and under the control of an Interpreter. Connection with a device-like port is by "reservation" for exclusive use by an Interpreter and is maintained until released by that Interpreter or in the case of that Interpreter failing. (A memory could be attached to a device-like port if locking of an Interpreter to a memory is desired.; Connection with a memory-like port is for the duration of a single data word exchange. (Note also that a device could be attached to a memory-like port. To simplify the description however, these two types of ports will be referred to just as device ports and memory ports in the following discussion).

[^0]

5 trteapreters

- MEMORY MODULES
a DEVICES

Figure 41. Switch Interlock, Block Diagram

Controls are routed from the Interpreters through the MDC to the MC and the DC which, in turn, check availability, resolve conflicts, and perform the other functions that are characteristic of the Switch Interlock. Data and addresses do not pass through the MDC, but are wired directly to the OSN's.

Events are initiated by the Interp reter for access to memories or devices. The Interpreter awaits return signals from the MDC. Upon receipt of these signals, it proceeds with its program. Lacking such positive return signals, it will either wait, or retry continuously, depending upon the Interpreter program (and not on the Switch Interlock). A timeout waiting for a response will be performed by a counter (called the "HORN") that will force a STEP in the microprogram after a preset length of time and will set a condition bit to indicate a failed memory module or device due to the lack of a response. This counter is reset every time any memory or device operation is done.

Among the significant signals which are meaningful responses to an Interpreter and testable as conditions are the following:

Switch Interlock has Accepted Information (SAI)

Read Complete (RDC) or Request of Device Complete (RDC)

Horn Overflow (HOV)

The MAR and MIR of the Interpreter may be reloaded and a memory or device has been connected.

Data is available to be gated into the $B$ register of the Interpreter or the device written to has accepted its information.

No memory or device operations have have been performed for the last $2^{20}$ Interpreter clock times.

The rationale for this "handshaking" approach is consistent with the overall Interpreter-based system design which permits the maximum latitude in the selection of memory and device speeds. Thus the microprogrammer has the ability (as well as the responsibility) to provide the timing constraints for any system configuration.

For each Interpreter, the Switch Interlock provides three buffer shift registers.

1. Address data for $S$ memory and devices from the specified MAR1 or MAR2, (XDA).
2. Output date from the MIR. (XDO).
3. Input data for assembiy and subsequent acceptance into the B register. (XDI). Data in this register may be repeatedly read non-destructively until the next device or memory operation is initiated (the last read may be concurrent with the next operation), provided no intervening instruction uses a $B$ register input selection involving the MIR.

## DEVICE OPERATIONS

The philosophy of device operations is based upon an Interpreter using a device for a "long" period of time without interruption. This is accomplished by "locking" an Interpreter to a device. (The reader is reminded that a memory could be attached to a "device-like" port.)

The device operations include lock (DL), read (DR), write (DW), and unlock (DU). Each device operation uses as a device identification the value of the most significant three bits of BR1 or BR2 as indicated in the operation suffix, e.g., DL1. This identification is not stored by the Switch Interlock; consequently it must be maintained until the device operation is completed, or until some other device or memory action is desired. Any change to the device identification while a device operation is in progress breaks the selected path to or from the Interpreter. Unless the normal completion occurs concurrently, the prior device operation is terminated. The value in MAR and in the least significant 6 bits of BR1 or BR2 pass through the Switch Interlock to the device as required. A signal indicating read or write is placed in the most significant bit of the XDA shift register in place of one of the module address bits which are not needed by the memory module or device.

The ground-rules for device operations are listed below:

1. An Interpreter must be locked to a device in order to read from or write to that device.
2. An Interpreter may be locked to several devices at the same time.
3. A device can only be locked to one Interpreter at a time.
4. When an Interpreter is finished using a device, it should be unlocked so other Interpreters can use it. Devices locked to to a failed Interpreter are unlocked by turning power off to the failed Interpreter.

A block diagram of the DC is given in Figure 18 in the Multiprocessor Hardware Section of this report. One primary purpose of the DC is to resolve conflicts in device lock (DL) and device unlock (DU) requests that may occur.

The second purpose of the DC is to check to make sure a device is locked to an Interpreter that is requesting to read from, write to, or unlock from that device. This is accomplished by the "Lock Check for Device Operation" in the right of Figure 18 .

If an Interpreter issues a read or write command in an attempt to control a device, and it has not previously locked the device, it will not be given access to the device regardless of its (the Interpreter's) priority status. However, as stated above, if it had previously locked the device, it has explicit priority to that same device.

## Device Lock and Unlock

Timing diagrams for DL and DU operations are shown in Figures 42 and 43 . In both cases, controls from the Interpreter (Nanobics 51-54) are strobed into the mem/dev operation register of the MDC if either the Type I microinstruction is unconditional or the sfilected condition is true, independent of whether the next instruction is Type I or Type II. A Device Operation signal and either a Lock Request or an Unlock Request are derived from the outp'at of this register and are sent from the MDC to the DC, concurrent with a 3-bit address being sent to the DC from the selected base register output of the Interpreter.

For the case of pither a 1 L to a device previously locked to the requesting Interpreter or a DU to a device previously unlocked from any Interpreter (shown in Figure 42 ), an appropriate statua signai is returned from the DC to the MDC, and conlict resolution for actually performing the DL or DU is of no consequence. In these two cases, the flip-flop in the MDC for synchronizing the SAI signal is set with the next clock. Tise actual SAI flip-flop in the Interpreter will then be set with the second clock and will test true during the letch phase of the third instruction following the DL or DU.

However, for the cases, ${ }^{\circ}$ a D to an unlocked device or a DU to a device locked to the requesting Interpreter (shown in Figure 43), conflict resolution is necessary. The DI, request from the highest priority requesting Interpreter is honored over a co-occurring request for the same device from any lowe: priority Interpreter. Concurrent DI or DU requests for different devices may cause the lower priority request to incur a one clock delay in achieving the DI or DU and in retusn of SAI, for each higher priority request, Consequenty DL or DU requests from Liempreters other than the highest prinrity may be arbitra ly delayed. The earliest confirming SAI rosponse occurs 3 inst: lictic, anter issue of the DL or LUU. If SAI is true, then the DLA or DU was successial. II SAi is talse, then it means that the DL or DU is not vet successful. The design justification for this putential arbitrary deiay is that DL or DU are infrequent events for which a abitraty delay is of little consequence.

## 1)evice Read alt Write

A timing diagram for DR or DW is stiown in Figure 44. As for DL and ! UU, controls from '.e Interpreter (Nanobits 51-54) are strobed into the mem; dev opecation resister of the inbl If either the Type ! micooinstruction is unconditional or the felected condition is true, independent of whether the next instruction is Type 1 or Type If Controls derived from the output of this register will next load th. satput shift legasters of the Interpreter and will send a Device Operation signad


NOTE: Levels on diagram indicate validity or nonvalidity and not necessarily logic level.

Figure 42. Timing Diagram for Device Lock to Device Previously Locked to Requesting Interpreter or for Device Unlonk to Device Previously Unlocked from Any Interpreter


Figure 43. Timing Diagram for Device Lock to Untocked levice: Enlock to Device Locked to Requesting Interpreter

iigure 44. Timing Diagram for Device Read or Write from Device Locked to Requesting Interpreter
from the MDC to the $D C$, concurrent with a 3 -bit address being sent from the selected base register of the Interpreter to check the lock status of that device. After it is confirmed that the device is locked, the DC returns a signal to the MDC which will cause a clear pulse to be sent to the device interface logic through the device OSN and will initiate the setting of SAI and the transmission of high speed clocks to the cutput shift register of the Interpreter and through the OSN's to the device interface.

For both a DR and DW, the device interface counts four clocks coming into it and then stops accepting high speed clocks. In the case of a read, the device interface usually waits for some kind of Data Available signal from the device (such as "column strobe" from a card reader) which it will use to load its output shift registers and to allow four high speed clocks which are still arriving from the OSN to clock these output shift registers and to be returned to the MDC and the Interpreter with the serial data. The MDC will count four return clocks and will get a flip-flop in the MDC for synchronizing RDC. This signal is sent from the MDC to that Interpreter, for setting RDC, which then will test true during the following clock time. The value in the selected base register must not be changed during a device read, as shown in the timing diagram.

In the case of a write, the response is very dependent upon the particular device being interfaced. For the card reader, the next four high speed clocks are turned around and sent back to the Interpreter (status was chosen to be sent back as a "bonus"). In the case of the printer, a signal saying the last character was accepted by the printer is used by the device interface to allow return clocks. The four return clocks are counted by the MDC and are used as a means of saying that the device accepted the data sent out by setting RDC as for a DR. As in the case of a device read, the value in the selected base register must not be changed during a device write.

## Device Use Sequence

The sequence of device cperations necessary for an Interpreter to use a device is as follows:

1. A tesi of IF SAI should be included in some instruction to reset it. This usually can be in the instruction with the unconditional device operation.
2. Device Lock Request: The most significant three bits of the indicated base register are used as the device identification. The third following clock time will be the earliest SAl could have become true. SAI is then tested.

[^1]2.1 If true, then the device lock was successful.
2.2 If false, then the device lock was unsuccessful. The request remains in progress while other instructions not changing the device identification or issuing other memory or device operations may be executed. The DL request is terminated by the first of the following actions:
(a) The Interpreter initiates another memory or device operation.
(b) The Interpreter changes the device identification in the selected base register.
(c) The device becomes available and sets SAI. Ail cooccurring actions are valid. Should (a) and (c) co-occur or (b) and (c) co-occur, SAI refers to the DL for the following two instruction times and should be tested. In the instructions thereafter, SAI refers to the new memory or device operation. Should termination by (b) occur without co-occurrence of (c), the nev device identification applies to the DL still in progress, and the path for SAI return is diverted to the newly identified device (if there is one so identiried) without reissue of another DL.
3. Once the desired device is locked to the Interpreter, a sequence of one or more data exchanges may be initiated using a device write or device read.
4. Device Write: The data in the indicated base register is user to specify the device, and the data in the MIR provides the information to be written to the device. The second instruction after the device write, SAI may be tested. If true, the Interpreter is locked to the device, the data in the MIR has been accepted by the XDO register, and so the MIR may subsequently be changed. If false, the Interpreter was not locked to the requesting device.

The device provides four high-speed return clocks to generate an RDC when it has completed the requested write. Similar to DL, the request continues until the first of the corresponding 3 actions.
(a) The Interpreter initiates another memory or device operation.
(b) The Interpreter changes the device identification.
(c) The DW is completed and sets RDC. All co-occurring actions are valid. Should (a) and (c) co-occur or (b) and (c) cooccur, SAI refers to the DW for the following instruction time and ahould be tested. In the next following instruction SAI then refars to the new memory or device operation. Should (b) not co-occur with (c), then the DW in progress is diverted to apply to the new device identification without reissue of another DL.
5. Device Read: The data in the specified base register is used to specify the device. The second instruction after the device read, SAI may be tested. If true, the Interpreter is locked to the device; otherwise not.

The device provides four high speed return clocks with the returning data to generate an RDC after the device read. Thus, the same instruction that finds RDC true may include BEX. RDC should be reset by testing prior to use for device read (usually as part of the prior instruction using BEX).
6. Device Unlock: When use of the device is completed, the lock should be terminated by issuing a device unlock. An SAI is returned if the issuing Interpreter was locked to the device. An attempt to unlock a device that is not locked to the Interpreter will not return SAI. SAI is available for test at earliest the third instruction after the device unlock.

## MEMORY OPERA TIONS

Memory modules normally cannot be locked and are assumed to require minimum access time and a short "hold" time by any single Interpreter. (The reader is reminded that a device could be attached to a "memory-like" port.) Conflicts in access to the same module are resolved in favor of the highest priority requesting Interpreter. Once access is granted, it continues until that memory operation is complete. When one access is complete, the highest priority request is honored from those Interpreters then in contention.

The memory operations include read (MR) and write (MW). Each memory operation uses as a memory address the value in MAR1 and MAR2 (BR1 or BR2 concatenated with MAR). The most significant 3 bits of the address specifies a memory mociule with the rest indicating locations within the module.

The MC, shown in Figures 19 and 20 of the Multiprocessor Hardware section of this report, provides for resalution of conflicts (this is fixed or wired priority) among contending Interpreters. Once conflicts have been resolved and acc. ss has been granted to a memory module by an Interpreter, the ViC "remembers' this connection throughout the memory operation, allowing the selected base register to be changed as opposed to requiring the selected base register value to be maintained as for device operations. This register also allows for future
modification to the MC to allow "remembering" the connection until that Interpreter uses a different memory module. This would allow almost a one clock time faster access to the memory moduie if the next request is also to the remembered memory module, since no priority resolution need take place. More specifically, when a memory module would be requested by an Interpreter, the module name would be compared with the register which would contain the number of the last module which that Interpreter accessed. If it would match, the priority logic would then be bypassed, thus saving time. If it would not, it would mean that the memory either had been previously used by another Interpreter, or would presently be in contention for by other Interpreters, or would presently be in use by another Interpreter. In this case the requesting Iniorpreter would route its request through the priority logic (a few gate levels of delay). When access would be granted, the memory module address would then be clocked isto the register in the part of the MC for the requesting Interpreter by the vext Interpreter clock and the register for any uther Interpreter containing that address would be reset to all zeros.

If locking of a memory module is required for purposes of block transfers or similar reasons, a memory is designated as a device and is placed under the control of the DC in which locking is permitted.

## Memory Read and Write

A timing diagram for MR and MW is shown in Figure 45. As for device operations, controls from the Interpreter (Nanobits 51-54) are strobed into the mem/dev operation register of the MDC if either the Type I microinstruction is unconditional or the selected condition is true, independent of whether the next instruction is Type I or Type II. Controls derived from the output oi this register will next load the output shift registers of the Interpreter and will send a Memory Request signal from the MDC to the MC, concurrent with a three bit address being sent from the selected base register of the Interpreter. This initiates the priority logic in the MC. When the MC has granted access by that Interpreter to the memory module it was requesting, a signal is returned from the MC to the MDC that will cause a clear pulse to be sent to the memory interface logic through the memory OSN and will initiate the setting of SAI and the transmission of high speed clocks to the output shift registers of the Interpreter and through the OSN's to the memory interface.

In the case of a memory write, the counter in the MDC vill count four output high speed clonks and will then stop them.

In the case of a memory read, output high speed clocks are not counted. Instead, these higi speed ciocke are continually sent to the memory module interface. This interface will count four clocks coming into it and will then initiate a memory read. Upon return of a data available signal from the memory, the memory interface will load its output shift registers and then allow four of the higl: specd clocks that are still coming through the OSN to clock these output shifi registers and to be returned to the MDC and the Interpreter with the shifted


Figure 45. Timing Diagram for Memory Read or Write
out data. The MDC will count four of these memory return clocks and will then stop the high speed output clocks and set RDC indicating that the data has been shifted into the Interpreter input shift registers and is ready to be strobed into the $B$ register.

## Memory Use Sequence

The sequence of operations necessary to access $S$ memory is simple in single Interpreter systems where no conflict in access can exist. In such cases once the address setup is complete (as is the MRR for write), the memory read (or write) can be initiated. After a suitable time the data from memory can be accessed via BEX or BBE. In the presence of conflict potential, the following control sequence should be used. This sequence is recommended for systems without a Switch Interlock as well.

1. Merisory read
1.1 A test of RDC should be included in some prior instruction in order to reset RDC. By convention this should be the previous memory read (or device read or write). A test of SAI also should be included in some prior instruction in order to reset SAI if address register changes are required after issuing the memory read before the RDC is returned, or if confirmation of access to the switch interlock is desired.
2. 2 The address should be in the selected base register and MAR.
3. 3 The memory read can then be initiated the instruction after the address has the desired value.
1.4 An SAI is returned when the Switch Interlock has accepted the address and the memory is connected to the requesting Interpreter through the Switch Interlock.
1.5 A group of intervening instructions can be issued, depending on the relative speeds of the Interpreter clock and the S memory. Once SAI is set and tested, these instructions may change the address registers.
4. 6 An RDC (read complete) signal is returned when data is available for entry into the Interpreter.
1.7 If no intervening device or memory reads occur and no intervening instruction used a $B$ register input selection involving the MIP, BEX may be repeated, each time receiving the data in XDI non-destructively.

(a) Timing of Signals from SWI to Interface

(b) Timing of signals to sili from Interface

Figure 46. SWI/Interface Timing Signals

## 2. Memory Write

2.1 A test of SAI should be included in some prior instruction in order to reset SAI.
2.2 The data to be written should be in MIR.
2.3 The address should be in the selected base register and MAR.
2. 4 The memory write can then be initiated the instruction after both the address and data have the desired values.
2. 5 Return of SAI indicates that the memory is connected and therefore the addrens and data have been accepted in the XDA and XDO buffer registers respectively, and thus the address registers and MIR may be subsequently changed.

## INTERFACE TO SWI

The interface to eacis memory or device port is functionally identical. For the aerospace multiprocessor, the interface from the SWI to the memory or device interface consists of a clear line, a high speed clock line, 8 data ines of 4 serial bits each and 4 address lines of 4 serial bits each. (The most significant bit of the BR is replaced by a read/write signal in the serial address sent to the memory or device port.) The interface from the memory or device interface to the SWI consists of a return high speed clock line and 8 data lines of 4 serial bits each.

The relative timing of these signals at the interface is shown in Figure 46. The timing in this figure was measured using one Interpreter and memory module only at the indicated frequency and should not be interpreted as resulting from any worst case timing analysis. In Figure 46a, the 330 nanosecond delay from clear to the high speed clock becomes smaller as the frequency of the high speed clock is increased. The widths of the clear and the 60 nanosecond delay from high speed clock to data are independent of the frequency or width of the high speed clock. In Figure 46 b , the relationship between data and clock should be independent of the frequency or width of the high speed clock.

A block diagram of a generalized memory or device interface is shown in Figure 47. The bottom half of the figure shows the accumulation of the serial input data from the SWI, and the top half of the figure shows the transmission of the serial output data to the SWI along with the return clock.


Figure $4^{7}$. . Vemory Derice Interface with SWI, Block Diagram

## DEVICE INTERFACE OPERATION EXAMPLES

## Line Printer

The printer is device No. 1 (i.e. the most significant three bits of the selected base register are 001). It is assumed that the appropriate locking to the printer will have been performed prior to initiating printer operations.

## Line Printer Operation

The values of the bits of the MAR accompanying a DW or DR to the printer are interpreted as follows:

MAR 7 (LSB) unused

MAR 6
= 0 for forms controls in six LSB's of MIR
= 1 for character in six LSB's of MIR
MAR $5 \quad\{=0$ when transferring characters

MAR 0-4 unused

The following sequence will print a full 132 character line followed by a single space.

Printer/Interpreter Synchronization
To synchronize the Interpreter with the printer clock, a DR with controls bits 010 in the least significant three bits of the MAR is issued. This operation has no effect upon the printer, but causes the DDP to return an RDC on the trailing edge of the next printer clock.

## Printer Buffer Loading

133 characters must be transferred into the print buffer. The last 132 of these will print from right to left on the line. The first character is totally ignored. Character transfer is initiated by a DW with control bits 010 in the least significant three bits of the MAR. The 6 least significant bits of the MIR which are present at the end of the Fetch Phase of the instruction containing the DW are transferred into the printer buffer as a BCL character. After the character has been accepted by the printer an RDC is returned. In the same clock in which this RDC is received, a DW containing the next character must be initiated as described below under "Timing Considerations". The first DW in the sequence of 133 should wait for the RDC which is received from the synchronizing DR.

## Print Initiation

When the RDC from the 133 rd character transfer is received, a DW with control bit; 100 in the MAR and all zeros in the MiR is issued. This control will cause the printer to print the buffer.

## Single Space Initiation

When the RDC from the print is received, a DW with control bits 100 in the MAR, a one in the least significant bit of the MIR and zeros in all other MIR bits is issued. This will cause a single space. Other spacing can be done instead by placing other values in the six least significant bits of the MIR. The format of the MIR for forms control is as follows.

| MIR | 31 (LSB) | PSSL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | PDSL | ONE for single space |
| 29 | FC1L | FNE for double space |
| 28 | FC21 | Format controls for variable spacing |
| 27 | FC4L | $(110000$ for bottom of form) |
| 26 | FC8L | $(000100$ for top of form) |
| 25 | unused |  |
| 24 | unused |  |

Delay for Printing/Spacing
A delay of approximately 150 milliseconds must elapse prior to filling the buffer for the next line. With this delcy a continuous printing speed of 400 lines per minute canbe maintained.

## Status Information

When RDC is returned from either a DW or DR. a BEX instruction will bring status information into the $B$ register as follows:

| 31 (LSB) | PRRL | Ready, ZERO when ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | PAML | Paper Motion, ZERO when paper in <br> motion or print cycle in progress |
| 29 | PCYL | Cycle, ZERO when print cycle in progress |
| 20 | EOPL | End of Page, ZERO when end of page sensed <br> Parity Error, ZEKO for transmission parity <br> and or print counter sync error |
| 27 | PPEL | PFCP <br> 26 |
|  | Final Character Pulse, ZERO after last |  |
| character of line |  |  |

If the program does not test for the not ready condition and the stop button is pushed, the program will continue to send and receive information from the DDP although no actual printing will occur and data will be lost. To control printing, the ready lejel reed only be tested once each line prior to filling the print buffer, since the not ready condition (STOP light on) cannot occur after $\therefore$ load buffer instruction until the line has been printec.

## Timing Considerations

Loading of the printer buffer involves the transfer of a BCL character from an Interpreter io the printer every 10 microseconds. Because the data transferred should be present on the printer input lines for at least 9 microseconds prior to its acceptance by the printer (for reliable settling), only 1 microsecond should elapse between the termination of transfer of one character and the initiation of transfer of the next. If less than 9 microseconds are allowed for settling, some bit positions with value 0 will be read incorrectly as 1 , thus causing random incorrect characters to be printed.

The transfer of data from the printer input lines into the printer buffer occurs every 10 microseconds on the trailing edge of the printer clock pulse. This clock pulse also causes the status bit to be sent to the SWI from the printer DDP. After the last of these data bits has been received by the SWI, the return of an RDC to the Interpreter is initiated. Because of resynchronization delays in the SWI, this RDC will not be detected by the Interpreter until $21 / 2$ clocks later on the average. The Interpreter must then issue a new DW containing the next character to be loaded. This character will begin transferring into the DDP at the end of the clock in which the IWW i:s initiated. The transfer will take 4 high-speed clocks to complete, at which time the new character will be present on the printer input lines, and will begin settling. The entire process described here should occur within 1 microsecond in order that 9 microseconds will be available for settling.

## Card Reader

The card reader is device No. 2 (i. e. the most significant three bits of the selected base register are 010.) To be used the card reader must he locked to an Interpreter and the base register must select the card reader. Upon successful completion of $O L$, an $S A I$ is returned to the Interpreter.

To start up a card reader it must be sent proper bits in a DW or a $10 R$ instruction. The values of the MAR accompanying the DW are interpreted as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Least significant bit: } & 0 \text { Don't return data to SWI } \\
& 1 \text { Return data to SW'I }
\end{array}
$$

The LSB is normally a 1 , the 0 value allows skipping cards or testing card reader mechanical functions without data or RDC returns to the SWI.

| Next to LSB: | 0 Return character bits as data |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 Return status bits as data |
|  |  |
| Third from LSB: | 0 Read as BCL |
|  | 1 Read as Hollerith |

This Hollerith reading function is not wired on the present card reader DDP for the 6 high rows ( $11,12,0,1,2,3$ ); only the sole pattern for the 6 low rows (4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9) are returned.

Fourth from LSB: $\quad 0$ Don't operate card reader<br>1 Operate card reader

The 0 value allows checking of DDP functions without the noise of the card reader.
These control bits apply to the DW which they accompany and to all following DR's for this card reader until changed by another device write, Upon completion of a DW, data is returned to the Interpreter via the SWI and an RDC occurs to mark the end of the data reply for the write. When status is selected as data, the status returned with the DW (and subsequent IDR's, if any) is valid, however the character returned with the DW is likely to be meaningless. The status bits returned are these:

| LSB: CRL: | Ready, ONE for ready |
| :--- | :--- |
| CCL: | Present, ONE For duratinn $n$ each rart |
| CREL: | Error, ONE for reader detected error |
| CRCL: | Start, ONE for START button Not operated |
| EOF: | End of File, ONF for Hopper Not Empty or for EOF |
|  | button Not operated (ZERO for Empty Hopper |
|  | and EOF Button operated.) |
| Not used: | Zero |
| Not used: | Zero |
| MSB: Not used: | Zero |

Immediately upon receipt of a DW containing bits set to operate it, the card reader begins to read cards at its maximum rate. Since the lDP for the card reader has but a 1 column buffer, it is necessary for the program in the Interpreter to send a DR instruction for each column. The synchronization of DR's and column reads in the DDP is as follows: Case 1. The DR arrives at the DDP before the column read is ready: The IR waits at the DDP until the column read is ready; then transmits data and return clocks to the Interpreter. If during this wait another SWI operation is invoked which returns as RDC betore the column read is ready, the DR in the card reader DIDP is lost and a new device read must be sent to the card reader to capture the data of this column. Upon sending the data of this column, the state of the DDP is set to show no column read ready. Case 2: The 1 DR arrives at the IDDP after the column read is ready. The DR immediately returns data and return clocks to the Interpreter and sets the state of the DDP to show no column read ready. If during the actions of this DR, another SWI operation is invoked which returns an RDC before the IPR is complete, the DR in the card reader DDP is lost, the card column is lost and the control sequence of the DIDP is confused.

## SECTION VII

## INTERPRETER MIC ROPROGIRAMMING

Microprogramming is that procedure the designer uses to specify the action, function, and state of each of the Interpreter logic elements during every clock time. (A historical background of microprogramming is given in appendix I). In this sense, microprogramming replaces the function of hardware sequential logic used to cause the machine to execute an instruction requiring more than one clock time. Thus, microprogramming is essentially similar to sequential logic design. However, no logic (hardware) is added in the sequential logic design, but rather the existing registers, data paths, and control gates are used in a specific order to bring about the desired logical result.

The pattern of ones and zeros in the Microprogram Memory (MPM) and nanomemor: (together with the data) determines the operation of the Interpreter. The microprogrammer is concerned with the generation of these patterns lo provide the desired control functions. However, instead of actually writing these patterns, the microprogrammer is assisted by a microtranslator (or assembler) that allows him to write microinstructions muemonically. The microtranslator then scans these instructions and produces the pattern of ones and zeros to be placed into the MPM and Nanomemories.

Figure 48 indicates how one can learn to mic roprogram the machine and the simplicity of the microprogram structure. The high degree of parallelism in the Interpreter is also evident from the powerful statements that can be expressed. For example, the following actions may be expressed and performed in one instruction:
test a condition (for either True or F alse)
set / reset a condition
initiate an external operation (e.g., memory read)

Type 1-Use of nano mennory (54 bits)

${ }^{*}$ Groups A and B may be executed either conditionally as shown or unconditionally by being placed before condition test.

Type II - Loads any of 3 specified registers (no neno memory socuss, step successor)
Four variations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \rightarrow \text { SAR } \\
& k \rightarrow \text { LIT } \\
& k \rightarrow \text { AMPCR } \\
& k_{1} \rightarrow \text { SAR: } k_{2} \rightarrow \text { LIT }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 48. Microinstruction Types

```
perform an add operation
shift the result of the add
store the results in a number of registers
increment a counter
complement the shift amount
choose the successor microinstruction
```

It is also possible to perform these operations either conditionally ar unconditionally as suggested in Figure 48. The group A and group B portions (either, neither, or both) of the microinstruction may be placed before the condition test portion of the instruction. This will result in that portion ( $A$ and/or B) being performed unconditionally.

The following four microinstruction examples illustrate both the parallelism and the conditional/unconditional properties of the microinstructions.
(1) If NOT LST then Set LC1, Mil; A1 + B + $1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A} 2$, M1R, CSAR, INC; Step else jump
(2) Set LC1, MR1; If NOT LST then $A 1+B+1 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} 2$, MIR, CSAR, NC; Step else Jump
(3) $\mathrm{A} 1+\mathrm{B}+1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A} 2$, MIR, $\mathrm{CSAR}, 1 \mathrm{NC}$; If NOT LST then Set LC1, MR1; Step else Jump
(4) Set LC1, MR1; $A 2+B+1 C-A 2$, MIR, CSAR, INC; If NOT LST then Step else Jump

In (1) the LST bit is tested and if not true, the local condition 1 (IC1) is set. memory read is initiated (MR1), the function $A l+B+1$ is performed in the adder, the adder output is shifted circular and the result stored in both the A2 and MIR registers, the content of the shift amount register is complemented (CSAR), the counter is incremented (INC), and the true successor (STEP) is selected. If the LST bit is true, none of these operations are pertormed and the false successor (.JUMP) is executed.

In (2) the LC1 is set and the memory read is initiated (MR1) unconditionally (i.e., without considering the LST bit). The remaining functions are conditionally performed as in (1).

In (3), the functions $A 1+B+1 C-A 2$, MIR, CSAR, INC are performed unconditionally but set LC1 and MR1 are performed conditionally.

In (4) the functions Set LC1, MR1, $\hat{i} 1+B+1 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} 2, \mathrm{MIR}, \mathrm{CSAR}, \mathrm{NC}$ are all performed unconditionally and only the successors Step and Jump depend upon the LST test.

## TRANSLANG FOR MICROPROGRAMMING

The TRANSlator LANGuage (TRANSLANG) program is an assembler for Interpreter microprograms. The complete syntax of $\overline{1}$ fin NSt ANG is given in Appendix IV. It employs a vocabulary of reserved words and symbols used to develop a microprogram and its corresponding table of nanoinstructions. Reserved words and symbols are grouped as defined in this report to form microinstructions and programs. The reserved words are summarized in Appendix V.

Two versions of TRANSLANG exist for the aerospace multiprocessor. One version is written in Burroughs Compatible ALGOL which can run on both Burroughs B 5500 and 36700 systems. This TRANSLANG is described in this section and in more detail in Burronghs Microprogramming Manual for Interpreter Based Systems, TR70-8. The second version is written in FORTRAN for the CDC 6600, and is described in A FORTRAN Microprogram Translator, an Air Force Institute of Technology thesis GGC/EE/72-2. The TRANSLANG syntax and semantics for the FORTRAN version are the same as that described here and in TR70-8 with the exceptions listed ir an appendix to the thesis.

Each TRANSLANG line corresponds to one microinstruction which is the set of Interpreter functions performed in parallel at each machine clock. The constructs include iterative mechanisms, I/O, Boolean, logical and computational operations, control transfers and assignment functions. in order to provide control points for transfer operations, each instruction may be labeled with a symbolic microaddress.

The INSERT function has been included to allow for the use of a macro library of previously debugged microprograms.

Conventions in Language Description
Backus-Naur form (BNF) is used as the metalanguage to define the syntax of TRANSILANG. The following BNF symbols are used:

1. 〈〉 Left and right broken brackets are used to bracket the names of syntactic categories.
2. :: = Colon colon equal means "is defined as" and separates the name of the syntactic category from its definition.
3. | Bar separates alternative definitions of a syntactic category.
4. $\}$ Left and right braces enclose an English language description of a syntactic unit.

Any character or symbol in a metalanguage formula which is not a metalanguage symbol and is not enclosed within matching braces or broken brackets，denotes itself．

## Basic Elements

| $\langle$ Letter〉：$=$ | $\begin{aligned} & A\|B\| C\|D\| E\|F\| G\|H\| I\|J\| K\|L\| M\|N\| O \\ & P\|Q\| R\|S\| T\|U\| V\|W\| X\|Y\| Z \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 〈Digit＞：：$=$ | $0\|1\| 2\|3\| 4\|5\| 6\|7\| 8 \mid 9$ |
| ＜Hex Digit＞：：$=$ | $\langle$ Digit $\rangle\|A\| B\|C\| D\|E\| F$ |
| 〈Symbol）：：＝ |  |
| 〈Single Space〉 ：$=$ | \｛One horizontal blank position\} |
| （Space）：：$=$ | 〈Single Space〉｜〈Space〉（Single Space〉 |
| 〈Assignment Of ${ }^{\text {P }}:=$ | $=: 1=$ |
| ＜Character＞：： |  |
| ＜Comment Character〉 ：：$=$ |  |
| 〈Empty＞：：$=$ | \｛The null string of characters \} |

## Semantics

TRANSLANG uses a character set of 56 characters including＜single space〉， 8 of which are only used in comments．All letters are upper case．

Spaces－No space may appear between the letters of a reserved word or within an〈Assignment Op〉 ；otherwise，they will be interpreted as two or more elements． Spaces are used as a delimiter to separate reserved words，labels，or integers． Spaces may appear between any two basic components without affecting their meaning，where basic components indicate reserved words，symbols，or labels．

Parentheses－The parentheses are treated as spaces．They are used for the con－ venience of the microprcgrammer to make code more readable．（E．g．instruction elements which are irrelevant to the current instruction but are used only to allow shared use of a nenoinstruction by several microinstructions．） Parentheses do not imply precedence．

## LITERAL ASSIGNMENT INSTRUCTION

```
〈Literal Assignment〉 ::= 〈Literal〉〈Assignment Op〉 AMPCR|
    〈Literal〉 (Assignment Op) SAR|
    〈Literal〉 (Assignment Op〉 SAR;
    〈Literal〉 (Assignment Op〉 LIT |
    〈Literal〉 (Assignment Op〉 LIT;
    〈Literal〉 Assignment Op〉 SAR|
    〈Literal〉〈Assignment Op〉 LIT
\(\langle\) Literal \(\rangle::=\) (Integer \(\rangle \mid\) COMP \(\langle\) Integer \(\rangle \mid\langle\) Label \(\rangle \mid\langle\) Label \(\rangle-1\)
\(\langle\) Integer \(\rangle::=\langle\) Digit \(\rangle \mid\langle\) Digit \(\rangle\langle\) Integer \(\rangle\)
\(\langle\) Label \(\rangle::=\langle\) Letter \(\rangle\langle\) Label \(\rangle\langle\) Letter \(\rangle \mid\langle\) Label \(\rangle\langle\) Digit \(\rangle\)
```


## Semantics

A＜Literal Assignment〉 becomes a type 11 microinstruction for an Interpreter． This microinstruction contains the literal value（s）and specifies the receiving register（s）．

## Width，bits

## AMPCR Alternate Micro Program Count Register <br> 12

SAR Shift Amount Register $\quad \mathbf{5}$
LIT Literal Register 8
The registers may be individually loaded or both the SAR and the LIT may be load－ ed in the same microinstruction．

An＜Integer〉 is non－negative and in the range of the intended receiving register＇s）． COMP 〈Integer〉，if the receiving register is LIT or AMPCR，takes the one＇s com－ plement of the 〈Integer〉，then takes the number of bits indicated by the width of the receiving register．COMP（Integer），for SAR，creates the appropriate word length complement．（This is two＇s complement for the 32 －bit wide LSI Interpreter）．The encoded value is used in the SAR field．The sucessor of a＜Literal Assignment〉 is implicitly STEP．

Labels used in a program may be chosen freely except for the reserved words of TRANSLANG．The reserved words are given in Appendix V．A label must start with a letter which can be followed by any combination of letters or digits．No spaces or symbols may appear in a label．A label can be as iittle as one letter and as long as 15 letters and digits．The same label may not be used to locate more than one instruction in the same program．See the iNSFRT function subsequently described for allowable nesting of labels when subprograms are inserted．The normal use of a label with a＜Literal Assignment）is as（Label）-1 since control transfers occur to the indicated location +1 for +2 if a return is used）．

## Examples

```
5=: SAR
COMP 8 =: SAR; 13=: LIT
COMP.0 =: LIT
START =: AMPCR
% converted for proper logic unit width
% in one microinstruction
% same as 255=:LIT
LOOP-1=: AMPCR
```

\％converted for proper logic unit width $\%$ in one microinstruction $\%$ same as $255=:$ LIT \％JUMF io START＋1；RETN to START +2 $\%$ JUMP to LQQP；RETN to LOOP +1

## N INSTRUCTION

```
<N Instruction\rangle ::= \langleUnconditional Part\rangle \Conditional Part\rangle
\langleUnconditional Part\rangle ::= <Component List\rangle
\langleComponent List\rangle ::= <Component> | <Component List\rangle ; (Component>|
    (Empty)
\langleComponent\rangle ::= \langleExt Op\rangle | (Logic Op\rangle | {Successor\rangle
<Conditional Part\rangle ::= <If Clause\rangle <Cond Comp List\rangle <Else Clause\rangle|{If Clause\rangle|
    <When Clause\rangle (Cond Comp List\rangle | <Empty\rangle
<Cond Comp List> ::= THEN<Component List>
```

Semantics
An＜N Instruction〉 becomes a Type I microinstruction containing an address of a nano instruction．If an identical nano instruction already exists，the microaddress will point to the single copy of the nano instruction．If the nano instruction is new， the address will be to the next unused nano address．The operations indicated in the $<\mathrm{N}$ Instruction $>$ are entered into this nano location．

## Restrictions

1．At most one $\langle E x t$ Op〉－either unconditional or conditional．
2．At most one＜Logic Op〉－either unconditional or conditional．
3．At most either one unconditional successor，or one conditional successor in the 〈Cond Comp List〉 and one in an 〈Else Clause〉．

The＜Unconditional Part〉 is always executed．In the＜Conditional Part〉 if the condition resuiting from lise 〈U Clause〉 or \｛ When Clause〉 is true then the com－ ponents in the＜Cond Comp List〉 are executed，otherwise only the 〈Else Clause〉 is executed．

Exarnples (to be subsequently explained)
Unconditional Part, Component List:
SET GC1
MR2
RESET GC, DR2
A2 AND B001 =: A1
$\mathrm{A} 1+\mathrm{BICR}=: \mathrm{A} 2$, BEX, LMAR
JUMP
DL1; 0=: A2; SKIP

## Conditional Part:

IF AOV THEN A1 + $1=:$ A1 ELSE SKIP
IF NOT ABT THEN SET LC2; SKIP ELSE SAVE
WHEN RDC THEN MR2; BEX, INC
N Instruction:
WHEN RDC THEN BEX

SET LC1; IF SAI THEN B ADL LIT = A3, BBF.

## CONDITION

```
<If Clause> ::= IF <Condition>
(Condition) ::= (Not> <Cond)
<Not\rangle ::= NOT|\langleEmpty\rangle
<Cond\rangle ::= LST | MST | AOV | ABT | COV | SAI | RDC | EXI|
    EX2 | HOV | <Cond Adjust Bit\rangle
〈When Clause\rangle ::= WHEN {Condition\
/Else Clause\rangle ::= ELSF <Sucessor>| <Empty\rangle
/(ond Adjust Rit) ::= INT | LC1 | LC2 | LC3|GC1 | GC2
```


## Semantics

Each（N Instruction）performs a test on the Boolean value of one（Cond）or its complement．The Eoolean value of the result is＜Condition）．If this value is true，the＜Cond Comp List〉 is executed and the sucessor from this list is used to determine the next microinstruction．Otherwise the successor in the 〈Else Clause〉 is used to determine the next microinstruction address．See the subsequent dis－ cussion of successor．

A＜When Clause〉 is a synonym for an（If Clause〉 with the same＜Condition）and an （Else Clause〉 of ELSE WAIT．An empty（Else Clause〉 is equivalent to ELSE STEP．

In the absence of an（If Clause〉 or＜When Clause〉，an implied＜If Clause）of IF NOT GCI is inserted．This changes no condition bit．If does cause unconditioral initiation of（Logic Op）and hence completion of the prior（Logic Op）．

With the exception of the two global condition bits，testing a condition bit causes the bit to be reset．However，all condition bits are set dominant．Therefore in case a condition bit is being tested at the same time it is being set，the condition bit will not be reset．The least and $m$ ost significant bits out of the adder，the adder over－ flow，and the adder bit transmit are levels and not condition bits．The conditions that may be tested（Table III）are the following：

SAI Switch Interlock Accepts Information
Following memory or device operation，indicates that connection to the addressed memory or device is completed through the switch interlock and that the MAR and MIR may be changed．

RDC Read Complete，or Requested Device Completes
Following memory read or device read，indicates that data will be available for entry to 13 in the next clock．Following device write，indicates completion of write．

COV Counter Overflow
Following or concurrent with increment counter INC，indicates counter is overflowing or has already overflowed from all ones （255）to all zeros．

LCI Local Condition 1
Tests and resets local Boolean condition bit LC1．
LC2 Local Conditions 2 and 3
LC3 Same as LC1

Table III．Set and Reset of Conditions

| BIT | SET | RESET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AOV | Dynamic Adder State－（Over flow） | \＃ |
| ABT | Dynamic Adder State－（Adder bit transmit） | 4 |
| LST | Dynamic Adder State－（Least Significant Bit of Adder Output） | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ |
| MST | Dynamic Adder State－（Most Significant Bit of Adder Output） | \＃ |
| COV | Overflow when Counter is Incrersented | Leset by loading counter or by testing |
| CiCl | SET GCl providing no other Interpreter has GC1 set，or no higher priority lnterpreter is concur rently doing SFT GC1 | RESET CC |
| GC2 | SET GC＇2 simiar to GCl | RESET GC |
| InT | Set INT executed in any interpreter | Reset by testing |
| LC1 | SET LC1 | Reset by testing |
| LC2 | SET 1．C2 | Reset by testing |
| LC3 | SET LC3 | Reset by tesring |
| RDC | By memory at completion of memory or device read | iese： |
| SAI | By switch interlock when data recelved from MAR and MiR | Reset by testms． |
| F．S1 | By requests from devices | Reset by testing |
| E． 22 | By requests f：om devices | Reset $b_{y}$ ：esting |
| トご | Horn overf＇ow | Reset by testung |

\＃Recomputed each clock time

## GC1 Global Conditions 1 and 2

GC2 $\}$ Tests but does not reset global condition bit GC1. See the description of the set and reset operation for further explanation of global condition bits.

NTT Inter-Interpreter Interrupt
Tests and resets the local copy of the inter-Interpreter interrupt.

EX1 External Conditions 1 and 2
EX2 Test and reset interrupts (usually the OR of interrupts from several devices) from external devices (local copy). These are presently wired to switches in the aerospace multiprocessor.

HOV Horn Overflow
Indicates that no <Ext Op> has accurred during a perind of $2^{20}$ Interpreter clocks, (approximately 1 second for a i MHz Interpreter clock). This is used for detection of a failed memory module or devices and will force a STEP in the microprogram at the same time this condition bit is set.

The following four logic unit conditions are dynamic and indicate the result output from the adder using the execution phase commands from the previous instruction which had logic unit operation, and using the current values of the adder inputs. These conditions are sustained until execution of annther instruction involving the logic unit, and may be tested by that instruction. A type II instruction loading the LIT or AMPCR may change the value of an adder input selected in the < $Z$ Select〉 and hence change the value of any of these conditions.

AOV Adder Overflow
State of the carry out of the most significant $t$ it of the adder.
LST Least Significant
State of the least significant bit of the adder output.
MST Most significant
State of the most significant bit of the widder output.
ABT Adder bit transmit
This condition is true (one) if and only if the adder output is all ones or all zeros depending on the specific operator performied. (See Appendix III).

## Examples

IF NOT LC1
WHEN SAI
ELSE CALL

## EXTERNAL OPFRRATIONS

```
<Ext Op; ::= \langleMem Dev Op\rangle | <Set Op\rangle|
    <Mem Dev Op\rangle, (Set Op\rangle\
    \langleSet Op\rangle, \langleMem Dev Op\rangle\ 〈Empty\rangle
<Mem Dev Op\rangle ::= MR1|MR2|MW1|MW2|DL1|DL2|DR1|DR2|
    DW1 | DW2 | DU1 | DUZ | LDM | LDNN
<Set Op\rangle ::= SET (Cond Adjust Bit\rangle | RFiSET (GC
```


## Semantics

The external operations are（N Instruction）functions which，if explicity present， affect the operations external to the Interpreter logic．An〈Ext Op〉 may be specified as either conditional or unconditional as it appears in at most one of the〈Unconditional Part．〉 or（Conditional Part）．

The memory or device operations＜Mem Dev Op〉 are used to transfer data between the Interpreter and $S$ memory or a peripheral device．Address source registers for those operations are the combination of either BR1 or BR2 with MAR，indicated respectively by MAR1 or MAR2．The MAR holds the less significant part of the address．The memory or device operations are described in detail in Section VI． The explicit memory or device operations follow．

| MR1 | Memory Read 1 <br> Read data from S memory address specified in MAR1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| MR2 | Memory Read 2 <br> Read data from S memory address specified in MAR2 |
| MW1 | Memory Write 1 |
|  | Write data from MIR to S memory address specified in MAR1 |
| MW2 | Memory Write $?$ |


| LDM | Load a microinstruction from the least significant 16 bits of the MIIR into a word in microprogram memory (MPM) as specified by AMPCR. |
| :---: | :---: |
| LDN | Load least significant $\mathbf{1 6}$ bits of MIR into the nanowort as specified by the nanoaddress contained in the microprogram word being specified by AMPCR. The s;-llable of the nanoword loaded is specified by the two bits next to the least significant bit in the MAR. |
| DL1 | Device Lock 1 Request <br> Reserve the device or memory module named in MARl for use by this Interpreter. |
| DL2 | Device Lock 2 Request <br> Reserve the device or memory module named in MAR2 for use by this Interpreter. |
| DR1 | Device Read 1 <br> Read data from device named in MAR1 |
| DR2 | Device Read 2 <br> Read data from device named in MAR2 |
| DW1 | Device Write 1 <br> Write data from MIR to the device named in MARI |
| DW2 | Device Write 2 <br> Write data from MIR to the device named in MAR2 |
| DU1 | Device Unluck 1 <br> Release the locked device named in MARI |
| DU2 | Device Unlock 2 <br> Release the locked device named in MAR2 |

The set and reset operations are used to set and reset condition bits. The interInterpreter interrupt INT, is used for communication amorg (to signal) all Interpreters of the aerospace multiprocessor. The global conditions, GC1 and GC2, are used as Boolean semaphores to guarantee mutual exclusion for critical sections of microprograms and to prevent simultaneous access to shared data. The local condition bits are Boolean variables local to each Interpreter. The INT and local condition bits are reset (within the local Interpreter only) by testing. The explicit test and reset operations follow. If no 〈Set Op〉 is present, none is done.

| SET INT | Interrupt Interpreters |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Causes the interrupt bit to be set in all Interpreters. Each Interpreter resets its own bit by testing it. Setting occurs after testing should both occur in the same nano-instruction. |
| SET LC1 | Set the firist local condition bit |
|  | Causes the setting of the LC1 bit in the condition register. Setting occurs after testing should both occur in the same nano-instruction. Both set and test of LC1 occur during the fetch phase of a microinstruction. |
| SET LC2 | Set the second local condition bit |
|  | Same as for LC1 replacing LC1 by LC2. |
| SET LC3 | Set third local condition bit |
|  | Same as for LC1 replacing LC1 by LC3. |
| SET GCi | Set first global condition bit request |
|  | Requests that the GCl bit in the requesting Interpreter be set if a GC1 bit is not already set in another Interpreter or is not requesting to be set simultaneously by a higher priority Interpreter. For all Interpreters in a multiprocessing system at most one will have GC1 set. GC1 is set at the end of the phase after the fetch phase if no conflict occurs. A request lasts for one clock. |
| SET GC2 | Set second global condition bic request |
|  | Same as for GC 1 replacing GC 1 by GC 2 . |
| RESET GC | Resets the global condition bits |
|  | Causes GC1 and GC2 to be resct in the issuing Interpreter. |
| ples |  |
| MR2 |  |
| SET LC1 |  |
| DR2, RESE | GC |

## Examples

MR2

DR2, RESET GC

## LOGICAL OPERA TIONS

| 〈Logic Op＞：$=$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| （Adder Op）：：$=$ | $0\|1\|\langle M o n a d i c\rangle \mid\langle$ Dyadic $\rangle \mid\langle$ Triadic $\rangle \mid\langle$ Empty $\rangle$ |
| ＜Monadic＞：：＝ | $\begin{aligned} & \langle\text { Not }\rangle\langle\text { A Select }\rangle \mid\langle\text { Not }\rangle\langle\text { B Select }\rangle \mid \\ & \langle\text { Not }\rangle\langle Z \text { Select }\rangle \end{aligned}$ |
| 〈Not〉 ：：＝ | NOT｜＜Empty ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| （Dyadic）：：＝ | ```<A Select\rangle <Binary Op\rangle <B Select\rangle\ <B Select) <Binary Op) (Z Select)\| <A Select\rangle\langleAZ Op\rangle\langleZ Select\rangle``` |
| 〈Binary Op＞：$=$ | 〈AZ Op＞｜OR｜NIM｜IMP｜NOR |
| $\langle A Z O p\rangle:=$ |  |
| $\langle$ Triadic $\rangle::=$ | 〈Try Op〉〈A Select〉，＜B Select〉 ，＜Z Select〉 |
| $\langle T r y$ Op〉 ：$:=$ | TRY1｜TRY2｜TRY3｜TRY4｜TRY5 |
| 〈Shift Op〉 ：：$=$ | $\mathbf{R}\|\mathrm{L}\| \mathbf{C}\langle$ Empty $\rangle$ |
| 〈Inhibit Carry〉 ： | $=\mathrm{IC} \mid\langle$ Empty $\rangle$ |

## Semantics

The logical operations include those operations which occur within and affect the logic unit of the Interpreter．This group of operations may be specified as un－ conditional if placed before the＜If Clause〉 of a conditional instruction and con－ ditional if placed after the（If Clause）．

The logic operations include the selection of adder inputs，thie adder operation， the barrel switch operation，the destination specifications for the adder and BSW uutputs and the controls for the literal，counter，and SAR registers．

Each instruction except the＜Literal Assignment）contains an adder operation． If this is missing：the adder operation is assumed to be $A+B$（where $A$ and $B$ are zero）．These adder operations may use input from one，two，or three different registers as specified in the 〈A Select〉〈B Select〉〈Z Select〉parts of the instruction．

Monadic operators are those operators requiring one register input to the adder. The value of the selected register or the complement of the value may become the adder input depending on the $\langle$ Not $\rangle$ function,

The dyadic operators are those adder operators that may occur between two registers. These include arithmetic as well as logical operators. The arithmetic operators may occur with sources selected from any two of the three inputs $A, B$, and $Z$.

| ADD $1+$ | Add the two inputs to the adder. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADE | Add the two inputs to the adder +1 |
| CAD | Add the two inputs to the adder in groups <br> of 8 bils. Inhibit carries between 8 bit <br> bytes. |

All logical operators except four may occur between selections from any two registers $(A+B, B+Z$, or $A+Z)$. The four exceptions that may not occur between an $A$ and $Z$ seleci are OR, NIM, MMP and NOR.

| OR | Or | X OR Y produces $X \vee Y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NIM | Not Imply | X NIM Y produces $X \bar{Y}$ |
| IMP | Imply | $X$ IMP Y produces $\bar{X} \vee Y$ |
| NOR | Nor | $X$ NOR Y produces $\overline{X \vee Y}$ |

All other logical operations may occur between any two of the three registers selected.

| AND | And | XAND Y produces $X Y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| XOR | Exclusive Or | X XOR Y produces $\bar{X} Y \vee X \bar{Y}$ |
| EQV | Equivalence | X EQV Y produces $X Y \vee \bar{X} \bar{Y}$ |
| NRI | Not Reverse <br> Imply | $X$ NRI Y produces $\bar{X} Y$ |
| RIM | Reverse Imply | XRIM Y produces $X \vee \bar{Y}$ |
| NAN | Not And | $X$ NAN Y produces $\bar{X} \bar{Y}$ or $\bar{X} \vee \bar{Y}$ |

$\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ means (ones) complement of X
precedence is complement done before AND done before OR

The triadic operators are those operators requiring three inputs to the adder (i. e., A, B, and Z). These are available in the Interpreter and may be used with the following notation:

TRY1. A, B, $Z$ produces $\bar{A} \bar{B} Z \vee A \bar{B} \bar{Z}$
TRY2 A, B, $Z$ produces $\bar{A} Z \vee B \bar{Z}$
TRY3 A, B, Z produces $A \vee B \vee \bar{Z}$
TRY4 A, B, Z produces $A Z \vee \vec{B} \bar{Z}$
TRY5 $A, B, Z$ produces $A Z \vee B Z \vee \bar{A} \bar{B} \bar{Z}$
There are three shift operations, one of which may be selected each time an adder operator is used. These operations are $R$, $L$, or $C$.
$R \quad$ Right end off shift by amount in SAR
L Left end off shift by the two's complement of amount in SAR
C Circular shift right end around by amount in SAR
The carry bits may be inhibited, for all operations, between 8 -bit bytes. IC inhibits carries.

## Examples

NOT LIT =: A2
A1 $A D L B R=: B$
A2 + LIT = : SAR
DEC CTR
TRY1 A2, B110, CTR
$0=: A 3$
$1=: C T R$
$\mathrm{A} 2+\mathrm{CTR} \mathrm{ICR}=\mathrm{A} 2, \mathrm{BEX}, \mathrm{CTR}, \mathrm{CSAR}$

INPUT SELECTS

| $\langle$ A Select $\rangle::=$ | A1 $\|\mathrm{A} 2\| \mathrm{A} 3\|0\|\langle$ Empty $\rangle$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\langle\mathrm{B}$ Select $\rangle::=$ | $\mathrm{B}\|\mathrm{B}\langle\mathrm{M}\rangle\langle\mathrm{C}\rangle\langle\mathrm{L}\rangle\|\langle$ Empty $\rangle$ |
| $\langle\mathrm{M}\rangle::=$ | $\quad$ Gating $\rangle$ |
| $\langle\mathrm{C}\rangle::=$ | $\langle$ Gating $\rangle$ |
| $\langle\mathrm{L}\rangle::=$ | $\langle$ Gating $\rangle$ |
| $\langle$ Gating $\rangle:=$ | $0\|1 \mathrm{~T}\| \mathrm{F}$ |
| $\langle$ Z Select $\rangle::=$ | CTR｜LIT｜AMPCR｜0｜〈Empty |

## Semantics

There are three A registers which may be used for data storage within an Inter－ preter．Any one of the $A$ registers may be selected as input to the adder in an instruction．The $B$ register is the primary interface for external inputs from main memory or devices．It also serves as input to the adder．The $B$ register can be partitioned into three parts when it is selected as input to the adder．The partitions are as follows：

M Most significant bit of B（left most bit）
C Central bits of $B$（all but the end bits）
L．Least significant bit of $B$（right most bit）
When selecting the $B$ register as input to the adder，each of the three parts may be independently specified as being either $0,1, T$ ，or $\mathfrak{F}^{*}$ ．A zero gating will cause that part to be all zeros．A one gating will cause that part to be all ones．A $T$ gating will produce the true value of $B$ for that part．An $F$ gating will produce the com－ plement value of $B$ for that part．The $B$ register and its gating is specified with－ out embedded spaces．If no gating is specified when selecting $B$ ，then it is assumed that the true value of B is desired（i．e．，BTTT）．

There are three registers which make up the 〈Z Select〉 input to the adder．These are the counter（CTR），the literal（LIT）and the AMPCR．The counter register when used as input to the adder，is left justified with zero fill．The literal register， when used as input to the adder is right justified with zero fill．The AMPCR comes into the least significant 12 bits of the center 16 bits of the adder．The most significant 4 bits of the center 16 bits of the adder contain the binary value of the Interpreter number right justified in the 4 －bit field．The rest of the adder is zero filled．

## Examples

$\mathrm{A} 1+\mathrm{B}+1$ IC R
A2 XỌR CTR
BOTT AND LITT

## DESTINATION OPERATORS

| ＜Destination List〉 ：： | 〈Asgn〉〈Dest）｜ <br> 〈Destination List〉〈Asgn’＜Dest〉｜〈Asgn〉 |
| :---: | :---: |
| （Asgn）：：$=$ | ， $1=: 1=$ |
| 〈Dest＞：：$=$ | $\mathrm{A}\|\mathrm{A} 2\| \mathrm{A} 3\|\mathrm{MIR}\| \mathrm{BR} 1\|\mathrm{BR} 2\| \mathrm{AMPCR} \mid$〈Input B〉｜〈Input Ctr〉｜〈Input Mar〉｜〈Input Sar〉 |
| $\langle$ Input B〉 ：：$=$ | $\mathrm{B}\|\mathrm{BEX}\| \mathrm{BAD}\|\mathrm{BC} 4\| \mathrm{BC} 8\|\mathrm{BMI}\| \mathrm{BBE}\|\mathrm{BBA}\| \mathrm{BBI} \mid$ $\mathrm{BAI}\|\mathrm{BBAI}\| \mathrm{B4I} \mid \mathrm{BEI}$ |
| 〈Input C̣tr〉 ：：＝ | CTR｜LCTR｜INC |
| （Input Mar）：$:=$ | MAR｜MAR1｜MAR2｜LMAR |
| ＜Input Sar＞${ }^{\text {！}}:=$ | SAR｜CSAR |

## Semantics

The destination operators explicitly specify registers in which changes are to occur at the end of a logic unit operation．

Restrictions：
1．At most one choice from each of 〈Input B〉，〈Input Ctr〉，〈Input Mar〉 and＜Input Sar〉 is permitted．

2．If 〈Input Ctr〉 is LCTR then 〈Input Mar〉 may not be MAR，MAR1 or MAR2．

3．If 〈Input Mar〉 is LMAR then 〈Input Ctr〉 may not be CTR．

The principal data source is the barrel switch output．It is the only source for loading A1，A2；A3，MIR，BR1 and BR2．It provides one source for loading B，

CTR, MAR, SAR and AMPCR. These reserved woids are also the register names. The bits used in the se transfers are indicated below:

| Destination <br> Register | Barrel Switch Output <br> Source Bits |
| :--- | :--- |
| A1 | All |
| A2 | All |
| A3 | All |
| B | All |
| M1R | All |
| BR1 | 2nd least significant byte |
| BR2 | 2nd least significant byte |
| MAR | least significant byte |
| CTR | least significant byte (ones complement) |
| SAR | least significant 5 bits |
| AMPCR | least significant 12 bits |

The B, MAR, CTR, SAR and AMPCR registers may have other irputs as well.
B Register - (B)

| B | B. |
| :---: | :---: |
| BEX | Data from the external source is placed into B. |
| BAD | The adder output is placed in the B register (short path to B ). |
| BMI | The MIR content is placed in the $B$ register independent of any concurrent change to the MIR.* |
| BC4 | The duplicated complement of the 4 -bit carries with zero fill is placed in the $B$ register. ${ }^{*=}$ |
| BC8 | The duplicated complement of the 8-bit carries with zero fill is placed in the $B$ register. ${ }^{* *}$ |
| BBE | The barrel switch output ORed with the data from the external sourc - is placed in the B register. |

* When the MIR is one of the inputs to the $B$ register, the input shift register from the Switch Interlock into the external input to $B$ will be cleared to all zeros.
** Form of BC4 4 B4I, BC8, and B8I adder outputs for each 8-bit group: Tise carries out of bits $2,3,4,6,7$ and 8 are irrelevant.


BBA The barrel switch output ORed with the adder output is placed in the $B$ register.

BBI The barrel switch output ORed with the MIR content is placed in the $B$ register independent of any concurrent change to the MIR.*
BAI The adder ORed with the MIR is placed in the B register.
BBAI The BSW ORed with the adder ORed with MIR is placed in the $B$ register.*

B4I The duplicated complement of the 4-bit carry ORed with MIR content is placed in the B register.*

B8I The duplicated complement of the 8-bit carries with zero fill ORed with MIR content is placed in the B register.*

Memory Address Register - (MAR)
LMAR The literal register content is placed in MAR.

## Counter - (CTR)

LCTR The one's complement of the literal register content is placed in CTR.
INC Increment Counter by 1.
Shift Amount Register - (SAR)
CSAR Complement (two's complement) prior content of SAR.
The Alternate Micro Program Count Register (AMPCR) may, during the same clock, receive input from the MPCR if the microprogram address control register content was CALL or SAVE. The MPCR source takes precedence over the AMPCR specification as a <Dest>.

## Examples

```
    =: B
    =: CTR
    \(=: A 1\), BEX, \(=\) MIR, LCTR, CSAR \(\quad \%\) mixed use of, \(=\), and \(=\) :
```

[^2]
## SUCCESSOR

〈Successor〉 ：：＝WAIT／STEP｜SKIP／SAVE／CALL／EXEC／JUMP／RETN

## Semantics

Each〈N instruction〉 specifies 2 successors explicitly or implicitly，indicating the control to be used for the next microinstruction selection．A＜Successor〉 in the〈Unconditional Part〉 results in the 2 successors being identical．Otherwise one or two successors may appear in the 〈Conditional Part〉．Tho eight choices for each successor are described below and in Table IV．

| WAIT | Repeat the instruction in the microprogritia count register（MPCR）． |
| :--- | :--- |
| STEP | Step to the next instruction in sequence from MPCR． |
| SKIP | Skip to the second next instruction in sequence from MPCR． |
| SAVE | Step and save current MPCR address in AMPCR． |
| CALL | Transfer control to AMPCR +1 address，save current MPCR <br> in AMPCR． |
| EXEC | Execute instruction in AMPCR +1, proceed as specified in the <br> executed instruction． |
| JUMP | Transfer control to AMPCR +1 address． |
| RETN | Transfer control to AMPCR +2 address． |

Any successor not explicitly stated is STEP by default．All successors except EXEC place the resulting microprogram address in MPCR．

Each（Literal Assignment）instruction has an implicit successor of STEP．
The AMPCR normally contains the address of an alternative instruction（usually label－1）．The AMPCR load of the current content of the MPCR from a CALL or SAVE takes precedence over a（Literal Assignment）into AMPCR in the dynamically next microinstruction．It alsotakes precedence over an exrlicit 〈Dest〉 of AMPCR from the 〈Logic Op〉 in progress．

Table IV．Microprogram Memory Addressing

| Successor <br> Command | Successor <br> M－instruction <br> Address | Next Content <br> of MPCR <br> will be | Next Content <br> of AMPCR <br> will be |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| WAIT | MPCR | MPCR | $*$ |
| STEP | MPCR＋1 | MPCR＋2 | MPCR＋1 |
| SAVE | MPCR＋1 | MPCR＋2 | $*$ |
| CALL | AMPCR＋1 | AMPRR＋1 | $*$ |
| EXEC | AMPCR＋1 | MPCRR＋1 | MPCR |
| JUMP | AMPCR＋2 | AMPCR＋1 | $*$ |

＊Not changed by successor specification

## Examples

WAIT
JUMP

## PROGRAM STRUCTURE

```
<Program> ::= 〈Program Name Line\rangle 〈Body\rangle (End Line\
<Program Name Line>::= PROGRAM (Program Name) (Start Address)
Program Name\rangle ::= \langleLabel\rangle
<Start Address> ::= ADR〈Hex Address)|{Empty\rangle
<Hex Address> ::= \langleHex Number\rangle
\langleHex Number\rangle ::= \langleHex Digit\rangle | <Hex Number> 〈Hex Digit\rangle
\langleBody\rangle::= \langleStatement\rangle| <Comment\rangle|\langleBody\rangle\langleStaiement\rangle| (Body\rangle\langleComment\rangle
<Statement) ::= 〈Lavel Part\rangle\langleLine\rangle\langle% Comment\rangle
<Comment\rangle ::= COMMENT<Comment Words);
<Labei Part> ::=<Lavei\rangle :|{Empty;
\langleLine\rangle ::= \langleLabel Constant\rangle | Start Address\rangle|{Insert\rangle | <Instruction\rangle
<Label Constant\rangle ::= <Label\rangle * <Integer\rangle
```

〈Insert〉 ：：＝INSERT（Label〉 〈Start Address〉<br>$\langle \%$ Comment $\rangle::=\%\langle$ Comment Words $\rangle \mid\langle$ Empty $\rangle$<br>〈Comment Words〉：：＝＜Comment Character〉｜<br>〈Comment Words〉 〈Comment Character〉<br>〈Instruction〉 ：：＝〈Label Part〉〈Literal Assignment〉｜<br>〈Label Part〉（N Instruction）<br>〈End Line〉 ：：＝END

## Semantics

A file containing a source program must have a＜Label＞or 6 or less alphanumeric characters．Each record on this file contains 72 data characters（plus eight for sequence numbers，which is optional for the microtranslator）．One（Statement ）of source program is written per record．

The first record is the 〈Prugram Name Line〉．It contains the program intr mal name and possible a starting address for a microprogram．The program internal name should be the same as the file name．Only the file name has any external significance．An empty 〈Start Address〉 means start with zero for the first microinstruction of the program．A non－empty start address becomes a hexidecimal absolute microprogram address．The body of a program contains one or more statements．Following the body is the（End Line〉 containing END．Each successive statement containing an（Instruction）normally becomes the next microaddress． Addresses strictly increase through a program．If a 〈Start Address）is greater than the next address in the program sequence，microinstructions composed of all zeros are used to fill in the locations between the addresses in the output file．A〈Start Address〉 less than the next address in the program sequence causes an error．

A label is defined for use in two ways．A（Label Constant）permits a＜Label）to be declared to be an（Integer）．Subsequent use of that label is replaced by the Integer． Use of a＜Label Constant〉 prior to declaration is an error．A label is also defined upon occurrence in a＜Label Part）in which case it serves as a symbolic reference to a particular line．

An（Insert〉 is used to allow a user access to his files outside the program file． When the（Insert）is recognized，the microtranslator extracts from the users files the source program whose 〈File Name；is given and inserts it at the 〈Start Address） in the 〈Insert〉 if present，otherwise in sequence．A 〈Start Address〉 occurring within the body of the inserted program will act as though it were in the main pro－ gram file．A〈Start Address）in the（Program Name Line〉 of the inserted program is ignored．The inserted program takes the multifile ID name from the program being translated．For example：

BCD：DD＇AFORCE may be inserted into a program named DECVAD／AFORCE．There may be seven levels of nesting．A label may be redefined in an inserted sub－ program．An inserted program may reference a label in the program which requested
it provided the label has not (yet) been defined locally. The most local current definition of a label is used. If labels are not defined during a subprogram the translator assumes they are at a more global level. Labels referenced but never defined result in a warning list of undeclared labels. Caution: Forward jumps within a subprogram to a label that already exists globally will use the global label value. Upon completion of an <Insert〉 of a subprogram, labels defined in that inserted subprogram disappedr. A subsequent backward jump or use of a label constant will use the global value, even though the same label was defined in the subprogram.

Each instruction results in a microprogram word. Any instruction may be labeled as a symbolic reference for control transfer. Although transfer to a <Literal Assignment〉 is permitted it should be used with caution.

Comments - In order to include explanatory material at various points in a program, two conventions exist as defined.

1. COMMFNT \{any sequence of comment characters except ";"\};

The comment statement acts the same as a ";" and may appear anywhere a ";" may occur if within a iine of program. As multiline documentation the ";" terminator indicates that the microtranslator should resume processing code. Always follow a comment statement with a ";".

2 . $\%$ \{any sequence of comment characters until end of line \}
All conment characters after the $\%$ in a line of program are ignored by the microtranslator.

Comments are for documentation purposes only. They appear only in the source file, are significant only in listings and do not affect the machine language generated.

## Fxample

PROGRAM READIT
Device * 3
SANDY: Device $=\mathrm{LIT} ;$ COMP $13=\operatorname{SAR} \%$ LIT $=3$ and $\mathrm{SAR}=19$
LIT L = BR1
DL1; A1 + B001 = A1
INSERT 1'ESTLK


Figure 49. Detailed Nanobit Assignments

```
COMMENT The routine TESTLK tests to see if device is
    locked to Interpreter.
SANDY - 1 = AMPCR
JUMP;
END;
```


## MICROPROCRAMMING EXAMPLES

The Interpreter microprogramming reference card (Figure 49) specifies the use of each of the MPM and Nano bits and defines the meaning of the mnemonics found in the microprogram examples.

Three simple examples demonstrating the microprogramming of the Interpeter are shown: in Figure 50 - Binary Multiply, Figure 51 - Fibonacci Series Generation and Figure 53 - "S" Memory to Micromemory and Nanomemory Loader ( S to M Loader). The comments serve to explain the function of each microinstruction step. Figure 52 shows the microtranslator output ( 1 and 0 patterns for MPM and Nanol for the Binary Multiply example. The S to Mi Loader is described in more detail in the next section.

## Assumptions

(1) Sign-magnitude number representation
(2) Multiplier in A3; multiplicand in 8
(3) Double length product required with resulting most significant part, with sign, in $B$ and least significant part in A3

1. $A 3 \times O R B \rightarrow$ if LC1
2. BOTT $\rightarrow A 2$; if MST then Set LC1

Comment: Step 1 resets LC1. Steps 1 and conditional part of 2 check signs; if different, LC1 is set.
3. $\mathrm{BOOO}_{\mathrm{O}} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{LCTR}$

Comment: Steps 2 and 3 transfer multiplicand ( 0 sign) to A2 and clear $B$.
4. $\quad{ }^{\prime} N^{\prime}-$ LIT; $1 \rightarrow$ SAR

Comment: Steps 3 and 4 load the counter with the number ( $\mathrm{N}=$ magnitude length) to be used in terminating the multiply loop and load the shift amount register with 1 .
5. $A 3 R \rightarrow A 3$ : Save

Comment: Begins test at least bit of multiplier and sets up loop.
6. LOOP: If not LST then $\mathrm{B}_{0 \mathrm{TT}} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ skip else step
7. $\mathrm{A} 2+\mathrm{B}_{0 \mathrm{TH}} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$
8. A3 OR BTOOR $\rightarrow A 3$, INC; if not COV then jump else step

Comment: 6 through 8 - inner loop of multiply (average 2.5 clocks/bit).
9. If not LC1 then $\mathrm{B}_{\text {OTT }} \longrightarrow$ B; skip else step
10. $\quad \mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{TT}} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$

Comment: If $L C 1=0$, the signs were the same, hence force sign bit of result in $\mathbf{B}$ to be a $\mathbf{0}$.
11. END

Figure 50. Binary Multiply

## Assumptions:

> A1 contains starting address for storing of series
> A2 contains the number representing the length of the series to be computed

1. $\mathbf{A 1} \longrightarrow$ MAR 1

Comment: Load starting addreas of series into address register
2. $B_{000} \rightarrow B$, MIR
3. $\mathbf{B}_{001} \rightarrow \mathrm{A3}$ : MW1

Comment: Load initial element of series (0) into A3 and MIR and write it into starting address. Load second element of series (1) into B.
4. AZ $\rightarrow$ CTR;SAVE

Comment: Load counter with length of series; the counter will be incremented for each generation of an element of the series; COV will signify completion. The SAVE sets up the loop.
5. LOOP: If SAI then A1 $+1 \longrightarrow$ A1, MAR1, INC, Stap olse Wait

Comment: Set up the next address and increment counter
6. $\mathrm{A} 3+\mathrm{B} \longrightarrow$ MIR

Comment: Generate new element in series and place in MIR
7. $B \rightarrow A 3 ; B M I, ~ M W 1 ; ~ I f ~ N O T ~ C O V ~ t h e n ~ J u m p ~ o l s e ~ S t e p ~$

Comment: Write new clement into next address
Transfer i - 1 element to A3
Transfer i element to B
Test counter overflow for completion (go to LOOP, if not done)
8. END

Figure 51. Generation of Fibonacci Series

```
    000 PROGRAM BIMULT:
    100 A3 XOR B=:;IF LC1;
    200 BOTT =: A2; IF MST THEN SET LC1;
    300 B000 = : B, LCTR;
    400 N =: LIT; 1 =:SAR;
    500 A3 R = : A3;SAVE;
    600 LOOP: IF NOT LST THEN BOTY C = : B; SKIP ELSE STEP;
    700 A2 + BOTY C = : B;
    800 A3 OR BTU0 R = : A3, INC: IF NOT COV THEN JUMP ELSE STEP;
    900 IF NOT LC1 THEN BOTT = :B; SKIP ELSE STEP;
    1000 B1TT = : B;
1100 END
```

| 3 | NANO ADDRESS= |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0000 | 00000000000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | $21 \quad 23$ | 2930 |
|  | NANO ADDRESS $=$ |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0000 | 00000000001 |
| 2 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 13 | $16 \quad 21$ | $23 \quad 30 \quad 35$ |
|  | NANO ADDRESS $=$ |  |  |  | 2 |  | 0000 | 00000000010 |
| 13 | 16 | 30 | 39 | 48 | $L I T=0$ |  |  | 0000100000000 |
|  | SAR $=1$ |  |  |  |  |  | 01 |  |
|  | NANO ADDRESS $=$ |  |  |  | 3 |  | 0000 | 00000000011 |
| 12 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 0000 |  |
|  | NANO ADDRESS= |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 00000000100 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 24 | $23 \quad 30$ | $32 \quad 33$ |
|  | NANO ADDRESS= |  |  |  | 5 |  | 0000 | 00000000101 |
| 13 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 23 | 30 | 22 | $33 \quad 39$ |  |
|  | NANO ADDRESS= |  |  |  | 6 |  | 0000 | 00000000116 |
| 1 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 28 | 3031 | $33 \quad 36 \quad 47$ |
|  | NANO | AD | RES |  | 7 |  | 0000 | 00000000117 |
| 3 | 6 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 21 | 23 | $30 \quad 39$ |  |
|  | NANO ADDRESS= |  |  |  | 8 |  | 0000 | 00000001000 |
| 13 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 30 | 39 |  |

Figure 52. Microtranslator Output


Figure 53. S to M Loader

## SECTION VIII

## MULTIPROCESSING CONTROL PROGRAM AND DEMONSTRA TION PROGRAMS

## CONTROL PROGRAM

The control program for Multi-Interpreter-Systems is a simple yet comprehensive operating system which is characterized by the following capabilities:

## 1. Multiprocessing

## 2. Error recovery

In previous multiprocessing systems, I/O functions and data processing functions have been performed in physically different hardware modules, $1 / O$ modules for the former and processor modules for the latter. In the Multi-Interpreter System, however, $1 / O$ control and processing functions are all performed by identical Interpreters, and any Interpreter can perform any function simply by a reloading of its microprogram memory. Thus input/output operations become tasks which are indistinguishable to the control program from data processing tasks except that they may require the possession of an I/O device before they can begin to run. Whenever an Interpreter is available it looks through the scheduling cards and runs a task, which may be an I/O task, a processing task, or a task which combines both processing and $I / O$ functions.

The control program includes an automatic error detection and recovery capability. All data is stored redundantly to ensure no loss of data should a failure occur. The control program maintains this redundancy, and does so in such a way that each task may be restarted should a failure occur while it is running.

The plans for the development of a full scale operating system for the Aerospace Multiprocessor are described in U.S.A. F. Avionics Laboratory Technical Report AFAL-TR-72-144 (April, 1972), Aerospace Multiprocessor Executive by Sandra Zucker. A building block technique was developed for this software architecture in order to accommodate the requirements for changing computer activities as well as changing hardware modules. The system software was divided into functional modules that could be linked into a system after each module had been independently validated. Descriptions of the executive modules defining scheduling. resource allocation, error recovery and detection, reconfiguration, and file handing are included in the report.

The control program delivered with the aerospace multiprocessor is a quick, efficient, and easy to debug, method of demonstrating the multiprocessor. It is not a fully automatic operating system with complex functions such as the one described in the report referenced above.

## System Loading

Initially, the tasks in the system are allocated fixed program areas in $s$ memory which are loaded from cards by the Program to " S " loader. (A description of the program to " S " loader is given later in this section.) All input to the system is loaded redundantly for error recovery purposes. The programs include a method for detection and recovery from memory and Interpreter failures.

The location in S memory of the microcode for each of the demonstration tasks written for the aerospace multiprocessor and the location of the alternate copy of the microcode for these tasks is shown below.

| Program | Location of <br> Microcode | Alternate <br> Microcode | Location in <br> System Table |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plot | 0300 | 3300 | $(00) 02$ |
| Program to S load | 0 E 00 | 3 E 00 | $(00) 03$ |
| Mortgage | 2000 | 5100 | $(00) 04$ |
| Sort | 0600 | 3600 | $(00) 05$ |
| Matrix multiply | 2500 | 5500 | $(00) 08$ |
| Matrix print | 2800 | 5800 | $(00) 0 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| Memory dump | 1000 | 4000 | $(00) 0 \mathrm{C}$ |
| Control program | 0 BOO | 3 BOO | $-\mathrm{-}$ |

A system task table is developed in segment 00 (segment is 256 words) which contains an entry for each task available to the system. This entry contains the time by which a running task must be completed before the system decides there is an error. An alternate copy of the system table is developed in segment 30 for error recovery purposes. This alternate copy is updated as the primary copy of the system table is changed.

After the tasks are loaded into S memory, each Interpreter's microprogram and nanomemories are then loaded with the control program microcode (See Figure 54, a block diagram of the control program). The control program in an interpreter initially tries to lock to the card reader. If it does not succeed, some other Interpreter is using the card reader, and it waits until it can lock. Once lncked to the card reader, the control program reads the cards which inituate a task and places their contents (eight 4-bit hexidecimal characters) into selected words cf $S$ memory as defined by the card format. Each input card contains the hexidecimal characters to be placed in $S$ memory and some contain the address where these characters are to be stored. A card that does not include an address (" 0 " card) assumes that its hexidecimal input will be stored in the next consecutive address in $S$ memory following the previous input card.

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { Card Format: } & \text { LXXX } & \text { AAAA } & \text { XXXX } & \text { HHHH } & \text { HHHH } \\
& 0 X X X & 0000 & \text { XXXX } & \text { HHHH } & \text { HHHH }
\end{array}
$$

The " $L$ " card indicates that AAAA contains the hexidecimal address in $S$ memory where the hexidecimal characters HHHH HHHH will be stored. The X characters indicate letters and numbers that are ignored. These may contain anything but an ' N ' '.

The " 0 " card indicates that HHHH HHHH will be stored in the next address in $S$ memory following the previously stored word.

One input card is a control card, specified by an address of 0001 , which gives the task number (which is the location in the system table of the task control word) of the selected task as well as the starting address in S memory for the microcode for that task.

The format for the control cards for the demonstration programs written for the aerospace multiprocessor are given below, where $T$ indicates the task number (location of the task entry in the system table) and SS indicates the segment number for the location of the microcode in $S$ memory for that task.

## BLOCK DIAGRAM CONTROL PROGRAM



Figure 54. Control Program Flow Diagram

| Program | L000 | 0001 | XXXX |  | T000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plot | L000 | 0001 | XXXX | 2000 | 0300 |
| Program to S | L000 | 0001 | XXXX | 3000 | 0 E00 |
| Mortgage | L000 | 0001 | XXXX | 4000 | 2000 |
| Sort | L000 | 0001 | XXXX | 5000 | 0600 |
| Matrix multipls | L000 | 0001 | XXXX | 8000 | 2500 |
| Matrix print | L000 | 0001 | XXXX | A000 | 2800 |
| Memory dump | L000 | 0001 | XXXX | C000 | 1000 |

All other input cards are parameter cards for the task and are loaded into a portion of the work area for that task.

An " $N$ " card is the last card that indicates the end of the selection of a single task. The " $N$ " card must contain a single $N$.

Upon detection of an " $N$ " card the control program stops reading cards and uses location 01 of the system table to get the task number of the selected task. An even task number will cause the card reader to be unlocked, freeing it so that other lnterpreters may use it. An odd numbered task requires the card reader in order to read its own data (e.g., sort cards for the sort task), after which the card reader will be unlocked. This contention between Interpreters for use of the card reader and running of tasks is shown in block diagram for the multiprocessor system in Figure 55.

## Task Execution and Monitoring

The task number is used to select the task control word from the task table. The task table is locked before a task control word may be examined or changed, by using the global condition bit in the hardware. A task control word of zero defines a task available for running. A non zero task control word implies that another Interpreter is performing the task, or that the task is hung up on another Interpreter.

To check for a task or Interpreter failure, the real time clock is read to obtain the current time. The current time is checked against the time in the task control word which is the upper bound time for the running of the task. If the time in the task control word is less than the time on the real time clock, the task is considered hung and the Interpreter will treat this task as a task available for running.


Figure 55. Multiprocessor Sjstem Flow Diagram

When a task is still running, and the time on the real time clock is less than the time in the task control word, the global condition bit is resct. Then a new reading is made of the real time clock value. The task control word is again tested after locking the table. This process continues until either the time for running the task elapses or the task is completed by the Interpreter running it.

When a task is available for running, a maximum run time value is added to the time read from the real time clock and the sum is placed into the task control word. The global condition bit is reset (unlocking the table) and the microprogram for the task is read from $S$ memory into the Interpreter's microprogram memory. The task is then executed. A task which uses the card reader (an odd numbered task) must release the card reader as soon as it has completed getting its data.

When a task has been successfully completed by an Interpreter, it resets its task control word to zero and loads the control program from $S$ memory to microprogram memory. To determine the next task, the control program again reads the cards from the card reader.

All information is stored redundantly in $S$ memory. (See memory map in Figure 56.) When a memory failure is detected by an Interpreter, which will affect the running of a task, the Interpreter will reload its own microprogram memory with the alternate $S$ memory program. This program is identical tothe prime microprogram except that it uses the alternate work area and data space as input instead of the prime areas.

The detection of a memory fallure during the loading of the prime area of a task or the control microprogram will cause the loading of the alternate area of the required program instead. All cards read using tne control program will be stored redundantly in S Memory.
$S$ to M Ioader
All tasks as well as the control program contain a subroutine ( $£$ to M loader) which can load microprogram code from S (main) memory to nicroprogram memory and to nanomemory. This subroutine (see Figure 57) is bypassed when a task is initiated. When the task is completed or an error is detected, an address is placed in the B register and control is transierred to the S to M loader which loads code into that part of microprogram memory and nanomemory that is not occupied by the $S$ to $M$ loader. When it detects the end code (ONE in the most significant bit of the microinstruction and ZERO in rest of it) it stops reading and jumps to the start of the task just read.

When a task ends, it puts the address of the control program into the $B$ register so that the next task may be selected and executed. If a task has an error, it puts the address of its own alternate copy into the $B$ register for restart if a task is too large to completely fit into microprogram memory and nanomemurs, at the con pletion of the first or intermediate part of the task, the address of the next part of the task is put into the B register. The task then passes control to the $S$ to 3 loader subroutine for loading the next task or next part of the same task to he executed. This procedure is shown in Figure 50 . The microcode for the $S$ to Mloader is shown in Figure 53 of Section VII of this report.

ligure 56 . Memory Map


Figure 57. Load Microprogram Memory irom Main Memory Flow Diagram


Figure 58. Task Control Flow Diagram

## DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS

All the demonstration programs are microprogrammed and are loaded from $S$ memory into microprogram and nanomemory in order to be executed. They are like a single large instruction on a conventional machine. Therefore no interpretation of $S$ memory instructions is necessary in this demonstration.

The demonstration programs were written to be indicative of a specific type of application as indicated below.

Problem Type Application

| Plot | Graphic Display <br> Table Lookup |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mortgage | Table Building <br> Simple Arithrnetic |
| Sort | Data Manipulation <br> Data Processing |
| IVatrix | Arithmetic Operations <br> (Many Multiplies) |
| Dump | Debugging Aid |
| Program to | Loading S Memory |
| "S" Ioader |  |

All the demonstration tasks which use c'ata and parameters contain a work area segment. This work area allows for the storing of parameters. temporary work space, buffers and pointers to data or program areas used by the task. Thus, the work area for the matrix routine contains pointers to the three matrix areas as well as the parameters $i, j$, and $k$. Changing any of these parameters or pointers will change what is executed by the task. The locations of the parameters within the work area for all demonstration programs are shown in Table $V$.

## Memory Dump

The Memory Dump routine prints all the contents of $S$ memory without changing or disturbing any of the memory locations. Each 32 -bit word in 5 memory is printed in a format of eight 4 -bit hexidecimal characters. The words are grouped into an address followed by eight words of memory and then printed as a line. If a line is identical to the previous eight words printed then it is omitted. The memory dump is a debugging aid used to detect changes in memory. An example of the output from a memory dump appears in Figure 59.

Table V. Demonstration program parameters


|  | gana | annanama | ranotina | angnaman | nanan－nn | annomana | annannon | anononon | noponona |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mana | anconaon | nognamat | noonoent | nocomano | 5RFifonca | nnounnon | neononn | nnnobonn |
|  | amia | angomion |  | angonoma | annongoo | antamans | anamanoa | nomoonon | nonnonnt |
|  | n30n | amanosir | cajamana | anconana | nolinnag | nogzanns | 20007001 | groninel | $2173 n 017$ |
|  | C308 | on3annap | n＞nomicon | 2173104 ？ | nolfna36 | ancpa | ar．an 2173 | 1063nalf | 21731084 |
|  | n310 | D01F4014 | 0n？0アアロワ9 | OnO4n0no | 0montapl | A ¢nannot | gonnooma | GAPPAROA | $3 \mathrm{~F} 44070 n$ |
|  | ก718 | nonnelas | nn＞3ar．nf | －39＞00ก0 | nenonapa | ARก9＞004 | POARİNOA | Onersarg | 3ansinnn |
|  | กッフก | nonofime | naアコnnam | Gr．0E0390 | nomation | nmptarna | a ancrina | Bntmensf | On？848\％ |
|  | nアPa | 20050＞0 | nononcona | Anつ92al9 | ncounnon | nonnoapa | 7aFannot | nomoonno | onzatara |
|  | ก730 | nnaponon | AnAnctal | anacanoa | Pantneon | ¢のカワクロロアロ | nn24Fa 04 | 15000000 | On＞Enopo |
|  | กา39 | no93nonn | ROnnatil | nozarena | Pancimon | 9000no3n | nelarank | JFOCODAO | an3101co |
|  | $\bigcirc 340$ | PAOLOFOO | nonnatal | An3P2ria | COO51900 | ancannza | noba 105 | apnoonon | On34ACIA |
|  | ก 742 | 7F040n0n | OOOnPIP5 | an35sconf | Ea04770n | OnOMnR7 | $700 \mathrm{nOH34}$ | 00ncfant | 2000nonn |
|  | ก750 | P1290037 | On3annes | anamoman | pnf 3ancs | n03annza | n 0974000 | nomnnn 39 | AR09009？ |
|  | 0.758 | onoodeon | GnP1003A | AROQ2a04 | Inonorios | OAOTPIAG | OT3PGCOE | no9ponon | RCOnnloas |
|  | 0780 | On3r9al | Pa040Fan | R10050＞n | nn3nasor | noumanan | O $218003 F$ | 9raopalo | O2OODCOn |
|  | nika | のロ7Fnn09 | roncanan | R04naman | 0009 n 004 | gonmanat | On4IOBOQ | ra0sanob | 00004785 |
|  | ก770 | ก04ア0n09 | remanoan | Ar40004 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | A809C＾04 | ganmanas | nnG4RAOM | 15971000 | 05005007 |
|  | ก378 | n9n7？135 | nn49ame | AOO407nn | noononcis | R AClpant |  | 08337 nac | 00473 PCR |
|  | －7an | 99040700 | ganctone | ancramas | PaAnnono | APAnPaLR | 297Farac | 70499809 | 00040060 |
|  | －3¢9 | OO180044 | 901年7F04 | andaning | PlR5ngas | Onदsptal | 3an41nno | anmocontr | 00098005 |
|  | $\mathrm{n} ? 9 \mathrm{n}$ | A 20 nnota | $57090 \% 4 \pi$ | RROQ？anc | aponnino | OncFarca | 17040008 | nnon？ns5 | On4F6019 |
|  | ก799 | n004100n | gnonnoto | 29？4Finc5 | Anamonot | an510nna | ROOSanno | 000 FF 700 | 0n52440？ |
|  | njan | R005a4no | OPOnпmes | 4R19Fana | 12 nonn40 | On54nola | Fa05940n | 0040nn55 | mnogeso？ |
|  | n3as | $940 n 0040$ | nnckaric | nantapno | nn＠innat | mapamans | 44nno＞0 | 00593809 | ponamonn |
|  | n300 | 780n5421 | On50aro9 | COO5900 | Onneornt | 2lacnoss | Grofnnap | anomanon | 70F00059 |
|  | TTPR | $0009>005$ | g＞onnono |  | PFCRPAD4 | apnnnnan | nn500009 | PAOSAFOn | OOLCOOSF |
|  | ก7c\％ | 00097005 | aponnman | TOFAMOEF | のп3A＞nn5 | RAMMREAS | PAFADASF | 10 acingin | OnOGP404 |
|  | ก7C9 | anograna | 0R490R4A | P185nnki | Araminna | amomaran | 7n？100x？ | $\triangle$ ACORAD4 | APDOnona |
|  | n） 30 | nomg？${ }^{\text {ana }}$ | 950570 On | gonmonat | nonosing 4 | coamanat | Pafarnon | nantels | OR5FPAEA |
|  | П3ก4 | On65007a | 24059nn | godandak | 7an9ping | anmmana | mbaknomy | 9anORODS | $03 n 00018$ |
|  | n．ay | T400nR4a | P185n845 | ann 3 noma | PFCCPin4 | amanaman | OnA9440C． | 05040300 | onnonoka |
| $\cdots$ | n）${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | OODG8PO4 | AnOnnota | ankanang | ABAEABAB | oancmiar | nnacaios | zonnonam | 1085P173 |
| $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$ | ก3F\％ | OQ1Fnngn | nonoanos | 4PDncann | 5315 nfat | A¢nc1504 | minnanos | ambfacta | anosazon |
|  | OTFR | のn4nnn 70 | Panapan | annomozn | nancias | OR4CPDF 7 | －n712ana | 3a05830n | nonnan？ |
|  | 740n | 4 4？17004 | gannanon | 20500n73 | $4019 n 004$ | nonomann | nn74？R19 | Scomanom | 00080075 |
|  | OLOR | 241rs．504 | ananaena | An7679\％7 | nnnunnon | gonnant | 7nP10nn4 | anamodon | $09 n+170$ |
|  | n 410 | nn7alin？ 4 | Gf04nona | nonanota | n！nafega | azamamon | 74050841 | 0974．3407 | 15040 nn \％ |
|  | 3419 | Ongornon | antakapl | 2004n50n | Rngangon | n07enota | P004920n | 0 n 400 nca | 0n？47ans |
|  | 0420 | RFAnEABA | のnフFコロ日 | gookopnn | 014054R4 | On7578nc | panconan | anamoman | 4RConana |
|  | 0429 | 9nomnono | Coglnolz | An93n？nn | asaderan | OOQ Onang | conamana | GNOADCA？ | TCPinsing |
|  | n430 | 10 n ¢0008 | GnA4Mnna | Ang＞ornn | nonginsa | 210日RR8 | OnRG754F | 2ancoran | antantax |
|  | 7437 | Onoancou | gannmona | ACATTGAF | nananono | amamanke | nn74158n | 100nanat | 008973089 |
|  | 7440 | 249アnวnn | GAOOMOQA | n009R504 | afoonana | norrtcon | Pancopon | amonousc | 3R1ansan |
|  | 07440 | 0วononno | GAROกロフ4 | OGnalinut | nonanara | dotafiona | cnosapan | 00004204 | onarama |
|  | 0450 | Gan4n00i | arannoan | Asnatana | Angancon | nnolacle | $3 F 040707$ | n70n2185 |  |
|  | 0459 | n0978401 | PAn5n＞0n | OPnnostr | conananc | 7n3Enna | PC191590 | gomoanon | 10040000 |
|  | 0460 | ＞agranin | Rnnonoas | annarona | apamgnon | anganana | 1501820n | 700n425a | opacosan |
|  | 74498 | 08917185 | 00459000 | ARGPORGH | cmannane | 703ern7\％ | nnatuc？ | 15910Fnn | nonpongr |
|  | 0470 | 0n＞4＞493 | OFOnBAn号 | OR7Ansag | anoanaol | ancoanam | GnACAR？l | angasion | nomancon |
|  | 747A | OBAOOBGR | Onnam0n5 | CAnO4R4n | anarnana | Pa043nma | nonamagn | 00244004 | maisonana |
|  | acan | 09nnongr | 005pnon4 | amamanam |  | coornana | anangiol | n0an3448 | nog3R0ñ |
|  | 04999 | 1 n0nazol | noalama | 3FD4nama | anamigas | ga0surna | nonnatez4 | cna3sros | 000400007 |
|  | 0490 | ODOOROAL | Ranaman | gonamana | CASCGIAR | ancanana | OAnN1003 | onabazna | noncangn |
|  | n499 | OnวCOR9A | 0alonfa 7 | 58210004 | annmanat | anarmano | ¢anamonn | 01PCInzo | GRGANAAO |
|  | n4an | onocpanc | anomaman | Tonzama | Gancrinnt | ananonis | nnamarln | 40040000 | Crnonapl |
|  | n¢as | na07Plas | gnararar | Pa05amm | OADR4n0．3 | aramanag | anncrona | ROnn7ban | ORAFOMAF |
|  | ก4こ0 | arganias | gnonanon | anafgrog | Angsanna | nozranon | QCOAROO4 | gponanon | OROFARAF |
|  | ก4A9 | ATRIAFOR | 90052000 | angroana | ngafnaby | GRR：AMPA | nitrabcos | 15040000 | ongooraf |
|  | 04 Cn | Combarla | Onmanoma | encranas | ACP047n4 | 400noman | ATRGICOA | OATCOPOC | arnolnat |
|  | n4CR | OROMARAG | AAMRTBAL | annanome | ornaginat | Aalnanal | monnoonn | 0）R80009 | $0004000 n$ |
|  | namin | nopronrga | 1004nira | An091598 | OPOAAMEO | marangma | PAn4OnPa | aghonima | aconenini |

Figure 59．Example of Memory Dump Output

## Program to "S" Loader

The Program to " S " loader reads cards from the card reader in a format generated by the Translator and places them into $S$ memory. An $L$ card precedes the prom gram cards for each microprogram to be loaded to indicate where in $S$ memory each of the microprograms will be loaded, and an $R$ card is used to indicate the end of the Program to " S " Loading function.

| $L$ Card | $L 000$ | AAAA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | R000 | 0000 |

where $A A A A=s t a r t i n g$ address in $S$ memory for the microprogram
Each microinstruction is stored into 16 bits of memory. If a microinstruction points to a nanoinstruction which is used for the first time, it will be stored following the micro in the next 64 bits of memory. All the micro's and nano's are packed in $S$ memory into 32 -bit words. Nanos that are used repeatedly need be stored in $S$ memory only once.

Microinstruction format

| 1 | 2 | 34 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |
| 1 | 1 | SAR | - |  | SAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | SAR | - |  | SAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | AMPCR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ | - | - | - | - |  | LIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | - | - | - |  | NANO ADDRESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - |  | NANO ADDRESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All instructions except a type 1 instruction ignore bit 5. Type 1 instructions use bit 5 to determine whether a nano must be loaded (bit $5=0$ ) in the nano table or if it has been used already by a previously defined microinstruction (bit $5=1$ ). A - indicates the bit can be either a 1 or 0 since it is ignored by the loader.

## Plot

The plot routine plots the sine curve using (*) and cosine curve using (e) on the printer. The $y$ axis is horizontal (since the size is fixed) and the $x$ axis is vertical. Each line is printed with the angle in degrees defining the line on the left and the symbol of the sine and cosine plots ( $*$ and ) in its proper position along the $y$ axis. The user can specify the starting angle (in degrees), the ending angle, and a delta (increment in degreeș between points to be plotted).

[^3]| Starting angle | L000 | 0114 | 0000 | 0000 | AAAA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ending angle | L000 | 0115 | 0000 | 0000 | AAAA |
| Delta | L000 | 0116 | 0000 | 0000 | ODDD |

```
AAAA = angle in hexidecimal
    (002D = 45')
DDD = increment in angle for each print line in
    hexidecimal (000F = 15 )
```

An example of the plot output appears in Figure 60.

## Mortgage

The mortgage program produces a mortgage table which gives a list of the monthly payments of a mortgage and the results of each payment. This includes the pay period number, the amount of interest paid this payment, the amount of this payment used for amortization, the remaining principal, the accumulated interest, and the number of years of payment. The user must supply the principal, the monthly payments and yearly rate as input. These parameters are entered into the task work area via the control program.

| Prircipal | L000 | 2014 | 0000 | PPPP | PPPP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate | L000 | 2015 | 0000 | 0000 | RRRR |
| Payment | L000 | 2016 | 0000 | ONIMM | MMMM |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \text { PPPPPPPP } & =\text { principal in } 4 \text {-bit decimal digits } \\ (02250000 & =\$ 22,500.00) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | RRRR = yearly ;rate in 4-bit decimal digits $(0850=8.50 \%)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | MMM dig | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{VMM}= \\ & (0025 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { onthly } \\ & 0=\$ 25 \end{aligned}$ | yments <br> 00) | 4-bit |

An example of the mortgage output appears in Figure 61.

## Sort

The Sort routine reads a deck of cards and sorts them according to the starting character and length of a key defined by the user in the work area. The sort may be either an ascending or descending sort depending on a parameter. The same deck of cards may be sorted using different keys and in different directions with-


Figure 60. Example of Plot Routine Output

| DETNCTOAS peDIf） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25. OON. } 00 \\ & \text { TNTFRFST } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARTGAGE } \\ & \text { T.ON } \\ & \text { TITATION } \end{aligned}$ |  | DAYMENTC＝ TNCTOAL |  | $\begin{aligned} & 375, n 0 \\ & \text { ACcimu } \end{aligned}$ | YFAO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ＊ | 145.00 | － | 230．00 | \＆ | 24．770．0n | ＊ | 145．0n | 1 |
| $?$ | 8 | 143.67 | － | 231.33 | c | 24．939．67 | 4 | 2994， 7 | 1 |
| 3 | \％ | 142．32 | － | 232．69 | \％ | 24．705．99 | 4 | 430.99 | 1 |
| 4 | c | 140.97 | ＊ | 234．73 | \＄ | 24．n71．96 | 5 | 571，70 | 1 |
| 5 | ＊ | 130.62 | ＊ | 235.38 | ＊ | 23．931．98 | 4 | 731.69 | 1 |
| $k$ | c | 139： 25 | ＊ | 236.75 | ＊ | 23．599．43 | 4 | 549.87 | ， |
| 7 | e |  | c | 738.12 | c | P7．3al．71 | 4 | 9R4．ti | 1 |
| 9 | c | 135.50 | ＊ | 239.50 | c | 21.122 .21 | 4 | 1．127．？1 | 1 |
| 0 | － | 134＊11 | － | 240．89 | 5 | ？2．481． 3 ？ | 8 | 1．254．72 | i |
| 10 | 5 | 132，71 | c | 742.79 | ＊ | 27．6．39．07 | 4 | 1.399 .07 |  |
| 11 | c | 131．31 | ＊ | 243.69 | ＊ | P3，795．34 | ＊ | 1.520 .34 | 1 |
| ？ 2 | － | 120．89 | ＊ | 245.11 | \％ | 22．150．73 | 4 |  | 1 |
| 13 | ＊ | 178．47 | 4 | 246．53 | ＊ | 21．903．70 | ， | 1．77A．79 | ？ |
| 14 | ＊ | 177．04 | ＊ | 747.96 | ， | 21．855．74 | － | 1.905 .74 | ？ |
| 15 | ＊ | 175.60 | c | 249.40 | e | 21．406．38 | $\leqslant$ | 2.075 .34 | ＞ |
| 16 | 4 | 124.16 | ＊ | 250.94 | ＊ | r1．i55．5n | ＊ | 7．155＊50 | ？ |
| 17 | 5 | 172．70 | ＊ | 752．30 | ＊ | 20．003．20 | ＊ | ＞．779．？ | 2 |
| 18 | 5 | 121．34 | ＊ | 253．76 | ＊ | 20．640．44 | ＊ | 2.390 .44 | $?$ |
| 19 | c | 119.77 | c | 255.73 | ＊ | 70．394， 31 | ＊ | 2.510 .71 | ？ |
| 20 | － | 118．？9 | ＊ | 256．71 | ＊ | 70．737．5n | ＊ | P．＊37．50， | 7 |
| 21 | $*$ | 115．90 | ＊ | 75R．70 | ＊ | 10，279．20 | － | 3.754 .30 | ＞ |
| 33 | ＊ | 115．30 | ， | 259．70 | c | 10．419．80 | 4 | 2．869．60 | $?$ |
| 73 | 5 | 113.79 | ， | ？61．21 | k | 19．759．79 | S | 7．7A3．39 | ？ |
| 24 | 5 | 117．？8 | 4 | $762.7 ?$ | c | 19．095．67 | ＊ | 3.095 .67 | $?$ |
| 25 | 5 | 110.75 | ＊ | ？ 64.75 | c | 1P．831．4？ | ＊ | 7．706，47 | 3 |
| 26 | 5 | 100． 22 | c | 265．78 | ＋ | 10．565．44 | ＊ | 3．315．84 | 7 |
| 27 | ＊ | 107． 58 | c | P47．7？ | － | 14．704．37 | － | 3．427．37 | ？ |
| P9 | ＊ | 104．13 | c | 268．97 | \％ | 19．079．45 | ＊ | 3．520．45 | 3 |
| 29 | ＊ | 104.57 | ＊ | 270.43 | ＊ | 17．759．n7 | ＊ | 3．634．07 | 3 |
| 30 | ＊ | 107．00 | ＊ | 277．018 | － | 17．427．n2 | － | 3.737 .67 | 3 |
| 3 i | ＊ | 101．4？ | c | 273．5R | ． | 17．213．44 | 9 | 7．839．44 | 7 |
| 37 | e | 99．84 | c | 774．16 | ＊ | 16．03R．PA | ＊ | 7.939 .78 | 7 |
| 33 | ＊ | 9R． 34 | 8 | 276．76 | ＊ | 16．kAl． 5 ？ | c | 4.036 .57 | 3 |
| 34 | c | 96.64 | c | ？79．36 | ＊ | 14．783． 14 | ＊ | 4.133 .16 | 3 |
| 35 | ＊ | 95.02 | ＊ | 779．98 | ＊ | 16．in3．18 | c | 4.2 PR．10 | 7 |
| 36 | $\pm$ | 97.40 | － | 291．60 | 4 | 15．921．58 | ， | 4.321 .58 | 7 |
| 37 | － | 91.76 | ＊ | 283.24 | ＋ | 15．9．39． 34 | ＊ | 4.417 .34 | 4 |
| 79 | ＊ | 90.12 | \％ | 284．88 | － | 15．353．44 | ， | 4．5n3．4A | 4 |
| 39 | ＊ | A． 4.47 |  | 286．53 | ＊ | 14．064．97 | 5 | 4.991 .97 | 4 |
| 40 | c | Q6． 21 | ＊ | 249．19 | F | 14．6．74．74 | － | 4．大7R．74 | 4 |
| 41 | c | A5． 14 | － | 299．86 | ＊ | 14．789．9R | \％ | 4.763 .82 | 4 |
| 47 | ＊ | R．3． 45 | ＊ | 291．55 | ＊ | 14．097．77 | ， | 4.947 .37 | 4 |
| 43 | c | A1．76 | c | 297.74 | － | 13．904．79 | ＊ | 4.979 .10 | 4 |
| 44 | － | Bn．ns | ＊ | 294.94 | ＊ | 13．509．15 | － | 5.009 .15 | 4 |
| 45 | ＊ | 79．35 | ＊ | 294．45 | ＊ | 13．313．50 | ＊ | c．nRt．5n | 4 |
| 45 | c | 74.67 | c | 798．37 | 4 | 17.914 .13 | ＊ | 5.184 .13 | 4 |
| 47 | ＊ | 74．90 | c | 300.10 | ＊ | 13．414．07 | ＊ | 5.279 .07 | 4 |
| 48 | ＊ | 73.16 | c | 3n1． R4 $^{\text {a }}$ | ＊ | 1？．712．19 | $\leqslant$ | 9． 317.17 | 4 |
| 49 | c | 71.41 | c | 303．59 | 4 | 12．019．50 | ， | 5．397， 6 保 | 5 |
| 50 | － | 69.65 | ＊ | ，95，75 | ＊ | 11．203．35 | 5 | 5.453 .35 | 5 |
| a） | ＊ | 67．as | c | 307．i？ | ＊ | 11.795 .17 | ＊ | c．9＞1．17 | 5 |
| 57 | \％ | 6tioln | － | 709．90 | ＊ | 11.087 .77 | a | 5．587， 37 | 5 |
| 57 | ＊ | 64.30 | ＊ | 710.70 | － | 10．77\％．57 | ， | C．0．51．57 | 5 |
| 54 | ＊ | 67．50 | ＊ | 3i7．50 | ＊ | 10．484．03 | ， | 5.714 .03 | 5 |
| 55 | － | An .49 | c | 314.31 | － | 10．149．77 | ＊ | 5.774 .77 | 9 |
| 54 | ＊ | 59.97 | c | 316.13 | ＊ | 9．037．59 | E | 5.833 .50 | 5 |
| 57 | － | 57.03 | ＊ | 317.97 | ＊ | 9．515．AT | ， | 5.89 Ca | 9 |
| 58 | 5 | 55.19 | c | 319.81 | ＊ | Q．i95．91 | ＊ | C．945．91 | 5 |
| 59 | 4 | 53.33 | c | 371.67 | ＊ | A，R74． 14 | ＊ | 5.999 .14 | － |
| An | \％ | 51.47 | c | 323.53 | ＊ | A．E50．41 | － | A．n5n．al | 5 |
| 61 | ＊ | 40.50 | F | 325．41 | ＊ | $\mathrm{a}_{5} 325.30$ | ， | a．100． $\mathrm{Na}^{\text {a }}$ | ＊ |
| 42 | ＊ | 47.71 | ， | 737.29 | ＊ | 7.997 .91 | － | 6.167 .21 | 4 |
| 63 | － | 45.81 | 4 | 379.19 | ＊ | 7．558．77 | ， | 大．193．72 | A |
| 64 | － | 47.90 | － | 331.10 | c | 7．337．67 | ， | 4． 337.67 | 4. |
| 65 | － | 41．98 | ＊ | 737.0 ？ | ＊ | 4.904 .150 | － | G． 370.40 | 4 |
| AR | － | 40.05 | ＊ | 334.95 | \＄ | 6．569．65 | ＊ | 4． 710.85 | 4 |
| 67 | c | 3 P .10 | \％ | 336.90 | － | 6． 372.75 | ＊ | A．35．75 | 4 |
| 68 | $F$ | 35.15 | c | 339．89 | ＊ | 5.993 .90 | ＊ | A．797．0n | 4 |
| 69 | － | 34.18 | c | 34n．93 | ＊ | 5.653 .08 | ， | K．4P8．06 | 4 |
| 70 | c | 32.21 | ＊ | 347.79 | ＊ | 5.310 .70 | － | 4．4×n． 20 | K |
| 71 | e | 3 n .72 | c | 344．70 | ＊ | 4．065， 51 | ＊ | 6．49n．51 | 4 |
| 77 | ＊ | ？${ }^{\text {a }}$ ？ | c | 744．79 | ＊ | 4.518 .77 | 5 | H．512．73 | 4 |
| 77 | c | 26． 21 | \％ | 749．79 | ＊ | 4．189．94 | ， | W． 544.94 | 7 |
| 74 | 5 | 24．18 | ＊ | 350．97 | ＊ | 3.919 .17 | ＊ | 6.567 .17 | $\dagger$ |
| 75 | ＊ | 23.15 | － | 357． 15 | ， | 3.4645 .77 | ＊ | 6．591． 77 | 7 |
| 74 | ＊ | ？n．10 | c | 354．90 | － | 7.111 .77 | ＊ | 0.611 .37 | 7 |
| 77 | － | 12．04 | ＊ | 358．96 | － | 3.754 .41 | ＊ | a．a＞9．41 | 7 |
| 78 | ＊ | 15.97 | － | 359，0\％ | c | 7.795 .38 | ＊ | G．K4F．34 | 7 |
| 77 | ＊ | 17.80 | c | 761.11 | ＊ | 3.134 .37 | ＊ | 6．659， 27 | 7 |
| 9n | ＊ | 11．90 | － | 363.70 | c | 1．471．07 | ＊ |  | 7 |
| a： | ＊ | 7.69 | ＊ | 265．31 | t | 1． 20.78 .78 | ＊ |  | 7 |
| P） | c | 7.57 | c | 767.43 | － | 07R．73 | － | C．R89． 77 | 7 |
| A） | c | 5.44 | c | 369．56 | c | 56P． 77 | － | R．0．67． 77 | 7 |
| A4 | － | 7.30 | c | 371.70 | ＊ | i97．07 | ＊ | 6．697． 67 | 7 |
| DS | c | 1.14 | ＊ | 107．07 | ＊ | .00 | － | A．8．9R．？ 1 | 9 |

Figure 51．Example of Mortgage Table Output
out reading the deck in each time. The results of each sort will be printed giving the original position of the card in the deck.

| Head nevy set of cards | L000 | 020 A | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Use old set of cards | L000 | 020 A | 0000 | 0000 | 0001 |
| Pointer to sort cards | L000 | 020 C | 0000 | 0000 | 00 YY |
| Number of characters inkey | L000 | 0214 | 0000 | 0000 | 00 KK |
| Starting character in key | L000 | 0215 | 0000 | 0000 | 00 SS |
| Direction of sort descending | L000 | 0217 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 |
| Direction of sort ascending | L000 | 0217 | 0000 | 0000 | 0001 |
| YY = segment number for storage of cards to be sorted |  |  |  |  |  |

The last card of a deck of cards to be sorted must contain an illegal character (?). An example of the card input to the sort and the several outputs of the sort, using different keys and different sort directions, appear in Figure 62.

## Matrix Multiply and Print

The Matrix Multiply program allows for the construction of a matrix which is the product of two given matrices. Each matrix element is an integer (positive or negative). The dimensions of the matrices may vary and will be defined by parameters stored in the work area. Pointers to the input matrices and to the storage area for the output matrix will also be stored in the work area.

The Matrix Multiply program has been written so that more than one Interpreter may work on the same matrix at the same time, each performing its own unique set of row calculations. Each of these processes must have its own work area indicating a starting row position and an entry for the number of processors that are performing the multiply.

The matrix print routine must start when the matrix multiply has been completed. This routine will print the input matrices and the resultant matrix on the printer.

The user of the matrix multiply and matrix print procedures must specify paramelers uî both of these routines. These parameters determine the dimensions and locations of the matrices to be multiplied:

$$
A_{i j} \times B_{j k}=C_{i k}
$$

| Pointer to matrix A | L000 | WWOC | 0000 | 0000 | 00 YY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | L000 | WWOD | 0000 | 0000 | 00 YY |
|  | C | L000 | WWOF | 0000 | 0000 | 00 YY |
| $\mathbf{i}$ |  | L000 | WW17 | 0000 | 0000 | 00 DD |
| j | L000 | WW16 | 0000 | 0000 | 00 DD |  |
| $\mathbf{k}$ |  | L000 | WW18 | 0000 | 0000 | 00 DD |

WW = segment number for work area storage of matrix multiply (24) and matrix print (2B) in hexidecimal
$Y Y=$ segment number for location of matrices in hexidecimal
DD = dimension of matrices in hexidecimal
Maximum size of matrix is 256 (size of segment).
Therefore the maximum dimension size is limited by the following formulas:
ix $\mathrm{j} \leq 256$
$\mathrm{j} \times \mathrm{k} \leq 256$
$\mathrm{i} \times \mathrm{k} \leq 256$

Since no more then 16 numbers can fit across the page for the matrix print, the number of elements in a row should be no more than 16.

$$
\mathrm{i} \text { and } \mathrm{j} \leq 16
$$

Two examples of the mat rix print output appear in Figure 63.

## CONFIDENCE ROUTINES

Four confidence routines, AERO1/KDK, AERO2/KDK, AERO3/KDK, and AERO4/KDK test internal Interpreter functions. These routines must be loaded directly into the microprogram memory and are not run under the control program. The following assumptions are made in the confidence routines:

A RIM B works

No errors in MPM or Nanomemory that do not appear in instruction 1 which is a dummy instruction used to set as many nano bits as possible.
$A+0$ and $0+B$ Work.
AERO1/KDK exercises the source-destination functions of the Interpreter, the successor controls, and the condition tests LST, MST, ABT, and AOV. The tests are designed to test from the simple to more complex. The detection of an error in the initial tests will cause a wait-wait at the nearest point to the error. Upon completion of testing of the successor controls all errors will exit to a standarderror routine.

AERO2／KDK exercises the SAR，CTR，and shifting functions．This test may also be considered as a test of the barrel switch．This test assumes that the first test （AERO1／KDK）runs successfully．

AERO3／KDK exercises the adder and carry logic of the Interpreter．This section of the code is divided into two parts．Part 1 exercises both $A+B$ and $A+B+1$ logic． Part 2 exercises the logic type instructions（NOR，NRI，NAN，XOR，NMM，IMP， EQV，AND，RIM，OR，$A+0,0+B)$ ．

A subsection of Part 2 exercises four instructions（ $O A D, A A D, A-B$ and $A-B-1$ ）that exist in the instruction set on other versions of the Interpreter．This section of code exercises no new functions on the LSI Interpreters．

Corresponding to each section（or subsection）there is a subroutine which performs the final comparison of results．The error indication and reporting for each section is done by calling a standard error routine from the corresponding subroutine．

AERO4／KDK exercises those remaining areas of the Interpreter not tested in the previous tests．This test exercises：LC1，LC2，LC3，INT，GC1，GC2，AOV，IC， CSAR，and $B$ Register inputs：BAD，BBA，BEI，BC4，BC8．

| momo SISPVFYS |  | 11：07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsa Comm |  | n－：1才 |  |
| COFPO SIIDVFV．5 | StikIA，tiky（ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ตナ：！n |  |
| $A C^{M} \mathrm{COtAn}$ | fitelsfon i＊${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7u：0u |  |
| COPAFLL THFS | H？L T + C | 11： 1 \％ | 门世．ATtMCE IN CONDHTF世 SYStrms |
| ACAF COMM | ［ Pods＋T | 7：01 |  |
| THM SYSTFins | Mavenalitw 1 | m－\％！ 7 |  |
| ACM COPAM | HAB4tionith 4 N | $m \times 16$ |  |
| $\triangle F I O S ~ F J C C ~$ | DF Vinlld r ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ns：uy |  |
| rnor SUPVEYS | OFNNING + | 70：04 | VIr Tuat mburioy |
| A COMM | fratals J－ | m－105 |  |
| $A C^{M}$ COMM |  | 71：U2 |  |
| MOMS SUPVEYS | COFPA4ivitlenICa | 11：04 | ¢YStr＊lir dillicns |
| WIT MFM |  | $n \rightarrow$ ：19n |  |
| MOMO SURVEYS | HOFFNAN L．J | $n+0$ On | C．MPUYENS ANil PRI的C．Y |
| TRF TRANS | KILCUFHitr）atrors | の．！： 14 |  |
| AFIOS SJCC | HLEIMNUCH L | 70： 05 |  |
| AFTOS FJCC | LAMPSIN $\sim$ H | 64：09 | GYAAMIC Holltecticit Sifurctitat |
| DRTACETON | LAMPSi）A．+ A | 71：03 | WruTECTION |
| TEFF COMPUTER | AHATE J：ll｜rint $\rightarrow$ H | $t, y: 11$ |  |
| $\triangle C M ~ C O M M ~$ | ［JIJKSTRA t＊ | － 7 ： 6 |  |
| ACM COMM | DIJKSTNA F ： | 6ら：05 | STKUCTUKE THF MILTINHOGWAMMINV，SYSTEM |
|  | Graham in M | 力め：05 | PNOTECTION INFORAATION HROCESSING |
| OS EYMP | POULE F：WAIIE＊ | by： 10 | MACHINE INTIEPFNDFNT SOFTwAWF |
| $\triangle C M ~ C O M M ~$ | WANOELL：KJFHNFN | 6m：05 | DYMAMIC STOHAGF ALLOCATIUN SYSTFME |
| COMD SIJRVEYS | HOSINS | 6Y：03 | FLFCTZONIC COMPIJTFES：HISTDWICAL SIIWVFY |
| COMO SURVEYS | HOSIN W F | $6 \rightarrow: 03$ | SUPERVISORY ANT MONITDK SYSTEMS |
| $\triangle C M$ COMM | SUTREWLAND I F | 6－5：06 | FUTIJRES MAHKET IN COMDUTER TIME |
| －OMO REVIEWS | TRIMRLF ；JR | A8：05 | TIME SHAEING HIRLIUGQANMY |
| $\triangle C M$ COMM | WAITE゙ M | 70：07 | MOHILF PROGQAMMINK SYSTEM：STAGE ？ |
| OATAMATION | COMHATO F $J$ | カ－：05 | PL／L AS TOOI FOR SYSTFN PEOGKAMMIA，G |
| PFFE INTNATL | CREFCH： | 70：06 |  |
| AFIOS FJJCC | C以ITCHLOW A J | 63：09 |  |
| A CN COMM | CFrjMidet $\omega$ ， | ¢入： 05 |  |
| ACA COMM | WILKtS Ps V | A以：01 | COMPIJTERS THFN ANIT NOU |

（a）Card Input Sequence
Figure 62．Example of Sort Routine Output

IEFF CUnPution
ACs Cusans
COMD Su~VEYS
"atasarlon
IFEF IH.THATL.
AFIDS JCE
GEAF COATM

AC: COARA
asIDS FJCC
AFIDS F JCC
CIWP Stmivers
ACM Crass

acis Conata
$A C=$ Cibrer:
accs Comat

ISM SYSIENS
COAP SUNVEYS
COLNELL IMES



71:AS SYSIHM DEADLOCKS
$71: 95$
fa: 95






THOASIING: IT








$4 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}$ Coman
:-E TriANS
AFIDS FICC
PHIFCE TUN
GNAD SuCVEYS
Comp siavers
ACM COAqM
OS SYH:
acm crimy
$\triangle F E O S$ SJCC
Cilup sumvfiyc
Conep Sifaveys
ACN COHens
comp revites
COMP MEVIEM
ACM Cos
ACM Corses

## 

70：0h
m： 0 ： 4

$4 x: 155$
a $4: 19$
$440: 19$
$70: 89$
70：04



 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Havenote } J W & 64: 07 \\ 64: 07\end{array}$





Lan＋SOH H 71：03 Dirotection










（b）Sort by Author（ascending）

| 4631 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | AR： 01 |  |
| －AIfr m | 1f：？ 7 |  |
| T－INE－Li G Jit | 大ッ： 05 |  |
|  | AM： $1+\mathrm{r}$ |  |
| －19¢4 | Autis |  |
| musix＊F | 90； 0 |  |
| －Ltiswlick L | 1e：us |  |
| MAtriallicilertura | ASA：$x^{5}$ |  |
| HMわE－\％Alte＊ | 可： 10 |  |
| $\cdots$－1th |  |  |
|  | 人リ： |  |
| －aCinforrall at $m$ | 70：リア |  |
| L 3atersts，－ | 1］：0．5 | やintrecl1！ |
|  | nu： 04 |  |
|  |  | Sve levtl siontur こustom |
| 1 Wげ．5－ 1 | 7n：リ1 |  |
| minl $\sim^{*}$ r | 71： |  |
|  | 54：06 |  |
| havenita j w | 大力： 07 |  |
| HAELTCOMAN A N | n4： 17 | Phevt vilon of Sistem heail nex |
| GHAMAM O 4 | 4－7：05 |  |
| DIJNSTんA F | 4 5 ： $0^{2}$ |  |
| 1）IJKSIHA F＊＊＊＊＊） | の5：07 |  |
| UFANIS JH | 19：03 | FiJtume TmFmes In time Shanimu Systews |
| DFNaIS JM | 6R：0S | POSITIOM HAWEN COWDITING C＇anunicates |
| Deatinis $\quad$ J | 70：09 | viotual vemnoy |
| DENAIVG P J |  |  |
| CFVAIME D J | मर： $0^{2}$ |  |
|  | ＋2：05 | VFwrual afmery Smailar in willice |
| CWITCrLOW A | 6．3：09 |  |
| Cwtichas | 70：96 |  |
| Cnजmatu F J | 49：95 |  |
| COFPMAN：FLPHICM | 71：0t | SYSTEM SEACLOCAS |
| ＋EnPSTtIA：Sha．anf | 71：${ }^{\text {12 }}$ |  |
| AFAtit Jirithura m | 49：11 |  |

（c）Sort tey Author（desceiaing）

Figure 62．Example of Sor：Routine Output

| EOUNT | POSIT104 |  |  |  | SORT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 12 | $\triangle$ CM COMM | GEGNSTEIN：SHARPF | 71：02 | DOLICY DAIVEN SCHFOLLER FOQ TSS |
| 2 | ？ | $\triangle C M$ COMM | DALEY H：DENNIS J | 6R：05 | VERTUAL MFMODY SHARING IN MULTICS |
| 3 | 34 | $\triangle C M$ COMM | DENNIATS $P$ P | 69：05 | WORKING GET MODFL PROGRAM BËHAVIOR |
| 4 | 11 | $\triangle C M$ COMM | DFHNIS J B | 63：05 | POSITION PAPER COMPUTING COMMUNICATNS |
| 5 | －1 | ACM COMm | OIJKStha E w | 65：09 | SOLUTION IN CON CIRPEAT POOG CONTROL |
| 6 | $2{ }^{2}$ | ACM COMM | OIJkStMa F．W | 68：05 | STructure the multippoghamming system |
| 7 | 23 | 1 cm Comm | GWAHAM D M | 6A：05 | OmOTECTION INFOQMATION DEDCESSING |
| 8 | A | 4 CM COmm | manekman a N | ค4：07 | QREVFATION DF SYSTEM DEAOLOCK |
| 9 | 6 | ACM COMM | IROMS E T | 70：01 | EXPFRIENCE WITH FXTSNSIELE LANGUAGE |
| 10 | 4 | $\triangle \mathrm{AM}^{\text {COM }}$ COM | NIFLSFN NP | 70：08 | ALLOCATION COMPUTFH OFFSOUPCFS |
| 11 | 25 | ACM COMm | HANITFLL：KUTHMF： | 64：05 | BYinamic storagi allogration sustems |
| 12 | 24 | ACM COma | Stitmertano i f | GR：06 | FUTURES MARKFI IN COMPUTER ？ImF |
| 13 | 30 | $\triangle \mathrm{CM}$ COMM | WaITt w m | 70：07 | MOHILE PROOLAMMINT SYGTFM：STAGE 2 |
| 14 | 35 | 4 CM COmm | WHLKESMV | 54：01 | COMPI）TtRS THFN $\triangle$ ND NOW |
| 15 | 33 | AFIWS FJCC | CHITCHLOW A J | 63：09 | GFNF DALITEI MILTIPUNGRAMMING SYSIEMS |
| 16 | 9 | AFIPS FJJCE | DENMING：P J | 64：09 | THRASMING：JTS Callsfes anj pofivintion |
| 17 | 18 | AFIOS rJCC | LAMPSON 4 W | 69：09 | dYNamic obntfction stpuctubes |
| 18 | 17 | AFIW＇S SJCC | HIFINDOCK L | 70：05 | COMTINTHM TIMF SHAFING SCHEDULING |
| 19 | 24 | （0）Mb NEVItMS | thinmiar aj jo | 64：05 | TIME SHAMING HIRLIOSQAPHY |
| 20 | 13 | COMO Siluvfy | CIFFPMAN：FI PHICK | 71：166 | GYSTRM DEADLOCKS |
| 21 | 10 | Comp Supveyc | OFAMINGF FJ | 70：64 | VILI！JAL MfMOHY |
| 27. | 15 | comp sumveys | HITFMAN L．J | A9：04 | COMPIITES ANO PRIVACY |
| 23 | 1 | CONO SUPVEYC | MaCumhinll m H | 70：09 | COMPUYF S SYSTEM GIM．ALTIOM |
| 24 | 3 | comp surveys | amblhint Y J M | 69：9n | SIJWVEY ANALY YICAL TIMF SHRING MODELS |
| 25 | 67 | coned shinvers | M0Sİ－ H F | 69：03 | Sipafivicour anfl mondtop sicstems |
| P6 | 8 \％ | cound S！lwatrs | vonin－ | 69：0．3 |  |
| 27 | 5 | COHMELI thre | － 6114 c | 71：0n | OFAOLOCK IN COMPUTEA SYSTEMS |
| 23 | 1） | datamatlom |  | कu：15 |  |
| 29 | 1 | Tkercritas， | maviabre J w | mu：r． 7 |  |
| 30 | 20 | TFFF Costuitta | aratt Jinlimith H | 64： 11 | OPTIMILING，DELDF OIMANICE DRUM STORAGE |
| 31 | $3 ?$ | IFFF Intmate | CuFtren ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a | 70：0n | ［HPLIFMENTAIION OF OPFDATING SYGTEMS |
| 37 | It | 1 HF Ywits | all mijntifilwavic． | AS：114 | OME LLVFL SYOEATFF SYSTFM |
| 37 | 14 | at IT Nation | thmis Jm | AS： 0 ¢ |  |
| 34 | 24 | 1） 5 cyup | －Nomt wiwnitt w | AS：10 |  |
| 35 | 14 | Phlvertioni |  | 71：13 | 以及のTSCTIOM |

Figure 62．Examples of Sort Routine Output（cont＇d）

| matPIX a MATRIX | $\cdots$ | 1x | PROMUCT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | －1？ | －13 | －7 | －15 | －？ |  |  |
| －15 | 6 | 17 | － | －10 | －6 |  |  |
| 11 | 4 | －11 | 5 | －4 | －4 |  |  |
| －1 | －11 | $?$ | 10 | $?$ | 13 |  |  |
| 1 | －11 | 14 | －7 | －11 | 1 |  |  |
| MATRIX $A$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $n$ | ？ | －23 | 14 | 10 |  | －0 |
| 1 R | －11 | －10 | －17 | 3 | － 6 |  | $?$ |
| 7 | －71 | －7 | 21 | 20 | 16 | ＊ | －？？ |
| －3 | －5 | 9 | 10 | 13 | －$R$ | ＊ | －15 |
| －14 | －16 | 17 | －24 | －20 | 1 | 5 | －17 |
| －19 | A | 15 | －1 | 73 | －18 | －13 | $1 ?$ |
| pronuet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 656 | －20 | 37 | －7？ | 19 | 20 | 457 |
| $31 ?$ | －187 | －813 | 610 | 26 | 194 | －130 | P9 |
| 237 | 194 | 196 | －357 | －1 | －6？ | 117 | 76 |
| －500 | 101 | 393 | 7 A 1 | $38 ?$ | －724 | －63 | －75 |
| 55 | 26 | －165 | 564 | $\therefore 69$ | 295 | －64 | －15 |

Figure 63．Examples of Matrix Print Routine Output


Figure 63. Examples of Matrix Print Routine Output (cont'd)

## APPENDIX I

## HISTORICAL REVIEW OF MICROPROGRAMMING

Digital computing systems have traditionally been described as being composed of the five basic units: input, output, memory, arithmetic/logic, and control (Figure 64). Machine instructions and data are communicated among these units as indicated by the heavy lines in the figure are generally well known and understood. The control signals (as indicated by light lines in the figure), are generally less well known and understood except by the system designer. These control signals generated in the controi unit determine the information flow and timing of the system.

Microprogramming is a term associated with the orderly and systematic approach to the design of the control unit. The functions of the control unit include:

1. Fetching the next machine instruction to be executed from memory
2. Decoding the machine instruction and providing each microstep control
3. Controlling the gating of data paths to perform the specified operation
4. Changing the machine state to allow fetching of the next instruction.

The conventional control unit is designed using flip-flops (e.g., registers and counters) and gating in a relatively irregular ad hoc manner. By contrast the control unit of a microprogrammable computer is implemented using well structured memory elements, thus providing a means for well organized and flexible control.

Microprogramming is therefore a technique for implementing the control function of a digital computing system as sequences of control signals that are organized on a word basis and stored in a memory unit.

It should be noted that if this memory is alterable, then microprogramming allows the modification of the system architecture as observed at the machine language level. Thus, the same hardware may be made to appear as a variety of system structures; thereby achieving optimum processing capability for each task to be performed. The ability to alter the microprogram memory is called dynamic microprogramming as compared to static microprogramming which uses read only memories.

As can be seen in the following brief historical review, the concept of microprogramming was not widely accepted except academically during the 1950's. The primary reason for this was its high cost oi implementation, especially the cost of control memories. From the mid-1960's to the present there has been a definite trend toward microprogrammable processors and more recently to dynamic microprogramming. This effort has been inspired by rapid advances in technology, especially control memories.


Figure 64. Traditional Digital Computing System Block Diagram

1
Wilkes objective was "to provide a systematic approach and an orderly approach to designing the control section of any computing system. He likened the execution of the individual steps within a machine instruction to the execution of the individual instructions in a program; hence the term microprogramming. This view is hardware design oriented.

Lincoln Lab (see Van der Poel ${ }^{2}$ ) with different emphasis used the term microprogramming to describe a system in which the individual bits in an instruction directly control certain gates in the processor. The objective here was to provide the programmer with a larger instruction repertoire. This view is software design oriented.

Glantz ${ }^{3}$ and Mercer ${ }^{4}$ pointed out that through microprogram modifications the processor inst ruction set may be varied.

Blankenbaker ${ }^{5}$, Dinneen ${ }^{6}$, and Kampe ${ }^{7}$ described simple computers based on Wilkes model.

Great international interest was shown from U. S., U. K., Italy, Japan, Russia, Australia and France.

In Datamation ${ }^{8-12}$ five articles appeared on microprogramming with emphasis on how it might extend the computing capacity of small machines.

IBM System 360 (Stevens ${ }^{13}$ ) demonstrated that through microprogramming, computers of different power with compatible instruction sets could be provided (used read only storage).

Melbourne and Pugmire ${ }^{14}$ described microprogramming support for compiling and interpreting higher level programming languages.

| 1965 | McGee and Petersen ${ }^{15}$ pointed out the advantage of using an elementary microprogrammed computer as a peripheral controller; i.e., as an interface between computers and peripheral devices. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1965-1966 | Green ${ }^{16}$, and Tucker ${ }^{17}$ described emulation of one machine on another through microprogramming. |
| 1967 | Opler ${ }^{18}$ coined the term "firmware" for microprograms designed to support software and suggests the increased usage of microprogramming and describes its advantages. |
| 1967 | Hawryszkiewycz ${ }^{19}$ discussed microprogram support through special instructions for problem oriented languages. |
| 1967 | Rose ${ }^{20}$ described a microprogrammed graphical interface computer. |
| 1968 | Lawson ${ }^{21}$ discussed program language oriented instruction streams. |
| 1969 | Wilkes ${ }^{22}$ and Rosin ${ }^{23}$ provided surveys of the microprogramming advances. |
|  | There were also announcements of many new microprogrammed computers (e.g., Standard Computer - Rakoczi ${ }^{24}$ ). |
| 1970 | Husson ${ }^{25}$ provided the first textbook on microprogramming. |
| 1971 | Tucker and Flynn ${ }^{26}$ pointed out advantages of adapting the machine to the task through microprogramming. |
| July 1971 | The IEEE Transactions on Computers offered a special issue on microprogramming. |
| July 1972 | Clapp ${ }^{27}$ and Jones, et. al. ${ }^{28}$ provide annotated microprogramming bibliographies. |
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## LARGE SCALE INTEGRATION

## Via Discretionary Routed Arrays



Figure 1. Multilevel process from wafer to array test, all computerized.

Texas instruments is using monolithic discretionary routing technology to produce Large Scale Integrated (LSI) arrays. Large bipolar waters are produced containing an intermix of the gates and flip-flops required to perform logic functions.

More than 16,000 separate components are diffused into a single 1 1/2-inch-diameter silicon slice. These components are then connected with first level metallization into a minimum of 1410 equivalent gates. (See Figure 1.) The slice is then probed to determine the individual characteristics of each device on the slice.

Customer logic requirements are fed into computer-controlled equipment, which has been developed to generate unique interconnection masks for each water at low cost.

Custom interconnections are then produced using probe test data and a computer to develop the discretionary routing masks. Using these automated techniques, custom arrays can be developed to fit most logic specifications. Multilevel metal interconnect technology now makes possible the production of very complex arrays in a short time.

## CUSTOM LSI ARRAYS

Custom LSI Arrays are produced by discretionarily interconnecting various circuits or cell types on the face of an LSI wafer, similar to the interconnection of individual integrated circuits on a PC board. These are TTL logic circuit types and are similar to TI standard series SN5400 integrated circuits. The same general logic rules (loading, fan-in, fan-out, logic states, speeds, etc.) that apply to series SN5400, apply to the LSI circuits. Therefore, to design a system with LSI, or to reimplement an existing one, is a relatively easy, straightforward process.

## LSI INTERFACE

There are three basic interface methods that can be achieved with the LS! technology:

1) The first method is to implement a functional bipolar logic requirement with the standard wafers currently in assignment inventory, shown on page. These types are currently in production and stocked, waiting for assignment to a logic requirement. The addition of multitevel metallization converts these slices into functional arrays.

Partitioning the arrays for the number of circuits and types available on the wafer and limiting the number of input-outputs, not to exceed 126, is all that is required. Presently, the time from logic diagram input to completed array is in the range of 30 to 90 days, depending on complexity.
2) The second interface method is implemented by creating a custom wafer using standard circuits from our circuits library. This often reduces the total number of arrays needed in a system, thus reducing the system cost. The highest single cost in the design of IC's is the set of diffusion masks used to create the individual circuits. This high cost has already been absorbed in the design of standard circuits. Stepping and repeating these standard circuits around on a wafer to form a custom distribution or quantity of given circuit types is a relatively low cost operation. Thus, a custom wafer containing a unique distribution of circuits for a specific application provides the interface.

TI is continuously expanding the present circuits library with new, more complex circuits. Most of these will be similar, if not identical, to the circuits presently available as standard Series 5400 . Thus, implementing LSI arrays remains simple.
3) The third interface method with LSI is a total custom approach. A few thousand arrays of a single type may justify the expense of a custom circuit as well as that of a unique wafer. General-purpose logic arrays will provide 200 to 800 -gate complexity while customized circuits and wafers can provide arrays of 500 - to over 2000 -gate complexity on a single monolithic substrate.

## ARRAY TESTING

The final phase of creating an LSI array is the testing of interconnections and the verification that the array will perform in accordance with the logic diagram. Because testing an input logic array with all possible combinations of inputs that can occur is impractical, Ti has develeped a "single-fault modeling" approach. Testing for a single type of fault at each node within the logic network is both practical and effective. This approach assumes that a set of inputs can be defined that not only will exercise each circuit output but also will test for the output being stuck-at-one or stuck-at-zero.

The number of tests required for a 200- to 400-gate array is in the thousands. But this is a reasonable number to generate and test with computer programs and computer-controlied test equipment. The equipment is capable of applying 5,000 tests per second to a 156 -pin LSI package.

This approach to tests does not require knowledge of the functional capability of a logic array. Therefore, a logic diagram can be provided, the multilevel interconnection accomplished, and the completed array tested without the operator knowing what the array does functionally. This gives the customer confidence thist his circuit innovations are protected. In addition, it assures that this information is treated on a prcprietary basis.


#### Abstract

ARRAY PACKAGE

A general-purpose package has been developed for housing whole wafers of monolithic semiconductor components. The package serves as a suitable container, protects the wafer from handling and environments, provides for adequate heat transfer, and is capable of mounting and interconnection into customers' equipment. A $21 / 8$-inch square, alumina-ceramic substrate with thick-film metallization leads is the package developed through extensive research. It provides 39 leads on 50 -mil centers on all 4 sides of the package so that conventional solder or refiow solder techniques can be used.


Normally the wafer is mounted with a special high-temperature epoxy adhesive, providing typically a $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$ gradient between the LSI wafer and the ceramic header. The wafer is connected to the gold-plated lead frame with gold wires, using conventional thermocompression techniques. This resuits in a high-reliability all gold system. The standard package has an epoxy-sealed ceramic lid, but a hermetically sealed package with Kovar-type lid can be provided.
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## BIPOLAR LSI

## GENERAL CIRCUIT CHARACTERISTICS

absolute maximum ratings over operating case temperature range (unless otherwise noted)


NOTES: 1. Voltages are with respect to network pround terminal,
2. Input signale must be 2 ero or positive with respect to network ground terminat.
recommended operating conditions

$$
\text { Supply Voltage } V_{C C}
$$

| MIN | TYP | MAX | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.5 | 5 | 5.5 | $V$ |

electrical characteristics over operating temperature range (unless otherwise noted)

| PARAMETER | TEST CONDITIONS | MIN | TYP* | MAX | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1 H}$ High tevel input voltage | $V_{\text {CC }}=4.5 \mathrm{~V}$ | 2 |  |  | $V$ |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1 \mathrm{~L}}$. Low level input voltage | $V_{C C}=4.5 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |  | 0.8 | $V$ |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OH}}$ High level output voltage | $V_{C C}=4.5 \mathrm{~V}, \quad i_{\text {load }}=400 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ | 2.4 | 3.5 |  | $v$ |
| $V_{\text {OL }}$ Low level ourput voltage | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {CC }}=4.5 \mathrm{~V}, \quad \mathrm{I}_{\text {sink }}=8 \mathrm{~mA}$ |  | 0.22 | 0.4 | $\checkmark$ |
| High level inpur cuirent one normalized toad | $V_{C C}=5.5 \mathrm{~V} . \quad V_{1 H}=2.4 \mathrm{~V}$. |  |  | 40 | $\mu \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}=5.5 \mathrm{~V}, \quad \mathrm{~V}_{1 H}=5.5 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |  | 1 | mA |
| Low leves input current IIL one normalized load | $V_{C C}=5.5 \mathrm{~V}, \quad V_{\text {IL }}=0.4 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |  | -1.6 | mA |
| 'OS** $\begin{gathered}\text { Short-circuit output current } \\ \text { (output in logic one state) }\end{gathered}$ | $V_{C C}=5.5 \mathrm{~V}$ | -18 |  | -57 | mA |

- All typical values areat $V_{C C}=5 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
* Not more than one output should be shorted at etime.
fan-out

All LSI gates and flip-flops are rated for a normalized fan-out of 10. This fan-out should not include more than 5 externai joutside of package) 0 state loads.








等：．．．．



## NAND GATE



## SCHEMATIC



COMPONENT VALUES SHOWN ARE NOMINAL.

$$
\text { CHARACTERISTICS }\left(V_{C C}=5 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T}_{A}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~N}=10\right)
$$

| PARAMETER | MIN | TYP | MAX | UNIT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AV PROPAGATION DELAY |  | 9 | 19 | ns |
| POWER DISSIPATION |  | 10 |  | mW |
| FAN-IN (NORMALIZED) |  |  | 1 | - |
| FAN-OUT INORMALIZED) | 10 |  |  | - |

NOTE: FOR MORE GATE INFORMATION SEE SN5400 DATA SHEET.

## EXCLUSIVE OR.GATE

LOGIC


| INPUTS |  | OUTPUTS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $F 1$ | $F 2$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

SCHEMATIC


CHARACTERISTICS $\left(V_{C C}=5 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}=10\right)$

| Parameter | MIN | TYP | max | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AV PROPAGATION DELAY F1 F2 |  | ${ }_{18}^{9}$ | 19 38 | ns |
| POWER DISSIPATION |  | 26 |  | mW |
| FAN-IN (NOP:imalized) $A \in B$ <br> c |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | - |
| FAN-OUT (NORMALIZED) | 10 |  |  | - |

AND-NOR-INVERT GATE

schematic

CHARACTERISTICS ( $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C C}}=5 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}=10$ )

| PARAMETER | MIN | TYP | MAX | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AV PROPAGATION DELAY |  |  |  |  |
| F9 |  | 10 | 20 | ns |
| F2 |  | 19 | 39 | ns |
| POWER DISSIPATION |  | 40 |  | mw |
| FAN-IN (NORMALIZED) |  |  | 1 | - |
| FAN-OUT (NORMALIZED) | 10 |  |  | - |

## LOGIC


positive logic:
LOW INPUT TO PRESET SETS O TO LOGICAL,
LOW INPUT TO CLEAR SETS O TO LOGICAL O PRESET AND CLEAR ARE INDEPENDENT OF CLOCK

## DESCRIPTION

THESE JK FLIP-FLOPS ARE BASED ON THE MASTER-SLAVE PRINCIPLE AND EACH HAS AND GATE INPUTS FOR ENTRY into the master section which are controlled by the clock pulse. the clock pulse also regulates the state of the coupling transistors which con. nect the master and slave sections. the seouence OF OPERATION IS AS FOLLOWS:

1. ISOLATE SLAVE FROM MASTER
2. ENTER INFORMATION FROM AND GATE INPUTS TO MASTER
3. DISABLE AND GATE INPUTS
4. TRANSFER INFORMATION FROM MASTER TO SLAVE.


SCHEMATIC


CHARACTERISTICS iV $_{\text {CC }}=5 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}=101$

| PARAMETER | MIN | TYP | max | UNIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAX CLOCK FREOUENCY | 15 | 20 |  | Marta |
| POWER DISSIPATION |  | 40 |  | *** |
| FAN-IN (NORMALIZED) |  |  |  |  |
| d㚗K |  |  | 1 | - |
| PRESET, CLEAR $\%$ CLOCK |  |  | 2 | - |
| FAN-OUT | 10 |  |  | - |

MOTE: FOR MORE FLIP.FLOP INFORMATION REFER TO SVSA72 DATA SHEET.


7-INPUT NAND GATE


EXCLUSIVE OR GATE


$$
A N D-\because O R-I N V E R T G A T E
$$



J - K MASTER-SLAVE FLIP-FLOP

## PACKAGE DATA

PIN LAYOUT


## PACKAGE DIAGRAM



TEXAS INSTRUMENTS RESERVESTHE RIGHT TO MAKE
IMATIGES AT ANY TIME IN ORDEP TO IMPROVE DESIGN
GML TG SUPP'Y THE EEST PRODUCT POSSIBLE.

## DRA-3013 SUMMARY

| Function | Total No. | Gate Complexity | Total Gate Complexity | Pins <br> Used | Pins <br> Available | Percent Used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FF | 8 | 6 | 48 | 40 | 88 | 45 |
| ANI | 30 | 7 | 210 | 265 | 480 | 55 |
| EXOR | 18 | 3 | 54 | 64 | 90 | 71 |
| 3G | 93 | 1 | 93 | 257 | 372 | 69 |
| 7G | 15 | 1 | 15 | 82 | 120 | 68 |
| TOTALS: | 164 |  | 420 | 708 | 1150 | 61 |

POWER DISSIPATION - 3.14 WATTS TOTAL PINS - 801, including 93 //O PINS INPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 67 OUTPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 26

## DRA-3014 SUMKARY

| Function | Total No. | Gate Complexity | Total Gate Complexity | Pins <br> Used | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pins } \\ \text { Available } \end{gathered}$ | Percent $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FF | 32 | 6 | 192 | 128 | 352 | 36 |
| ANI | 26 | 7 | 182 | 247 | 416 | 59 |
| EXOR | 16 | 3 | 48 | 64 | 80 | 80 |
| 3 G | 113 | 1 | 113 | 344 | 452 | 76 |
| 7G | 17 | 1 | 17 | 103 | 136 | 75 |
| TOTALS: | 204 |  | 552 | 886 | 1436 | 61 |
| POWER DISSIPATION $=4.10$ WATTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PINS - 967, including 81 1/O PINS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OUTPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Function | Total No. | Gate Complexity | Total Gate Complexity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pins } \\ & \text { Used } \end{aligned}$ | Pins <br> Available | Percent Used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FF | 22 | 6 | 132 | 109 | 242 | 45 |
| ANI | 21 | 7 | 147 | 128 | 336 | 38 |
| EXOR | 9 | 3 | 27 | 30 | 45 | 66 |
| 3G | 83 | 1 | 83 | 224 | 332 | 67 |
| TOTALS: | 135 |  | 389 | 491 | 955 | 51 |

# POW ER DISSIPATION - 2. 82 WATTS <br> TOTAL PINS - 559, including 71 I/O PINS <br> INPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 36 <br> OUTPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 35 

*NOTE - 2-LEVEL METAL SYSTEM
$A A-5 / 8 / 72$

## DRA-3016* SUMMARY

| Function | Total No. | Gate Complexity | Total Gate Complexity | Pins <br> Used | Pins <br> Available | Percent Used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FF | 14 | 6 | 84 | 62 | 154 | 40 |
| ANI | 25 | 7 | 175 | 157 | 400 | 39 |
| EXOR | 10 | 3 | 30 | 37 | 50 | 74 |
| 3G | 68 | 1 | 68 | 145 | 272 | 53 |
| 7G | 17 | 1 | 17 | 85 | 136 | 62 |
| TOTALS: | 134 |  | 374 | 486 | 1012 | 48 |

# POWER DISSIPATION - 2. 71 WATTS <br> TOTAL PINS - 541, including 55 I/O PINS <br> INPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 40 <br> OUTPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 15 

*NGTE-2-LEVEL METAL SYSTEM

| Function | Total No. | Gate <br> Complexity | Total Gate <br> Complexity | Pins <br> Used | Pins <br> Available | Percent <br> Used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANI | 38 | 23 | 6 | 228 | 179 | 418 |

```
POWER DISSIPATION - 3.52 WATTS
TOTAL PINS - 652, including 77 I/O PINS
INPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 42
OUTPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 35
```


## DRA-3018 SUMMARY

| Function | Total No. | Gate Complexity | Total Gate Complexity | Pins <br> Used | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pins } \\ \text { Available } \end{gathered}$ | Percent <br> Used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FF | 36 | 6 | 216 | 168 | 396 | 42 |
| ANI | 31 | 7 | 217 | 338 | 496 | 68 |
| EXOR | 9 | 3 | 27 | 38 | 45 | 84 |
| 3G | 86 | 1 | 86 | 216 | 344 | 62 |
| 7G | 16 | 1 | 16 | 87 | 128 | 67 |
| TOTALS: | 178 |  | 562 | 847 | 1409 | 60 |

# POWER DISSIPATION - 3.97 WATTS TOTAL PINS - 925, including 89 I/O PINS INPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 55 <br> OUTPUT CONNECTOR PINS - 34 

## RETURNED MATERIAL REPORT

I. DRA-3013:
A) SERIAL NO. 34533

1. BAD VIAS
2. NOT REPAIRED
B) SERIAL NO. 34540
3. FIRST TO SECOND METAL SHORT
4. REPAIRED AND RETURNED
C) SERIAL NO. 35022
5. UNKNOWN SHORTS
6. SHORTS BAKED OUT AND NOT RETURNED
D) SERIAL NO. 31306
7. FIRST TO SECOND METAL SHORT
8. REPAIRED AND RETURNED
II. DRA-3014:
A) SERLAL NO. 35021
9. FIRST TO SECOND METAL SHORT2. REPAIRED AND RETURNED
B) SERLAL NO. 34007
10. BAD VIAS
11. NOT REPAIRED
C) SERLAL NO. ..... 35208
12. BAD THLRD METAL AND/OR OXIDE STEPS
13. NOT REPAIRED
D) SERLAL NO. ..... 35808
14. NO DEFECTS FOUND
15. POSSIBLE ARRAY TO P.C. BOARD CONNECTION
16. POSSIBLE A.C. SPEED PROBLEM
17. NOT RETURNED

# RETURNED MATERIAL REPORT 

## Page Two

DRA-3014 - cont'd
E) SERIAL NO. 34904

1. SECOND TO THIRD METAL SHORT
2. REPAIRED AND RETURNED
III. DRA-3015:
A) SERIAL NO. ..... 33307
3. UNKNOWN SHORTS
4. SHORTS BAKED OUT AND RETURNED
IV. DRA-3016:
A) SERIAL NO. 333111. FIRST TO SECOND METAL SHORT2. REPAIRID AND RETURNED
V. DRA-3018:
A) SERLAL NO. ..... 340111. SECOND TO THIRD METAL SHORT2. REPAIRED AND RETURNED

## RELIABIIITY

THE MOST RECENT RELIABILITY STUDY WAS PERFORMED BY TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED UNDER CONTRACT TO NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION, GEORGE C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, ALABAMA 35812.

RESULTS OF THIS STUDY ARE CONTAINED IN REPORT NUMBER 03-71-27 (FINAL REPORT - PHASE II) "DEVELOPMENT OF QUALITY STANDARDS FOR BIPOLAR LSI DEVICES", APRIL 1971. CONTRACT NUMBER IS NAS8-21319, CONTROL NUMBER DCN 1-8-60-00152(IF) AND $\mathrm{Sl}(1 \mathrm{~F})$ AND $\mathrm{S} 2(1 \mathrm{~F})$.

## APPENDIX III

## ADDER OPERATIONS

The following tables summarize the adder arithmetic and logical operations that may be specified using TRANSLANG. The execution phase controls and the value determination for the ABT dynamic condition are indicated.

Notes:

| 1. | A Register Selection: | $\begin{array}{r} A \\ A 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} 1\|\mathrm{~A} 2\| \mathrm{A} 3 \\ & \text { All ZEROS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | B Register Selection: | $\frac{B}{B}$ | Any B Register Select option ONES complement (by TRANSLANG) of the specified $B$ Register Select option |
|  |  | 0 | All ZEROS |
|  |  | 1 | All ONES |
| 3. | Z. Register Selection: | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | CTR \| LIT |AMPCR All ZEROS |
| 4. | Inhibit 8 Bit Carry: | 0 | Allow carry into bytes |
|  |  | 1 | Inhibit carry into bytes |
| 5. | Adder Operation |  | As specified in Microprogramming Section |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADDER } \\ & \text { OPERATION } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | RESULTFORM | ARITHMETIC OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ABT IS } \\ \text { TRUE IF } \\ \text { RESULT IS ALL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | REGISTER SELECT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $A^{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{2}$ | $\underline{z}^{3}$ | $\underline{1 C 8}{ }^{4}$ | $\mathrm{ADDOP}^{5}$ |  |
| A ADD B |  | A | B | 0 | 0 | 2 | ONES |
| A ADD Z | $R+S$ | A | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | ONES |
| B ADD $Z$ |  | 0 | B | 2 | 0 | 9 | ONES |
| A ADL B |  | A | B | 0 | 0 | 3 | zeros |
| A ADL $Z$ | R+S+1 | A | 0 | Z | 0 | 0 | zeros |
| B ADL $Z$ |  | 0 | B | z | 0 | 8 | z.EROS |
| A CAD B | $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{S}$ | A | B | 0 | 1 | 2 | ONES |
| A CAD Z | ИTTHOUT | A | 0 | Z | 1 | 1 | ONES |
| B CAD Z | CARRY | 0 | B | Z | 1 | 9 | ONES |
| 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | NEVER |
| 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | NEVER |


| MONADIC LOGICAL OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADDER | RESULT | REGISTER SELECT |  |  |  | ABT is |
| OPERATION | FORM | A $^{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{2}$ | $\underline{z}^{3}$ | ADDOP $^{5}$ | RESULT IS ALL |
| A |  | A | 0 | 0 | 2 | ONES |
| B | R | 0 | B | 0 | 2 | ONES |
| Z |  | 0 | 0 | z | 1 | ONES |
| NOT A |  | A | 0 | 0 | 15 | ZEROS |
| Not B | $\overline{\mathrm{R}}$ | 0 | B | 0 | 10 | zeros |
| VOT Z |  | 0 | 0 | $z$ | 12 | zenos |

DYADIC LOGICAL OPERATIONS

|  |  | REGISTER SELECT |  |  |  | ABT IS TRUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATION | FORM | $\mathrm{A}^{\text {1 }}$ | $B^{2}$ | $\underline{z}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{ADDOP}^{5}$ |  |
| A AND B |  | A | $\bar{B}$ | 0 | 7 | ONES |
| A AND 2 | RAS | A | 1 | Z | 13 | ZEROS |
| B AND Z |  | 0 | $\bar{B}$ | Z | 4 | ONES |
| A NIM B |  | A | B | 9 | 7 | ONES |
| A NHM $Z$ | $\mathrm{R} \wedge \overline{\mathrm{S}}$ |  |  | A | ID |  |
| B NIM Z |  | 0 | $\bar{B}$ | Z | 13 | ZEROS |
| A NRI B |  | A | $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$ | 0 | 10 | ZEROS |
| A NRI Z | $\overline{\mathrm{R}} \wedge \mathrm{S}$ | A | 0 | Z | 5 | ONES |
| B NRI $Z$ |  | 0 | B | Z | 4 | ONES |
| A NOR B |  | A | B | 0 | 10 | ZEROS |
| A NOR 2 | $\overline{\mathrm{R}} \wedge \overline{\mathrm{S}}$ |  |  | A | i D |  |
| B NOR 2 |  | 0 | B | Z | 13 | ZEROS |
| A XOR B |  | A | B | 0 | 6 | ONES |
| A XOR 2 | $(\mathrm{R} \wedge \overline{\mathrm{S}}) \cup(\overline{\mathrm{R}} \wedge \mathrm{S})$ | A | 0 | Z | 4 | ONES |
| B XOR Z |  | 0 | $\bar{B}$ | Z | 14 | ZEROS |
| A EQV B |  | A | $\bar{B}$ | 0 | 6 | ONES |
| A EQV 2 | $(\mathrm{R} \wedge \mathrm{S}) \cup(\overline{\mathrm{R}} \wedge \overline{\mathrm{S}})$ | A | 0 | z | 14 | ZEROS |
| B EQV $Z$ |  | 0 | B | Z | 14 | ZEROS |
| A NAN B |  | A | $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$ | 0 | 15 | ZEROS |
| A NAN $Z$ | $\overline{\mathbf{R}} \backslash \overline{\mathrm{S}}$ | A | 1 | Z | 5 | ONES |
| B NAN $Z$ |  | 0 | $\bar{B}$ | z | 12 | ZEROS |
| A IMP B |  | A | B | 0 | 15 | ZEROS |
| AIMPZ | $\overline{\mathrm{R}} \cup \mathrm{S}$ |  |  | $\nabla^{-}$ | ID |  |
| リ1.1P Z |  | 0 | $\bar{B}$ | Z | 5 | ONES |

DYADIC LOGICAL OPERATIONS (Cont'd)
REGISTER SELECT
ADDER
PERATION $\begin{gathered}\text { RESULT } \\ \text { FORM }\end{gathered} \underline{A}^{1} \quad \underline{B}^{2} \quad \underline{Z}^{3} \quad$ ADDOP $^{5} \quad$ ABT ISTRUE
A OR B
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{A} & \mathrm{B} & 0 & 11\end{array}$
AOR $2 \quad$ RVS
$\begin{array}{lllll}B O R Z & 0 & B & Z\end{array}$

A RIM B
A RIM Z RVE
B RIM Z
A $\quad \bar{B} \quad 0$
11
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{A} & 0 & Z & 12\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{Z} & 12\end{array}$
ONES

ONES

TRIADIC LOGICAL OPERATIONS

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ADI} \\ & \mathrm{OPER} \end{aligned}$ | R1ON | ADDOP ${ }^{5}$ | RESULT | ABT IS TRUE <br> IF RESULT ISALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRY1 | A, B, Z | 4 | $\overline{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{XOR} \mathrm{Z})$ | ONES |
| TRY2 | A, B, Z | 5 | $(\bar{A} \wedge Z) \vee(B \wedge \bar{Z})$ | ONES |
| TRY3 | A, B, $Z$ | 12 | $A \vee B \vee \bar{Z}$ | ZEROS |
| TRY4 | A, B, Z | 13 | $\left(A_{\wedge}{ }^{\prime}\right) \vee(\bar{B} \wedge \bar{Z})$ | ZEROS |
| TRY5 | A, B, Z | 14 | $(A \vee B) E Q \vee Z$ | ZEROS |

## APPENDIX IV

## TRANSLANG SYNTAX

ReferencePage
<Program: ::= <Program Name Line>< Hody><End Line> ..... 111
<Program Name Line> :: = PROGRAM < Program Name;-Start Address ..... 111
<Program Name> ::=<Label $>$ ..... 111
<Label : : = <Letter | <Label \llLetter - < Label > < Digit $>$ ..... 94
$<$ Letter $>::=A|B| C|D| E|F| G|H| I|J| K|L| M|N| O|P| Q|R| S|T| U|V| W \mid$ ..... 93$X|Y| Z$
<Digit> :: $=0|1| 2|3| 4|5| 6|7| 8 \mid 9$ ..... 93
<Start Address: ::= ADR -Hex Address | VMpty ..... 111
<Hex Address : := <Hex Number ..... 111
<Hex Number : := © Hex Digit: | < Hex Number < Hex Digit ..... 111
$<$ Hex Digit $:=<$ Digit $^{\prime}|\mathrm{A}| \mathrm{B}|\mathrm{C}| \mathrm{D}|\mathrm{E}| \mathrm{F}$ ..... 93
$<$ Empty : : $=$ \{The null string of characters $\}$ ..... 93
$<1$,dy> ::=<Comment>|<Statement>|<Body> <Statement:| ..... 111
<Body> Comment
<Comment> :: = COMMENT <Comment Words>; ..... 111
<Statement> ::= <Iabel Part> <Line> < \% Comment> ..... 111

```
                                    Reference
                                    Page
<Label Part> ::= <Label> : | <Empty>
    111
<Line> ::= <Labeí Constant> |<Start Address> | <Insert>| <Instruction> 111
<Label Constant> ::= <Label> * <Integer> 111
<Insert> ::= INSERT <Label> <Start Address> 112
<% Comment> ::= %<Comment Words> | <Empty> 112
<Comment Words> ::= <Comment Character> |
    <Comment Words: CComment Character> 112
<Comment Character> ::=<Character> |\cdot|||&|$|[|]|||/ 93
<Character> ::=<Letter>| < Digit>|<Single Space>|<Symbol>> 
<Single Space> ::={One horizontal blank position} }9
<Symbol> ::=, |;|+|-|:|=|%|(|)|*}9
<Instruction ::=<Label Part: <Literal Assignment> |
    <Label Part><N Instruction>112
```

94

```
<Literal Assignment> ::= <Literal-<Assignment Op> AMPCR|
                                    <Literal><Assignment Op; SAR |
                                <Literal><Assignment OP: SAR;
    <Literal><Assignment Op> LIT\
    <Literal><Assignment Op> LII ;
    <Literal<<Assignment Op> SAR|
    <Literal><Assignment Op` LIT
```

<Literal> ::=<Integer>|COMP<Integer>|<Label:- <Label:-1 ..... 94
$<$ Integer> : $:=$ Digit $>\mid<$ Digit $\rangle<$ Integer $>$ ..... 94
<Assignment Op> ::= =:|= ..... 93
$<\mathbb{N}$ Instruction: : : = <Unconditional Part \ll Conditional Part $>$ ..... 95
<Unconditional Part. $::=$ Component List . ..... 95
<Component List> : : < Component> | <Component List> ; <Component> | 95
<Empty>
<Compenent: ::= <Ext Op> | <Logic Op> | <Successor> ..... 95
<Ext Op> ::=<Mem Dev Op> | <Set Op> | <Mem Dev Op>, <Set Op> |
<Set Op>, <Mem Dev Op; | <Empty> ..... 100
ReferencePage
〈Mem Dev Op $::=$ MR1| MR2|MW1|MW2|DL1|DL2|DU1|DU2|DR1|DR2| DW1 DW2/LDM|LDN ..... 100
<Set Op> ::= SET <Cond Adjust Bit> | RESET GC ..... 100
<Cond Adjust Bit> :: = INT|LC1|LC2|LC3|GC1|GC2 ..... 96
<Logic Op> ::= <Adder Op> < Inhibit Carry> <Shift Op> < Destination List> ..... 103
<Adder Op> $::=0|1|<$ Monadic> $\mid\langle$ Dyadic> $|<$ Triadic> $\mid<$ Empty ; ..... 103
<Monadic> :: = <Not> <A Select> | < Not> < B Select> |<Not> <Z Select> ..... 103
$<$ Not $\rangle::=$ NOT $\mid<$ Empty $\rangle$ ..... 96
<A Select> :: $=0 \mid$ A1 $\mid$ A2 $\mid$ A3 $\mid<$ Empty: ..... 106
$\langle B$ Select $\rangle:=0|1| \mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{B}\langle\mathrm{M}\rangle\langle\mathrm{C}\rangle\langle\mathrm{L}\rangle\langle E m p t y\rangle$ ..... 106
< $\mathrm{M}>$ ::= <Gating> ..... 106
<C> ::= <Gating> ..... 106
$<$ L: ::= <Gating> ..... 106
<Gating> ::=0|T|F|1 ..... 106
$<Z$ Select $>:=$ CTR $\mid$ LIT $|A M P C R|$ Empty. ..... 196
<Dyadic> : : \llNot \llA Select < Binary Op: < Not \ll S Select - <Not < B Select < Binary Op < Not \ll Z Select 1 $<$ Not < A Select $<$ <Az Op> <Not> < Z Select ..... 103
$<$ Binary Op> ::= NOR | OR $\mid$ NIM | IMP | $<\mathrm{Az}$ Op: ..... 103
$<A z$ Op> $::=A N D|X O R| E Q V|N R I| R I M|N A N| A D D|+|A D L| C A D$ ..... 103
$<$ Triadic $>::=<$ Try Op $><A$ Select $\rangle,<B$ Select $\rangle,<Z$ Select $\rangle$ ..... 103
<Try Op> :: = TRY1|TRY2|TRY3|TRY4|TRY5 ..... 103
$<$ Inhibit Carries> $::=$ IC $\mid=$ Empty $\rangle$ ..... 103
<Shift Op> ::= R|L|C|<Empty> ..... 103107
$<$ Input $\mathrm{B}>::=\mathrm{B}|\mathrm{BEX}| \mathrm{BAD}|\mathrm{BC} 4| \mathrm{BC} 8|\mathrm{BMI}| \mathrm{BBE}|\mathrm{BBA}| \mathrm{BBI}|\mathrm{BAI}|$ BBAI|B4I|BBI ..... 107
<Input Ctr> ::= CTR| -CTR|INC ..... 107
<Input Mar> ::= MAR|MAR1|MAR2|LMAR ..... 107
<Input Sar> ::= SAR|CSAR ..... 107
<Successor> ::= WAIT|STEP|SKIP|SAVE|CALL|EXEC|JUMP|RETN ..... 110
<Conditional Part. : $:=$ <If Clause> <Cond Comp List: <Else Clause- | $<$ If Clause $>\mid$ When Clause - Cond Comp List:- <Empty> ..... 95
<If Clause : : = IF $<$ Condition $>$ ..... 96
<Condition> ::= Not: Cond: ..... 96
<Cond> ::= LST|MST|AOV|ABT|COV|SAI|RDC|EX1|EX2|HOV| Cond Adjust Bit> ..... 96
<Cond Comp List> ::= THEN -Component List - ..... 95
<Else Clause > : : = ELSE <Successor |<Empty: ..... 96
<When Clause> ::= WHEN <Condition ..... 96
<End Line> ::= END ..... 112

```
<Destination List> ::=<Asgn> <Dest> |
    <Destination Lisi> <Asgn> <Dest>|<Asgn> 107
<Asgn>::=,|=:|=}10
<Dest> ::= A1|A2|A3|MIR|BR1|BR2|AMPCR| <Input B> |
    <Input Ctr> | <Input Mar> | < Input Sar>
```


## APPENDIX V

TRANSLANG RESERVED WORDS AND TERMINAL CHARACTERS

## RESERVED WORDS

The following words are reserved in TRANSLANG and may not be used as labels.

|  |  | Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Zero (0) as A Select. Use <Empty>. | 106 |
| A0 | Zero (0) as A Select. Use <Empty $>$. | 106 |
| A1 | A1 Register A Select or destination operator. | 106 |
| A2 | A2 Register A Select or destination operator. | 106 |
| A3 | A3 Register A Select or destination operator. | 106 |
| ABT | Adder Bit Transmit dynamic condition from phase 3 of prior microinstruction doing Adder Op. | 98, 99 |
| ADD | Addition logic operator: X ADD $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}$ | 104 |
| ADL | Add +1 logic operator: X ADL $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}+1$ | 104 |
| A DR | Starting address for microsequence. | 111 |
| AMPCR | Alternate Microprogram Count Register Z Select into middle bytes of adder or destination operator from barrel switch 12 LS bits. | 94, 106 |
| AND | And logical operator: X AND Y = XY | 104 |

CAD

Adder overflow, dynamic condition of previous microinstruction using adder, true if addition results in overflow.

98,99
B Register Input Select same as BTTT; or to $B$ from barrel switch; destination operator.
To B from adder "not 4 bit carry" OR MIR; destination operator*

109
To B from adder "not 8 bit carry" OR MIR; destination operator.

109
To B from adder; destination operator.
108
To B from adder OR MIR; destination operator.

109
To B from adder OR barrel switch; destination operator.

109
To B from adder OR BSW OR MIR; destination operator.

109
To B from external bus OR barrel switch;
destination operator. To B from prior MIR contents OR barrel
switch; destination operator. To B from adder "not 4 bit carry" replicated and shifted; destination operator.108
To B from adder "not 8 bit carry" replicated and shifted; destination operator. ..... 108
To $B$ from external bus; destination operator. ..... 108
To B from prior MIR contents; destination operator. ..... 108
To Base Register 1 from barrel switch 2nd LS byte; destination operator. ..... 107
To Base Register 2 from barrel switch 2nd LS byte; destination operator. ..... 107
To B from barrel switch; destination operator ..... 107
Circular shift right the entire adder output. Operation takes place in barrel switch. ..... 105Character add by carry inhibit between 8 bitcharacters (bytes). (Can use IC.) X CAD $\mathrm{Y}=$$\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}$ IC104
CALL Call a procedure: Use AMPCR + 1 as address, and new MPCR; old MPCR to AMPCR. Successor. 110
COMMENT Allows for the inclusion of documentation on a a listing. ..... 111, 113
COMP Complement as appropriate for literal part of literal assignment. ..... 94
COV Counter overflow condition bit, reset dominant. ..... 98
CSAR Complement SAR, destination operator. ..... 109
CTRTo counter from ones complement of barrelswitch LS byte, destination operator. InputSelect: into MS byte.106
DL1 Device lock using BR1/MAR for device ident. ..... 101
DL2 Device lock using BR2/MAR for device ident. ..... 101
DR1 Device read using BR1/MAR for device ident. ..... 101
DK2 Device read using BR2/MAR for device ident. ..... 101
DU1 Device unlock using BRI/MAR for device ident. ..... 101
DI'2 Device unlock using BR2/MAR for device ident. ..... 101
DW1 Device write using BR1/MAR for device ident. ..... 101
DW2 Device write using BR2/MAR for device ident. ..... 101
ELSE Sequential operator prefix to false successor. ..... 96, 97
END Bracket word to end a program. ..... 112
EQV Equivalence logical operator: $X E Q V Y=$ $X Y \vee \bar{X} \bar{Y}$ ..... 104
EXEC Executes out of sequence: Use AMPCR + 1 as aduress. Successor. ..... 110
EX1 External condition bit 1 exiernally set, reset by test. ..... 98, 99
EX2 External condition oit 2 externally set, resetby test.98, 99
F Faise gating of $\bar{B}$ as part of $\bar{Y}$ Select. ..... 106
GC Global conditions used with RESET to reset both GC1 and GC2. Synonym is GC2 or GC1 with RESET. ..... 98

| GC1 | Global condition bit 1: may be set by SET GC1 if presently reset in all Interpreters. Tested without resetting. | 98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC2 | Global condition bit 2: may be set by SET GCi if presently reset in all Interpreters. Tested without resetting. | 98 |
| IC | Ininibit carry between bytes. | 103 |
| IF | Starts the conditional part of an instruction. | 96 |
| IMP | Imply logical operator: X IMP Y $=\mathrm{X}$ v Y | 104 |
| INC | Increment counter destination operator; set COV when overflowing from all ones to all zeros. | 109 |
| INSERT | Take a copy of the selected program from the library file and insert it in the program. | 94, 112 |
| INT | Used as SET INT, interrupts all Interpreters. Interrupt Interpreters condition bit: set by any Interpreter; own is reset by testing. | 101 |
| JUMP | Jump to address in AMPCR + 1 and put that address in MPCR. Successor, | 110 |
| L | Left shift end off the entire adder output, right fill with zeros. Operation takes place in barrel switch. | 105 |
| LC1 | Local condition bit 1: may be set, or tested which resets. | 97 |
| LC2 | Local condition bit 2: may be set, or tested which resets. | 97 |
| LC3 | Local condition bit 3: may be set, or tested which resets | 97 |
| LCTR | Ones complement of tine literal regisiur cuntents will be placed in the counter. Destination operator. | 109 |
| LDM | Load microprogram memory, | 101 |
| LDN | Load nanomemory. | 101 |
| LIT | Literal register: may be loaded by a literal assignment. May be source for 2 LS byte, the MAR and/or CTR. | 94, 106 |


| LMAR | Literal register contents will be placed in MAR. Destination operator. | 109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LST | Least significant bit of adder output, dynamic condition from phase 3 of previous microinstruction doing adder op. | 98 |
| MAR | Memory address regist er destination operator: from barrel switch LS byte. | 109 |
| MAR1 | Memory address 1 destination operator: same as BR1, MAR. | 100 |
| MAR2 | Memory address 2 destination operator: same as BR2, MAR | 100 |
| MIR | Memory information register destination operator from barrel switch. | 107, 108 |
| MR1 | Read from memory address BR1/MAR mem dev op. | 100 |
| MR2 | Read from memory address BR2/MAR mem dev op. | 100 |
| MST | Most significant bit of adder output, dynamic condition from phase 3 of previous microinstruction doing adder op. | 98 |
| MW1 | Write the content of MIR to memory address BRI/MAR mem dev op. | 100 |
| M W2 | Write the content of MIR to memory address BR2/MAR mem dev op. | 100 |
| NAN | Not And logical operator: X NAN $\mathrm{Y}=\overline{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{v} \overline{\mathrm{Y}}$ | 104 |
| NIM | No: Imiply logical operator: $X$ NLM $Y=X Y$ | 104 |
| NOR | Nor lisical operator: X NOR $\mathrm{Y}=\overline{\mathrm{X}} \overline{\mathrm{Y}}$ | 104 |
| NOT | Complement nionadic or condition operator Not $X=\bar{X}$ | 96, 103 |
| NRI | Not Reverse Imply logical operator: $X$ NRI $Y=\bar{X} \vee Y$ | 104 |
| OR | OR logical operator: X OR $\mathbf{Y}=\mathrm{X}$ v Y | 104 |
| PROGRAM | Bracket word beginning a program. | 111 |
| R | Right shift end off the cntire adder output, left fill with zeros. Operation takes place in barrel switch. | 105 |


| EUC | Read complete bit: set when external data is ready for input to $B$, reset by testing. | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESET | Reset the Global condition bits. RESET GC. | 102 |
| RETN | Return: use AMPCR +2 as address and as new content for MPCR. Successor. | 110 |
| RIM | Reverse imply logical operator: X RIM $Y=X \mathrm{~V} \overline{\mathrm{Y}}$ | 104 |
| SAI | Switch Interlock accepts information bit. Set wher switch interlock accepts information, reset by testing. | 97 |
| SAR | Shift Amount Register destination operator from LS bits of barrel switch or from literal assignment. | 94 |
| SAVE | Save the MPCR in AMPCR: use MPCR + 1 as microaddress and as next MPCR. Successor. | 110 |
| SET | Set the conditional bit specified: either LC1, LC2, LC3. INT, GC1 or GC2. | 102 |
| SkIP | Skip the next instruction; use MPCR +2 as microaddress and as next MPCR. Successor. | 110 |
| STEP | Step to next instruction: use MPCR +1 as microaddress and as next MPCR. Successor. | 94, 110 |
| T | True gating for B register. | 106 |
| THEN | Starts the true alternative of conditional instruction. | 95 |
| TRY1 | Triadic Operator: TRY' $A, B, Z=\bar{B} A \bar{Z} \cup \bar{B} \bar{\lambda} Z$ | 103, 105 |
| TRY2 | Triadic Operator: TRY2 A, B, $Z=A Z \vee B \bar{Z}$ | 103, 105 |
| TRY3 | Triadic Operatcr: $\quad$ TRY3 $A, B, Z=A \cup B \cup \bar{Z}$ | 103, 105 |
| TRY4 | Triadic Operator: $T R Y \& A, B, Z,=A Z \vee \bar{B} \bar{Z}$ | 103, 105 |
| TRY5 | Triadic Operator: TRYis A, B, Z, $=\underset{V}{Z} \frac{A}{A} \frac{V}{B} \frac{B}{Z}$ | 103, 105 |
| WAIT | Wait for condition microaddress is MPCR; MPCR and AMPCR unchanged. Successor. | 110 |
| WHEN | Starts a conditional instruction, has an implicit ELSE WAIT. | 96 |
| XOR | Exclusive Or logical operator: $\mathrm{X} \mathrm{XOR} \mathrm{Y}=$ $X \bar{Y} \vee \bar{X} Y$ | 104 |

## TERMINAL CHARACTERS

Reference Page

- Assignment operator for destination operators. ..... 107
; Delimiter. Use is mandatory after a comment statement and between components in a statement. ..... 94, 113
: Terminator of label part of instruction or insert. ..... 111
*: Assignment operator for literal assignments or destination list ..... 107
$+\quad$ Add operator. ..... 103
- Part of assignment in literal assignment statement. ..... 94
* Label constant separator for defines. ..... 112
( Prefix delimiter for redundant part of instrurtion. ..... 93
) Suffix delimiter for redundant part of instruction. ..... 93
of Line terminator and in-line comment prefix. ..... 113
= Assignment operator for literal assignment or destina- tion list. ..... 107


## APPENDIX VI

## TRANSLANG ERROR MESSAGES

The first section of the Microtranslator parses the input file, a line at a time, and produces a listing of the file, N -instructions, and error messages. The error messages indicate that errors were made in the syntax or semantics of an instruction. They will be printed out in the following format giving the error number and the line number of the instruction as follows:
****ERROR NUMBER NNN IN LINE LLL*****
where NNN is the error number and LLL is the sequence number of the instruction in the input file.

| Error Number | Definition |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Label too large | (more than 15 characters) |
| 2 | CTR and MAR Conflict | (one receives BSW output; the other <br> literal) |
| 3 | Duplicate MAR | ( 2 MAR destinations) |
| 4 | Duplicate B destination |  |
| 5 | Missing comma |  |
| 6 | Missing semicolon |  |

Error Number

## Definition

## Incorrect destination designator

Symbol undefined
Duplicate logical operator
Logic operator error
Colon equal comma or colon missing or misplaced
Duplicate $Z$ select
Duplicate A select
Duplicate $B$ select
B Gating error
Duplicate counter operations
More than one set operation
Reset error
Memory device error
Duplicate shift operation
Duplicate test condition
Duplicate successors
Successor error
Successor after ELSE error
Duplicate label
Literal used not in a literal assignment instruction (misspelled reserved word)

Condition error
Misplaced THEN
Misplaced ELSE
Misplaced integer
Integer too large
Too many quoted characters
Wrong register for receiving a literal
Undefinedi input mistaken for label, or misspelled reserved word

Address wanted for insert program less than current address, or misspelled reserved word

Reset not followed by proper identifier

## Error Number

37
38
39

40

41
61
62

63

Definition
Set not followed by proper identifier
Undeclared label
Wrong type: minus sign used in a type ore instruction
Stack operation removed, AMPCR goes directly to adder.
NOT error - "NOT" misused
Named insert program not on library
No END on file
Address error - present address > insert address

If a nanotable name is requested which has never been saved before, NO SUCH NANOTABLE is printed and a new name requested.

If a new nanotable is given a name already in use, DUPLICATE NANOTABLE NAME ERROR is printed and a new name is requested.

If labels have been used in a program without being declared, the following printout occurs upon conclusion of the listings.

IAST ADR LABELS NOT FOUND
2 STR
3B SERROR
$4 \quad$ Y10
The address is the hexidecimal microprogram address of the last instruction using the label in a program.

## APPENDDX VII

## GLOSSARY

A Registers (A1, A2, A3): Each of the three A registers : functionally identical. The $A$ registers are used for temporary data storage within the Logic Unit of the Interpreter and serve as a primary input to the adder.
Adder: The adder in the Logic Unit of the Interpreter, is a modified version of a straightforward carry lookahead adder. It is also used for executing logic operations.

Alternate Microprogram Count Register (AMPCR) The AMPCR is a 12 -hit register in the Memory Control Unit of the Interpreter. which contains the jump or return address for program jumps and subroutir:: returns within a microprogram.

B Register: The B register is the promary input interface between the Logic Unit of the Interpreter and the Data/Program Memory or Devices (via the Switch Interlock). It also serves as the secondary input to the adder.

Barrel Switch: The barrel switch is a matrix of gates in the Logic Unit of the Interpreter, used to shift a parallel data word any number of places to the right or left in a single clock time.
Base Register 1 2nd 2 (BR1, BR2): The Base Registers are two 8 bit registers in the Memory Control Unit of the Interpreter, which usually contains the base address of a 256 -word block of Data/Program Memory.

Building Block: The primary functional units of the Interpreter Based System: Interpreter. Data/Program Memory and the Switch Interlock.

Condition Register (COND): The COND is a 12 -bit register in the Control Unit of the Interpreter and is uxed to store various condition bits for use during program esecution.

Central Processor Unir (CPU): The primary arithmetic and control unit in a conventional computer system.

Condition Select: The sondition select is a matrix of gates in the Control linit of the Interpreter that compares the results of a computation or logical operaticn in the logic linit with a preselected result. The result of the compation may be used to determine the sequence of exccution of microprogram instructions.
Control Register (CR): The CR is a 38 -bit register of the Interpreter which is used to store control signalv fiom the Nanomemory that are not used in phase one of a clock cycle.

Controt Unit (CU): The (U. one of the tive major functional unity of the Interpreter, is used for condition testing and the storage and distribution of enable signals received from the Nanomemors.

Counter (CTR). The CTR is an 8 -bit counter in the $Z$ requster section of the Mensory Control Unit of the Interpreter, used for loop control and other counting functions.

Data/Program Memory. The Data/Program Memory, also culled S Memory, provides storage for data and program (either microprogram or conventional program in un anatation applation) and tunctions smitasly to the main memory modules of a conventional computer system.

Device: As used in the context of Interpreter-Based Sys tem, Devices include all the conwentional computer system peripheral equipments sueh as disk files. magnetic tape units, high speed line priettefs, card readers. etc. and various sencors usually foeand in special data processing applications. The function of Devices is to provide the unique input/output medium for each system application.

Device Controller: A functional unit designed to interface and control a specific peripheral dewict (such as a disk fie, magnetic tape unit. line printer, etc.) to the Input/Output module of a conventional computer system.

Device Dependent Port (DDP): The DDP permits any device to be interfaced with a Port Select Unit (PSU) by providing the specific device electrical interface such as logic level conversion, line driveri receiver capability, and timing and synchronization when required (as in the case of disk files, magnetic tape anits, etc.)

Dual-In-Line (Dll): Describes the pin connection arrangement of one type of standard integraxed circuit package.

End-Around Shift: A right shift operation in which the bit or bits which would be shifted ow of the tegistet are reinserted in the more significant enax.

End-Off Shift: A shift operation in eutaw the left or right direction, in which the bit or bis shifted out of the regisier are lost. Vacated bit posizions may be automatically filled with zeros.

Firmware: In the Interpreter-Based Syeim, firmuse is the combination of stored logic in the M and N memories of the Interpreter.

Incrementer (INCR): The INCR is in the Memory Control Unit of the Interpreter and increments by zero, one, or two, the address of the nevt minirvinstruction to be executed by the Interpreter.
Inpat/Output Module (I/O): The I/O is the interface and sontrol unit between the CPU and penpheral input/ output devices in a conventional ammputer system.
Interpreter: The Interpreter is the basti building block of the Interpreter-Based System. Furk-tionally, it is charatterized by the combination of macroprogram instuctions stored in its 3 memory and harduare logic enabled by a multiplicity of enabk: signols stures in its N meniory
Interpreter Bayd System: A compur op organization and
 of basic building bloch, the theretolput and Mexthility for a variety of data procomig requiremeres.

Large Scale Integration (LSI): The implementation of more than 100 bipolar logical gates in a single integrated circult chlp.
Least Significant Bit (LSB): For a number or valuc :cpresented in binary nutation. tha' bit position which represents the ieast significant portion of the number.
Literal Register (LIT): An B-bit register in the 2 register section of the Memory Control Unit of the Interpreter. which is used for temporary storage of literals from microinstructions.

Logic Unit (IU): The LU, one of the five major functional units of the interpteter, perform" all of the anthmetic, Boolean logic, and shifting operations of the Interpreter.

Medium Scale Integration (MSI): The implementation of 20 to 100 bipolar logical gates in a single integrated circuit chip.

Memory Address Register (MAR): The MAR is an 8 -bit register in the Memory Control Unit of the Interpreter, which contains the least signficant 8 buts of a memery or device address.
Memory Control Unit (MCU): The MCU, one of the Five major functional units of the interpreter. controls the sequence of erecution of meroinstructuons. the addressing of Duta/Proeram Memory, and the wiection of Devices.
Memory Information Register (MIR): The MIR is a ree:wter in the Logic Unt of the Interpreter which serves as the output interface register between the interpteter and the Suitch interlock.

Microinstruction: A single instruction stored in M wemory of the Interpeter. sequences of which charasterize the Interpeter for a given microprograin. A micionstru:tion may contain an N memory addres or a hiteral.

Mictoprogran AdJress Control Register (MPAD CNTL): The MPADCNTL, a resuter in tik Mermary Control Ents of the interpeter, controls the loading of the MPCR. the AMPCR, and watuol, the walue of the inctement.
Microprogram Addregi Section (MiPaD): The MPAD is a collection of regiters and controls in the Memary Con trol Unit of the Interpecter. Which Iddrewis the II methory for the wquencing of marimstructoons.
Mictuprogran: Come Rewiter (9IPCR: The MPCR, luated in the Momury Contwh trat of the literareer. is a
 memory, of the neromstration currenty bero? exccuted by the Intepreter.

Mieroprogram Memory (M Memory): The M memory. one of the five major functional units of the Interpreter, stores mictoinstructions which characterize the Interpreter for a given application, and may be implemented as a read/ write semiconductor memory.
Microprogram Memory Buffer (MPB): The MPB buffers blocks of mictoinstructions read from a microprogram source in order to maintain the clock period of the Interpieter.

Most Significant Bit (MSB): For a number or value represented in binary notation, that bit position which represents the most significant portion of the number, or the sign of the number.

Rultiprocessor: A network of computers capable of simultaneously evecuting two or more programs or sequenes of instructions by means of multiprogramming, parallel processing, or both.

Nanoinstruction: A smgle instruction stored in N memory of the Interpeter. the contents of which constitute 56 unique signals for controlling the hardware legic of the Interpreter.

Nanomemory ( N Memory): The N memory, one of the five major functional units of the Interpreter. stores 56 specific enable signals for the hardware logic within the Logic I'nit, Control Unit. and Memory Control Unit.

Random-Access-Memory (RAM): A memory in which the time to access data is independent of its location in the memory, or of the data most recontly accessed in the memory. By convention, a read/write memory.

Port Select Unit (PSU) The PSU provides control and the electrical interface between a single Interpreter and Devices and Data/Program Memory. The PSU is used in lieu of the Switch Interlock in system configutations that require only one Interpreter.

Random-Access-Memory (RAM): A memory in which the time to access data is independent of its location in the memoty, or of the data most recently accessed in the memory.

Read-Only Memory (ROM): A memory that stores data not alterable by program instruction.

Remote/Card: A program subroutine executed on a Burroughe B 5500 which permits a user to create card images of TRANSLANG instructions on a disk file, using a remote terminal of the B 5500 .

Shift Amount Register (SAR) The SAR is a 6 -bit register in the Control Unit of the Interpreter and is used to store the number of positions a word or literal is to be shifted by the barrel switch.

Small Scale Integration (SSI) The implementation of 5 to 20 logical gates in a single integrated circuit chip.
Switch Interlock (SWI): The SWI provides the interconnection between Interpreters, Data/Program Memory, and Devices of an interpreter-Based System. Its function is to permit any one of a multiplicity of Interpreters to ancess all modules of an array of Data/Program Memory and/or all Devices.

Transistor-Transistor-Logic (TTL): A family of transistor circuits used to implement digital logic networks. and characterized by its high opeed. large capacitance drive capability and evellent noise immunity.
TRANSLANG: A computer program debigned to convert Inglish language statements defining the action of the Interpreter for each machine clock cycle, into binary paterns for the $M$ and $N$ memories.

2 Register Section: A collection of regtiters and selection gater in the Memory Control linit of the Interpreter. which include the CTR. LIT. and Input Selection gates used to control the execution sequence of microinstructions.
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13. ADSTRACT

The aerospace multiprocessor described is based upon a modular, building block approach. An exchange concept that is expandable with the number of processors, memory modules, and device ports, was developed whose path width is a function of the amount of serialization desired in the transmission of data and address through the exchange. The processors (called Interpreters) are microprogrammable utilizing a 2 -level microprogram memory stzucture and were designed for implementation with large scale integrated circuits. The modularity exhibited in the Interpreters is in the size of the microprogram memories and in the word length of the Interpreters from 8 bits through 64 bits in 8 -bit increments.
The specific implementation of the exchange for the aerosipace multiprocessor is for five processors, eight memory modules, and eight device ports with eight wires each carrying four serial bits of data through the exchange. The processors each have word lengths of 32 bits with a 512 word $\times 15$ bits first-level microprogram mernory and a 256 word $\times 54$ bit second-level microprogram memory.
A simplified control program based upon concepts for a modular executive structure, and some user type programs were written for demonstration of the aerospace multiprocessor.



[^0]:    * No display register is being delivered with the aerospace multiprocessor, but is an easily designed device that could take a variety of forms. Basically, setting any bit in the display register would set the condition bit in the Interpreter. When this bit is tested true, the display register would be read, returning either the entire register, a masked portion of the register, or possibly the address of the device with the highest priority interrupt, depending upon the design of the display register device.

[^1]:    *Devices such as the real time clock (described in the Multiprocessor Hardware section) howzeer, do roi require a signal such as Data Available for synchronization cince they are already synchrcnized to the Interpreter clock.

[^2]:    *When the MIR is one of the inputs to the B register, the input shift register from the Switch Interlock into the external input to $B$ will be cleared to all zeros.

[^3]:    This is a pseudo-instruction which is used to indicate the end of a program.

